Jennifer Chung: (2/24/2015 22:36) Welcome to the ICG call #12! Please note that chat sessions are being archived and follow the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior: http://www.icann.org/en/news/in-focus/accountability/expected-standards
demi getschko: (22:56) Hi everybody. Are the mics disabled?
Milton Mueller: (22:56) Can I be connected to sounds via AdobeConnect, please?
Josh Baulch: (22:56) @ Milton - Done.
Josh Baulch: (22:56) @ Demi - would you like me to enable your mic?
Milton Mueller: (22:56) tank you
demi getschko: (22:57) (same for me, please...)
Josh Baulch: (22:57) Done
demi getschko: (22:57) Thnaks!
Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (22:57) Hello!
Jennifer Chung: (22:57) @ Josh can you please enable Alan's mike as well, thank you!
Keith ccNSO: (22:57) Josh can you activate my mic too please?
Josh Baulch: (22:57) Both Alan and Keith are enabled
Keith ccNSO: (22:58) thanks Josh
Mary Uduma: (22:58) Hello everyone
N: (22:58) Hi everyone!
Alan Barrett: (22:59) Hello all.
N: (22:59) Sorry, this is Narelle - will log out and log back in again with a full name.
Josh Baulch: (23:00) @ Narelle .
Josh Baulch: (23:00) I will fix it for you.. no need to log out
N: (23:00) Thanks @Josh
arasteh: (23:01) I am waiting for dial up call
arasteh: (23:01) Dear Jennifer
arasteh: (23:01) Dear Alice
arasteh: (23:02) Good morning ( geneva TIME) MAY YOU KINDLY ARRAMNGE FOR DIAL UP CALL
Paul Wilson: (23:02) I am on adobe but not yet on the voice call. I am connecting voice now.
Paul Wilson: (23:03) thanks patrick.
Alice Jansen: (23:03) Kavouss, relaying to Jennifer and Yannis
Milton Mueller: (23:03) You can join the conference via AdobeConnect
arasteh: (23:03) kavouss
arasteh: (23:04) I am not sue whether the meeting has startzed but I am not on adobe connection dulby yet
Milton Mueller: (23:04) you are not the only one
Paul Wilson: (23:04) i’m oin the same position, sorry.
Jennifer Chung: (23:04) Hi Kavouss - if you can provide us with a number we can dial out to you.
Paul Wilson: (23:04) (re minutes)
Narelle Clark: (23:04) Agree also with deferring minutes,
arasteh: (23:04) 0041 79 325 65 34
arasteh: (23:05) THAT WAS MY NUMBER FROM THE BEGINNING AND HAS NOT CHANGED
Lars Liman (RSSAC): (23:05) At the bottom!
Jari Arkko: (23:05) My action item is being worked on. I will get it out the mailing list soon,
during this call or shortly thereafter.
Jennifer Chung: (23:07) @Kavouss - you should be connected on audio/phone bridge now.
Paul Wilson: (23:07) I'm on audio now.
Patrik Fältström - SSAC: (23:07) Thanks Paul for letting us know.
Milton Mueller: (23:09) I'm sometimes you are echoing, Alissa
Josh Baulch: (23:09) That is from Arasteh
Josh Baulch: (23:09) he has fixed it
Jennifer Chung: (23:10) All - the summary of resolved questions is available here:
https://www.dropbox.com/s/wad3entv3dqr3m/Summary%20of%20internally%20resolved%20questions-v2.xlsx?dl=0
Jennifer Chung: (23:11) All - the document is now displayed and un-synced for your review
Milton Mueller: (23:12) very useful summary - thx to whoever prepared it
Josh Baulch: (23:16) fixed
Milton Mueller: (23:17) boring? boring????
Milton Mueller: (23:17) I am riveted to my screen
Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (23:17) and this one is my third within a 10-hour period...
Milton Mueller: (23:17) IANA should run a conference call registry
Daniel Karrenberg: (23:18) i would hope my day-job calls do not show up in an icann registry ;-)
Daniel Karrenberg: (23:18) nor an IANA registry ;-) 
Milton Mueller: (23:18) One single conference call root!
Daniel Karrenberg: (23:18) ;-) ;-) 
N: (23:19) Some of these are at least in the day time.
Jennifer Chung: (23:19) All - the timeline graphic v9 is available here -
https://www.dropbox.com/s/1edbsdh0io7b5t1/TimelineGraphic-v9.xlsx?dl=0
Jennifer Chung: (23:20) The first tab of the spreadsheet is being projected at the moment
Jari Arkko: (23:21) my opinion on timeline: do as much as you can as soon as you can;
prepare to run more comment periods later.
