

# Unified Requirements

Version dated 12 February 2019

1. Overall
  - a. The technologies used to implement requestor identification, authentication and authorization MUST be based on current Internet standards.
  - b. The system MUST support a distributed data model, where data is stored by the CPHs and non-public data is only transferred through ICANN.
  - c. All usage of RDAP and any other associated systems MUST use TLS for HTTP (HTTPS) and other appropriate security protocols.
2. ICANN Browser-based Web portal
  - a. The system MUST be able to determine whether a requestor is authorized for access to non-public data.
  - b. The system MUST be able to associate attributes to the requestor, and these attributes MUST be passed by the requestor to the ICANN RDAP proxy.
  - c. The system MUST provide a Web-based interface for “exceptional” requests (requests not pre-authorized) which must be submitted by, and reviewed by, a human. Once authorized, data is provided via this interface rather than via RDAP.
  - d. The system MUST allow triage of requests to identify high-priority requests which must be handled first.
  - e. The system MUST provide notifications of the progress of a request through the triage-review-fulfilment process, so requestors are notified promptly of the result of their request.
  - f. The system MUST assign each requestor with a unique identifier.
3. Authorization Determination
  - a. Authorization determination MAY be delegated to agents that are qualified and appointed by the **coordinating party** (e.g., ICANN for gTLDs, RIRs for IP addresses).
4. ICANN RDAP Proxy
  - a. The system must be able to process both unauthenticated and authenticated requestors.
  - b. The system MUST be able to support multiple authenticated requestor identities, each of which may be assigned a role.
  - c. The system MUST be able to support multiple authorization policies based on the role assigned to the requestor, and on the query.
  - d. The system MUST be able to allow granular access to various data elements in RDAP based on authorization policies.
  - e. The system MUST support passing requestor *attributes* (see 2.b) to the CPH RDAP servers. Whether the system passes attributes is dictated by policy.
  - f. The system MUST support passing the requestor *identifier* (see 2.f) to the CPH RDAP servers. Whether the system passes the identifier is dictated by policy.

Commented [1]: ensure uniforming of language elsewhere

Commented [2]: Gustavo and John to ensure this is consistent throughout our documentation.

- g. The system MAY be able to receive and redirect queries from requestors who are not authorized for access to non-public data.
  - h. The system MUST enable automation of client requests.
5. CPH RDAP Servers
- a. The system MUST receive and respond to queries from ICANN with all available registration data.
6. Logging / Auditing
- a. Logging and audit data held by all parties MUST be stored securely to prevent unauthorised disclosure of requests.
  - b. There MUST be an ability to attribute each query with the user issuing the query. This attribution MUST distinguish each query from every other query so that each user-to-query pairing will be unique and independently verifiable.
  - c. ICANN's RDAP server MUST log each query. Every Identity Provider MUST have the ability to download a query log containing only the queries of the users of said Identity Provider. Whether this feature is available is dictated by policy. There MUST be a common format for the query log. The query logs SHOULD NOT be publicly available. ICANN MUST publish aggregate statistics of queries for non-public data.
  - d. Data MUST be retained in accordance with requirements specified by policy.
  - e. The system MUST provide the ability to reconcile queries between ICANN, CPH and requesting parties.
7. Performance / SLA
- a. There MUST be SLA commitments for RDAP service availability and web-interface request resolution times.
  - b. SLA commitments MUST be published.
8. Information Security Requirements
- a. The security controls for the system SHOULD be determined and maintained based on risk assessments (for instance, [Article 32 of the GDPR](#)).
  - b. ICANN and the Identity Provider MUST undergo an annual security audit by a third-party auditor and provide the audit report as requested by the interested parties.
  - c. All credentials used for the system MUST adopt best current practices for credential management lifecycle (e.g. multi-factor authentication, hardware tokens, quarterly account reviews and so on).
  - d. There MUST be a mechanism for reporting breaches of data privacy and security (for instance, to be in compliance with [Article 3 of the GDPR](#)).
9. Information Security Guidelines
- a. The system MUST be governed by a business continuity management program and disaster recovery/incident response plans.
  - b. The system MUST be developed and operated under an appropriate systems development life cycle.
  - c. Cryptographic techniques such as encryption and signing SHOULD be adopted across the infrastructure to protect the confidentiality and integrity of data at rest and data in transit.

**Commented [3]:** Note: We need to state that the policy group MUST specify such data retention policies, elsewhere in the Technical Model.

**Commented [4]:** Do we need SLA for Identity Providers and Authorization Bodies?

**Commented [5]:** Think through:  
 1. Who the SLAs apply to (ICANN Org and/or CPH)  
 2. Are SLAs published, and/or SLA performance published

