## **REVIEW REPORT, Second Level LGR for Language: FINNISH**

Date: 2016-08-31

Reviewer: Michael Everson

## **Linguistic Review**

After having reviewed the LGR documentation through two revisions, and after making a variety of comments on the languages I reviewed, I have been asked by the project team to respond formally to the following set of questions for each language. My YES or NO response to those questions is given below.

- Does the set of code points and label generation rules satisfactorily characterize the repertoire required for use of this language and script to define second-level labels? YES Specifically can all of the following be answered in the negative:
  - a. Does the set of code points omit any required characters? NO
  - b. Does the set of code points omit any desirable characters? NO
  - c. Does the set of code points include any unnecessary characters? NO
  - d. Does the set of code points include any undesired characters? NO
  - e. Does the LGR omit any required variant rules? NO
  - f. Does the LGR omit any desirable variant rules? NO
  - g. Does the LGR include any unnecessary variant rules? NO
  - h. Does the LGR include any undesired variant rules? NO
  - i. Does the LGR omit any required WLE rules? NO
  - j. Does the LGR omit any desirable WLE rules? NO
  - k. Does the LGR include any unnecessary WLE rules? NO
  - I. Does the LGR include any undesired WLE rules? NO
- 2. Are the authorities cited by the LGR among the best available in relation to the relevant issues? YES
- 3. Could use of other authorities have led to better choices in the set of CPs and rules? NO
- 4. Has adequate provision been made for labels (e.g. for familiar but alien names or loan words) which exceed the bounds of repertoire of code points essential for the language? YES
- 5. Will extended code points (and variant or WLE rules) have undesired consequences for the repertoire as a whole? NO
- 6. Does the XML file accurately characterize the desired set of code points and rules for the language and script, and so match the descriptive document? YES