

# Webinar: Explore the Draft Next Generation gTLD Directory Services Model

Monday, 8 July 2013 – 15:00-16:30 UTC

## Chat Transcript

Alice Jansen: (7/8/2013 16:28) Welcome to the Explore the Draft Next Generation gTLD Directory Services Report Webinar!

stephanie perrin: (16:48) stephanie perrin... am i logged in in the right place?

CD: (16:49) Hi Stephanie...yes you are

Michele Neylon: (16:58) Good afternoon everyone

Denise Michel: (16:59) hello.

Denise Michel: (17:00) Please mute your microphones when you are not speaking

DomainNameWire.com / Andrew Allemann: (17:00) anyone else getting echoing?

Lisa Phifer: (17:00) yes

Paul Diaz (Public Interest Registry): (17:01) bad echo

Michele Neylon: (17:01) yes - we're looking into it

Michele Neylon: (17:02) echo gone?

DomainNameWire.com / Andrew Allemann: (17:02) yes

Michele Neylon: (17:02) might be from Margie's line

CD: (17:02) gone...gone...gone//gon///go////g

DomainNameWire.com / Andrew Allemann: (17:02) yes, it stopped when it switched speakers

Margie Milam: (17:02) I'll dial back in

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (17:02) hi all (from. New Zealand for a change)

CD: (17:02) no there is still an echo in background'

CD: (17:03) someone who is not on mute has their computer speakers turned on broadcasting the adobe room

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (17:03) yes Chris there is

Mary Wong: (17:03) Echo being heard here in Singapore too :)

Margie Milam: (17:04) not my line

Marie-laure Lemineur: (17:04) in Costa Rica to.....

Chris Kruk: (17:04) I'm still seeing the 1st slide... should be on #3

Chris Kruk: (17:04) ok, fixed

Rod Rasmussen (backup): (17:04) Slight echo - not too bad here

Mary Wong: (17:04) Sounds like someone has AC audio & phone line on simultaneously

...

Michele Neylon: (17:05) yup

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (17:05) yup  
Michele Neylon: (17:05) it's not me :)  
Lisa Phifer: (17:05) JF's speakers are probably on  
Michele Neylon: (17:05) I'm muted  
CD: (17:05) it's never you Michele  
Michele Neylon: (17:05) CD - oh it is it is :)  
Michele Neylon: (17:05) just not now  
CD: (17:05) and you're never REALLY muted  
Mary Wong: (17:07) @Rod, thanks - we're here all week (and then some) :)  
Carlos Vera - ISOC Ecuador: (17:10) Hi everyone from Ecuador!  
Carlton Samuels: (17:15) Morning all  
Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (17:16) hi Carlton (in NZ atm)  
Lisa Phifer: (17:16) Hi Carlton  
Michele Neylon: (17:16) /me waves at Carlton  
Fatima Cambroner: (17:16) morning Carlton  
ana olmos: (17:19) Good... afternoon! (from Brussels) ;-)  
Juan Manuel Rojas: (17:24) Good mornign to all  
Carlton Samuels: (17:44) I'm now only on a/c room  
steve metalitz: (17:50) QUESTION: Slide 16 -- "validated opertationally" does EWG plan to define this further?  
Jim Prendergast, Galway Strategy Group: (17:52) New gTLD registries will be contractually obligated to eventually implement this as part of the registry agreement. What is the plan to bring ccTLD operators into using this? thanks  
Michele Neylon: (17:52) jim - none  
Marie-laure lemineur: (17:52) could you provide an example of the type of data that would be publicly accessible for full disclosure please  
Michele Neylon: (17:52) Jim - this is for gTLDs only  
steve metalitz: (17:52) QUESTION: QUESTION: sLIDE 16: there is a reference to time-stamping validation. Could this be explained further?.  
Michele Neylon: (17:52) it's outside our remit to do anything with cctlds  
Lisa Phifer: (17:54) @Steve see also 4.10 standard validation service that discusses some aspects of how operational validation migh tbe done  
steve metalitz: (17:55) Thanks Michele. I will follow up further on validation questions.  
Rod Rasmussen (backup): (17:55) @Steve - "validated opertationally" please refer to the taxonomy from SAC058  
Fatima Cambroner: (17:56) QUESTION: In LACRALO was raised the following query: if it is anticipated that this new model of whois create a new RFC on the IETF or rely on some existing or emerging (sorry if this topic was treated already, I have problem with my Internet connection and I am suffering a constant disconnection :(  
Rod Rasmussen (backup): (17:56) Of course the devil is in the details, but that at least explains what the term means and some of the ways that may be done.  
steve metalitz: (17:57) Thanks Rod.  
Jim Prendergast, Galway Strategy Group: (18:04) Michele – following up on your answer - did the group look at the ccTLD question at all besides “it’s beyond our remit?” Reason

I ask is there is a trend of ccTLDs being repurposed into gTLDs. .co, .pw and .la being some recent examples. Is there anything that can be done to encourage ccTLD participation in this?

Bill Smith: (18:04) +1 Chuck !!

Michele Neylon: (18:06) Jim - we've looked at what they've done, but we can't really force a ccTLD to do anything

Michele Neylon: (18:06) and I wouldn't want to suggest it

Michele Neylon: (18:06) as there'd be a LOT of pushback from them

Michele Neylon: (18:06) now if they wanted to do it voluntarily it wouldn't hurt :)

Lanre Ajayi: (18:07) Chuck, purpose can be verified at the point credentials are being issued to requestors

Alice Jansen: (18:10) Rod dropped from the call

Rod Rasmussen (backup): (18:10) Dropped from the call - redialing

Lisa Phifer: (18:10) can hear you chris

Rod Rasmussen (backup): (18:10) Back in now.

Michele Neylon: (18:12) yay

Rod Rasmussen (backup): (18:18) +1 for Lanre's answer to Chuck - accreditation of various actors will be in-part use based, participants will be provided with access based on accreditation, and the model allows for logging/auditing of usage based on the credentials. Can still be gamed (as can anything) but you end up with a lot more visibility to catch it.

Chuck Gomes: (18:20) @ Rod: Thanks. That is helpful.

Scott Hollenbeck: (18:20) Adding to Rod's comment: and, with client identification it should be possible to apply sanctions to clients who abuse their privileges.

Chuck Gomes: (18:22) @Scott: Also helpful.

Rod Rasmussen (backup): (18:22) @Scott - good point and those can be graduated rather than just a "death penalty" depending upon the level of transgression. That moves the model into a risk/fraud detection model that is pretty mainstream.

steve metalitz: (18:23) Will comments received be publicly posted, and at what URL?

Alice Jansen: (18:24) Steve, please refer to:

<https://www.icann.org/en/groups/other/gtld-directory-services/responses-27jun13-en.htm>

Lisa Phifer: (18:24) Thanks!

Fatima Cambronerio: (18:24) thanks everyone

Michele Neylon: (18:24) Steve - they're all public

Denise Michel: (18:24) Thank you!

Michele Neylon: (18:24) well the ones we get via the official methods are

Alice Jansen: (18:24) Thank you for participating in this webinar.

CD: (18:24) thanks all

John Demco: (18:24) Thank you!