

ICG Call #6 – Wednesday, 29 October 2014 – 12:00-13:00
UTC
Chat Transcript

Alice Jansen: (10/29/2014 10:52) Welcome to the ICG call # 6! Please note that chat sessions are being archived and follow the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior: <http://www.icann.org/en/news/in-focus/accountability/expected-standards>

Keith Drazek: (12:57) Hi Alice. I note that Kavouss is listed in today's 'apologies' list, but I believe he was expecting to be called. I think he sent an email last night to that effect.

Alice Jansen: (12:58) Hi Keith, Kavouss called me right before the call - he is unable to join this time.

Adiel A. Akplogan-NRO: (12:59) Hello Alice, can you activate my mic?

Keith Drazek: (12:59) Ok thanks Alice!

Alice Jansen: (13:00) Hi Adiel - Eric has activated your microphone

Adiel A. Akplogan-NRO: (13:00) thanks.

Kuo Wu: (13:03) Hi, Sorry to join late

Hartmut Glaser: (13:03) Hello Alice, can you please activate my mic?

Kuo Wu: (13:04) Hi, Alice, me too, please

Alice Jansen: (13:04) done :-)

Hartmut Glaser: (13:05) Thanks

Kuo Wu: (13:05) Alice, did you turn on my Mic?

Hartmut Glaser: (13:05) Thanks

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: (13:06) Hi, sorry for being late

Keith Drazek: (13:07) A big thanks to Adiel and the group working on the secretariat process.

Lynn St.Amour: (13:08) @Keith +1

Manal: (13:09) +1 Keith & Lynn .. Thanks Adiel !!

Manal: (13:13) and the whole team of course :) !!

Jari Arkko: (13:13) hand up

Alissa Cooper: (13:14) jari in the queue

Russ Housley: (13:15) Do we know when that ecision will be made?

Keith Drazek: (13:15) @Russ: We don't but I expect it will be within the next week, if not sooner.

milton: (13:16) could we talk about whether ICANN can support a f2f meeting regardless of whether the Marrakech meeting occurs?

Patrik Fältström: (13:16) I can respond to that Milton

milton: (13:16) thx, please do

Mary Uduma: (13:17) Hello All, in a meeting in Busan discussing Internet related issues of the ITU Resolutions cannot turn on the audio.

milton: (13:17) having fun, Mary? :-)

Keith Drazek: (13:18) @Milton: I think ICANN 52 will happen, but perhaps not in Marrakech. There needs to be a Spring meeting, so I don't think cancelling the meeting outright is a viable option for the community.

Jari Arkko: (13:18) the IETF last call information is here: <http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/ianaplan/current/msg00760.html>

Jari Arkko: (13:18) I propose that we have an ICG meeting at wherever (and whenever) ICANN 52 is

milton: (13:20) the first f2f meeting would be the time we have one or more of the proposals will be in hand

milton: (13:20) so we need to meet some time in that period

Patrik Fältström: (13:20) Milton, yes. Our detailed scheduling for 2015 from my perspective will depend on when proposals arrive.

Russ Housley: (13:21) With the proposals due on 15 January 2015, we will need to meet in February, and I'd prefer to do that in conjunction with ICANN 52

Jari Arkko: (13:22) On Lynn's text: I think it is fine and I have no major issues with it. I have no opinion about the breakdown to a separate note or being part of the finalization process note.

Jon Nevett: (13:22) Patrik +1 -- if we do a stand-alone meeting, ideally we would give the community more time to get the proposals in before we meet.

Keith Drazek: (13:23) +1 Russ. I would also point out that the Naming community is struggling with the January target date for delivery, so scheduling should take that into account.

Patrik Fältström: (13:23) At the moment my view is that we should meet adjacent to ICANN52 regardless of where it is, given it is in the approximate timeframe it is scheduled today. Only if the meeting is cancelled (or delayed until June 2015 or so) we should look at f2f meeting separate (as will various ICANN SO/ACs).

Keith Drazek: (13:24) +1 Patrik

Patrik Fältström: (13:24) But, I expect the fog around ICANN52 will be cleared within next week or two, and we do not have to plan our meetings sooner than that.

Jon Nevett: (13:24) Patrik +1

Jari Arkko: (13:27) Patrik +1

Russ Housley: (13:29) Patrik +1

Manal: (13:35) Lynn +1

Keith Drazek: (13:36) I support Manal's suggestion that early outreach to and engagement with NTIA would be helpful...just to ensure we're not missing

something in our planning or expectations. They may simply refer us to existing public statements, but even that would be informative.

Lynn St.Amour: (13:36) @Keith, Manal +1

Manal: (13:36) yes Keith agree ..

Alice Jansen: (13:37) Link to doodle poll - <http://doodle.com/fq2w2tf476pdszi>

Keith Drazek: (13:37) Thanks all!

Manal: (13:37) Thanks .. Bye ..

Alice Jansen: (13:37) Thank you for joining this call.

demi getschko: (13:37) bye!