Narelle Clark: (23:21) Jari has expressed my view.
Mary Uduma: (23:21) @Jari +1
Lynn St.Amour: (23:22) @Jari, agree - makes alot of sense
Narelle Clark: (23:22) Worst case is it sets the community's view before getting new ideas
from the Names community. Best case is that the Names community devises something
compatible from day 1.
James Bladel - GNSO: (23:24) Can we unsyc & zoom?
Josh Baulch: (23:24) It is unsync'd, so you can zoom
James Bladel - GNSO: (23:24) nevermind, thanks. :)
arasteh: (23:26) This time line is impossible to implementm
arasteh: (23:27) impossible Mission to accomplish
Keith ccNSO: (23:27) Agree with Kavouss - this time line is just too hard, and while we need
to keep forward momentum, we also need to be realistic in our expectations.
arasteh: (23:28) DO WE HAVE A CLEAR REACTION FROM cwg on their proposal availability
Keith ccNSO: (23:29) Given the NTIA can not pick up the process until after September, and given the Names community and Accountability is still under immense pressure to meet these deadlines, I would rather we saw some slippage, to include a good public consultation period during ICANN Dublin in October
Jari Arkko: (23:33) Milton: I disagree that "we can not achieve anything on a public comment of two well known proposals that have been vetted by the ICG". The ICG noted an issue in the two, which was resolved. Let's not pretend that we the ICG know everything, it would be appropriate IMHO to hold a public comment anyway. Even if the number of substantial changes based on this may not be big.
Milton Mueller: (23:33) True, Jari but there may be additional issues that arise once those two are joined to the names proposal
Narelle Clark: (23:34) And Milton, those issues will get the chance to arise either way.
Jari Arkko: (23:34) yes, no argument there. but I think we should again get as much as we can as soon as we can :-) I also do not necessarily want to put the system in a position where nothing can be done if one component is missing.
RussMundy: (23:35) I'm in agreement with Milton's point - it seems to me that people can submit comments now if they want but we don't need to formally ask via public comment
RussMundy: (23:36) +1 Joe
Lars Liman (RSSAC): (23:36) We should also familiarize ourselves with any draft work that the CWG produces, so that we don't have to take in the full proposal from the start once we receive it.
Mohamed El Bashir 2: (23:36) Milton point is valid. +1 to Joe proposal it's practical
Narelle Clark: (23:36) I can't see that it will *add* much work for us by doing this, but might actually reduce some work if any issues can be identified. It may also assist the Names community. We will of course do another public comment period. Any 2/3 piece must be *clearly* identified as such with the appropriate supporting text.
Lars Liman (RSSAC): (23:36) I disagree with Kavouss. You can definitely test the engine, without having the wheels in place.
Daniel Karrenberg: (23:37) kavouss: wrong analogy, I have personal experience with component testing in the automobile industry ;-) 
arasteh: (23:43) yes .Every body agree with component test
Milton Mueller: (23:44) ipcp
arasteh: (23:45) but the real test in a final so it is not wrong analogy pls refrain to call other,s proposal wrong
Jari Arkko: (23:46) I like the idea of a good name for the comment process
Joseph Alhadeff: (23:46) Perhaps we could call this a voluntary and informal consultation across members of ICG in order to provide any useful comments before the official consultation on the complete proposal.
Milton Mueller: (23:47) I guess a procedural issue in this commentary gathering is whether we have a deadline for receipt of comments or not
Keith ccNSO: (23:47) Milton - NTIA would have to renew contract for 2 years, but if we provide a satisfactory proposal to then at, say, 31 December, they would then terminate the contract early
arasteh: (23:48) Dear Daniel, let us be fair with each other. It is not up to any one to qualify the proposals of there being right or wrong the maximum one could say is he or she agree or disagree
Milton Mueller: (23:48) I think they can renew it for any term that is mutually agreeable
Daniel Karrenberg: (23:48) @kavouss: i was only referring to your analogy, not your proposal specifically
Michael Niebel: (23:48) agree
Lynn St.Amour: (23:48) @Alissa, I think that is a good approach
Milton Mueller: (23:48) Joe: as opposed to an involuntary consultation? ;-)
RussMundy: (23:48) Agree with Alissa's proposal
arasteh: (23:48) tks Daniel for yr kind understanding
Daniel Karrenberg: (23:48) @Alissa: good way forward
Jari Arkko: (23:49) i'm ok with this as well
Mary Uduma: (23:49) Agree with Alissa
Jennifer Chung: (23:49) All - the email has been unsynced for your review.
