

**IANA STEWARDSHIP TRANSITION COORDINATION GROUP
CONFERENCE CALL #4
17 September 2014
Chat Room Transcript**

Alice Jansen: Welcome to the ICG call #4! The chat session is being archived and follows the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior:

<http://www.icann.org/en/news/in-focus/accountability/expected-standards>

Hartmut Glaser: Hi Everyone ...

Wu: Hi, Hartmut

Heather Dryden: Hi Everyone,

Wu: Hi, All

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): Hi all, good to see/hear you.

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: Hello All!

Martin: Hi all

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): Welcome Martin and Olivier

Patrik Fältström & Russ Mundy: Patrik Fältström & Russ Mundy joined, sharing phone etc

Mohamed El Bashir: hello everyone

elise.gerich: Hello

Jandyr: Jandyr joined

demi getschko: (hearing a lot of music at adigo... :-)

elise.gerich: Did you use the new code - 27318

demi getschko: (will try again - thanks Elise)

elise.gerich: :-)

Mohamed El Bashir: I will be using adobe connect only

demi getschko: Ok!

Keith Davidson: I am here on Adobe Connect only also

Ergys Ramaj: All, please remember to state your name before speaking.

Heather Dryden: Suggested text for minutes of Second Teleconference: "She explains that GAC members on the ICG could not vote on such narrow issues."

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): I support approving the minutes with Heather's edit for clarification.

Keith Davidson: Is the Adobe Connect bridge open so that we can use Connect to talk also?

Paul Wilson: Paul Wilson joined. My apologies, I was using the advised Adigo number, and listening to music instead of voices.

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): Is Kavouss in the Adobe room? I don't see him in the list of attendees, unless I missed him.

Paul Wilson: Yes.

elise.gerich: Yes

Manal Ismail: Yes ..

Paul Wilson: Can you increase the size please Alissa.

Patrik Fältström & Russ Mundy: Just make it wider...

Paul Wilson: The document is available here:

Paul Wilson:

<https://www.dropbox.com/s/ouomu5srba1kj6c/ICG%20Guidelines%20for%20the%20Decision%20Making-v12-MU-ALC-JA-ALC-clean.docx?dl=0>

Alice Jansen: Jean-Jacques, Alissa is projecting her screen

Jari Arkko: if people have substantive issues, can they say so now?

Heather Dryden: I support your approach Elissa.

Martin: Agree

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: Alissa, could you hand over the projection to Staff, so that they can allow each member to scroll individually?

Jari Arkko: FWIW, I have no substantive issues

Jandyr: Good point, Jean-Jacques

Patrik Fältström & Russ Mundy: Patrik & Russ have no substantive issues.

Heather Dryden: Alissa (sorry for misspelling!)

Lynn St.Amour: I believe there was support on the mail list for the document and here are no substantial issues for me.

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): I think the substantive issues were pretty much hammered out on the email list over the last 10 days. I don't have any further substantive issues to raise.

Adiel A. Akplogan: I don't have any substantive issue to raise here either

demi getschko: I'm ok with the shown version

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: No substantive issue

Keith Davidson cctld: Nothing substantive from me

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): Going once....going twice.....

Mohamed El Bashir: I support this approach, no major issues from my side

Jandyr: No substantive issue

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): SOLD! Onto the editorial discussion.

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): Yes

Manal Ismail: yes ..

Martin: yes

elise.gerich: Can you raise it to 150% in size for easier reading?

elise.gerich: thank you

Martin: fine for me

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): +1 include secretariat

Jari Arkko: I support adding secretariat at the end

Patrik Fältström & Russ Mundy: Why not end sentence after "...full members."

Manal Ismail: I'm fine with Lynn's suggestion ..

Keith Davidson cctld: We still need larger type size in document

Manal Ismail: I'm fine too with adding secretariat ..

Martin: Manal +1

Patrik Fältström & Russ Mundy: No objection to the suggested text.

Keith Davidson cctld: Thanks Alissa

Alan Greenberg: Unfortunately in ICANN, Sol is used both in the context that Wolf-Ulrich mentioned, but is ALSO used to apply for positions.

Mary Wong: Per Wolf-Ulrich's point, here is an example of a GNSO SOI (sorry, Wolf-Ulrich, I'm using yours :) - <https://community.icann.org/x/UQIBAQ>

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): Perhaps we just need a clarification that our respective SOIs are expected to include any conflicts or potential conflicts.

Mary Wong: Questions 9-11 are the most pertinent to Jeqn Jacques' point.

Keith Davidson cctld: How about : transcribed, and SOIs (Statement of Interest) and COI's (Conflict of Interests) are required

Jari Arkko: ok with Keith's proposal

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): or..."SOIs, to include any conflicts, are required."

Lynn St.Amour: support Keith's proposal (and Alissa's edits)

Keith Davidson cctld: Keith Drazeks working is better than mine

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: continuous disclosure

Heather Dryden: Keith text + 1

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): SOI and COI mean two separate statements to me. I suggest we use "SOIs, to include any conflicts of interest, are required."

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: @Keith: +1.

Russ Housley: ... meetings are normally recorded and/or transcribed, Statements of Interest (SOI), including any potential conflicts of interest (COIs) are required from ICG members and shall be publicly available, and any

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): works for me

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): yep!

Martin: Agree on edits and editorial decision

Lynn St.Amour: +1 to Martin's comments

Adiel A. Akplogan: Agree

Manal Ismail: none .. thank you ..

Keith Davidson cctld: +1 to Martin

Martin: all fine for me

Lynn St.Amour: Looks fine.

Martin: have we got agreement?

Jari Arkko: agree with alissa's interpretation

Manal Ismail: This was also my interpretation .. happy to make it clearer if there is a better suggestion

Jari Arkko: the charter is also pretty clear that the major outputs need public review & support

Jari Arkko: (I could also say this on voice, but can not raise my hand for some reason)

Manal Ismail: I was on ATRT1 ..

demi getschko: I was on ATRT2

demi getschko: I think that to have or not public comments depends on the context of the working group. I do not see this group in the same situation as ATRT2

demi getschko: (as we would be working towards our own communities)

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: May I suggest "The ICG will make all reasonable

efforts...(the rest without change), INCLUDING THROUGH PUBLIC COMMENT PERIODS"

demi getschko: (may be we could ask for Public Comment period after we concluded a draft of the document...)

Keith Davidson cctld: Alice, - sorry I should have mentioned earlier - Narelle Clark offers her apologies for missing this meeting, if that can be recorded

Alice Jansen: ok Keith - thanks for letting me know!

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: @Kavouss: is that not in "reasonable efforts",

Manal Ismail: I think the separation we want to make is implicit and goes without saying ..

Jari Arkko: i'd be happy with the proposal

Patrik Fältström & Russ Mundy: Nope! Accepted!

Paul Wilson: I support.

Jari Arkko: done!

Lynn St.Amour: Support..

Adiel A. Akplogan: None

Paul Wilson: congratulations everyone!

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): None from me. I approve.

Manal Ismail: none ..

Mohamed EL Bashir: Thats good

Heather Dryden: Support too.

Jari Arkko: raising my hand

Heather Dryden: ALAC, GAC exchanges would be open to widere attendance and transcribed so wider audiences caould benefit.

Jari Arkko: +1 to heather's point

Keith Davidson cctld: I'm sorry I have to log off now – cheers all

Jari Arkko: i like public joint sessions, but the public consultation is 90 minutes, lets not assume we can do everything under that??

Manal Ismail: I don't see public session and outreach to the different SO/ACs as mutually exclusive ..

Joseph Alhadeff: no i suggested extending that session for another hour if needed.

Patrik Fältström & Russ Mundy: Note that the proposed agenda for Thursday as presented do include ability for "the communities" to present, before the open microphone.

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): I suggest we urge attendance at a single public session, but if there's a group, like the GAC, that is booked and can't make that public session, there's nothing wrong with a smaller group interaction at the request of any particular group. We just need to ensure our messaging is consistent so we don't create confusion.

Manal Ismail: we had the same with ATRT and I believe was very useful ..

Lynn St.Amour: @Jari - agree and we would not be able to go as deeply as many would like

Alissa Cooper: I do not believe we have scheduling flexibility to extend the public session beyond 90 minutes.

Adiel A. Akplogan: Jari yes 90 minutes is short but we can request a bit more time, or structure the whole time allocated to allow more interaction with various stakeholder group

Mohamed EL Bashir: i think we can do both

Adiel A. Akplogan: Ok I see GAC's point

Jari Arkko: i have a compromise proposal. the ICG asks for more time (I think in any case, 90 minutes is short). but in addition, various communities are free to provide additional interaction on their own with GAC and others.

Adiel A. Akplogan: but my worry is about generalising such "bilateral" consultation

Mohamed EL Bashir: specific communities has requested to meet ICG for better engagement and those meetings will be open as well, then we have the planned open meeting n

Patrik Fältström & Russ Mundy: We must be prepared on how we manage requests from non-ICANN contingents of various kinds.

Joseph Alhadeff: then we had better be able to accommodate all community requests.

Joseph Alhadeff: I think the precedent is not advisable.

Mohamed EL Bashir: currently we have 2 requests

Jari Arkko: my compromise proposal was: ICG as a whole will have a public session 90+ minutes and in addition various communities are free to have other discussions (not claiming ICG hat)

Manal Ismail: this kind of reach out worked very well with ATRT (at least the one i was involved in)

Joseph Alhadeff: GAC may be the most different of all communities that may have rationale for such a request as some governments are less familiar and comfortable with this process.

Joseph Alhadeff: Manal, I understand why GAC would be an issue for public forum participation, but what is the rationale for other communities?

Lynn St.Amour: thank you

Wu: bye

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: Goobye All!

demi getschko: thanks

Jandyr: Thank you

Manal Ismail: Thanks .. bye ..

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Thanks Alissa and bye to all

Heather Dryden: Thanks all.

Alice Jansen: thank you for attending.

Adiel A. Akplogan: goodbye