Alan Barrett: (23:50) I don't think I need to speak
Milton Mueller: (23:50) I think we are DONE and I am satisfied with the answer
Lynn St.Amour: (23:51) @Alissa - agree with you and Milton :-
Milton Mueller: (23:51) There COULD have been an incompatiblity if the IETF had opposed IETF Trust holding the assets. But it did not.
Jennifer Chung: (23:52) All - the response from the IETF community is here: http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/internal-cg/2015-February/003103.html
Jennifer Chung: (23:52) The response from the RIR community is here http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/internal-cg/2015-February/003105.html
Lars Liman (RSSAC): (23:53) We should wait with asking for amended proposals until we've received input from CWG, as that may also necessitate more changes.
Milton Mueller: (23:53) Yes, Lars
Josh Baulch: (23:54) @ Russ - Can you speak a bit louder pls
Milton Mueller: (23:55) Could be an ICG document
RussMundy: (23:58) @Josh - that was Paul that was just speaking
Josh Baulch: (23:58) no problem - it was an earlier comment
Milton Mueller: (23:59) +1 Alan
Jari Arkko: (23:59) +1 to alan
Lynn St.Amour: (2/25/2015 00:00) @Alan -- +1
Daniel Karrenberg: (00:00) works for me
Lynn St.Amour: (00:00) WFM also
Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (00:01) @Alan +1.
Xiaodong Lee: (00:01) sorry I remember the wrong time
Milton Mueller: (00:01) Hello Xiaodong
demi getschko: (00:01) +1 to alan too
Daniel Karrenberg: (00:01) @Lee: has happend to me before ;-)
Patrik Fältström - SSAC: (00:02) Welcome Xiaodong!
Mary Uduma: (00:02) @ Alan good for me
Alan Barrett: (00:03) sorry, forgot to lower my hand after speaking
Jari Arkko: (00:10) FYI: I am assuming Kavous’ request is an information request - not a change to the proposal
Narelle Clark: (00:10) I may have some basis diagram for this.
arasteh: (00:10) Jari you are right
Mary Uduma: (00:10) @ Jari, Yes
Milton Mueller: (00:13) Yes
Lynn St.Amour: (00:13) And echoing my comments on list, I do as well.
Patrik Fältström - SSAC: (00:13) Support
Daniel Karrenberg: (00:13) i find myself agreeing with milton (again) ;-)
joseph alhadeff: (00:13) Agreed. Joe
Milton Mueller: (00:14) maybe i should change my position?
Daniel Karrenberg: (00:14) @milton: up to you ! ;-)
Lynn St.Amour: (00:14) I find myself waiting for Milton to speak, so I can agree with him :-)
Milton Mueller: (00:15) :-)
Milton Mueller: (00:15) your turn
Lynn St.Amour: (00:15) :-)
Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (00:26) @Daniel: exactly; thanks for putting it in better words
Alissa Cooper: (00:27) Will also note again that the RFP asks specifically about implementation timeline/milestones
Keith ccNSO: (00:28) Apologies I have to leave the call now
Milton Mueller: (00:28) just a quick question: in the IETF proposal certain implementation details were not specified but left to the IAOC. Would that be considered sufficient implementation detail?
Milton Mueller: (00:31) Had the IETF tried to specify the implementation details they would have not reached consensus, or would have taken longer
demi getschko: (00:32) All the best to you, Alissa!
Milton Mueller: (00:32) Farewell and good luck!
Daniel Karrenberg: (00:32) all the best, good health and strength to you Alissa!
Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (00:32) @Milton, good question.
Daniel Karrenberg: (00:32) clapclapclapclapclap!
joseph alhadeff: (00:33) +1!
Lars Liman (RSSAC): (00:33) My best wishes!
Patrik Fältström - SSAC: (00:33) +1000
Mary Uduma: (00:33) Have a great holiday and maternity leave. Wishing you safe delivery.
Alissa
Mohamed El Bashir: (00:33) thanks Alissa for hard work, have a good leave
Alissa Cooper: (00:33) thanks everyone!
Mary Uduma: (00:33) Bye All
James Bladel - GNSO: (00:33) Thanks Alissa & team.
demi getschko: (00:33) thanks and bye!
Xiaodong Lee: (00:34) bye