

## ICG Call #10 – Wednesday, 14 January 2015 – 20:00-21:00 UTC Chat Transcript

Alice Jansen: (1/14/2015 09:48) Welcome to the ICG call #10! Please note that chat sessions are being archived and follow the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior: <http://www.icann.org/en/news/in-focus/accountability/expected-standards>

Alice Jansen: (20:51) Hi Jean-Jacques - crystal clear! :-)

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (20:52) Thank you Alice & Jennifer!

Keith Davidson ccns: (20:52) Hi all

Keith Davidson ccns: (20:52) Is the audio bridge connected?

Alice Jansen: (20:53) hi Keith - yes, it is

Keith Davidson ccns: (20:57) Hmmm - I only have the option of connecting via phone Alice...

Alice Jansen: (20:58) @ Keith - see my privat chat

Keith Davidson ccns: (20:58) Thanks Alice

Patrik Fältström: (20:58) Someone typing is not muted.

Alice Jansen: (20:59) Please mute your line if not speaking to avoid echo -

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (20:59) (someone's typing is clearly audible...)

Alissa Cooper: (20:59) Apologies I think that was me

Milton Mueller: (21:01) Hello all

Mary Uduma: (21:03) Hello Everyone, Happy New Year

Alice Jansen: (21:03) announcement available at:

<https://www.icann.org/news/announcement-3-2015-01-12-en>

Manal Ismail: (21:04) Hello all .. Happy New Year !!

Milton Mueller: (21:06) No

Lynn St.Amour: (21:07) Indeed, a big congratulations to all those who made the new secretariat happen and an equally big thank you to all the ICANN folks for their great support.

Manal Ismail: (21:07) +1 Lynn

demi getschko: (21:08) +1 Lynn

Bladel: (21:08) Hello - I should be noted as "GNSO" not "gTLD Registry". Thank you!

Adiel A. A. - NRO: (21:08) Sorry for late connection... problem with Internet connection ...

Adiel A. A. - NRO: (21:09) Heavy rain and Cyclone warning here in MU

Adiel A. A. - NRO: (21:09) Hello My Mic is not active...

Manal Ismail: (21:10) Sorry to hear that Adiel .. hope all goes smooth ..

Mary Uduma: (21:10) Missed some of the Jean-Jacques's statement

Alice Jansen: (21:10) Hi Adiel - tech-support has activated your microphone

Bladel: (21:10) Patrik - Do we know what this new address will be?

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (21:11) Alissa & Patrik: for the practical arrangements with Secretariat, IMO it would make sense for Co-Chairs to use the members of the sub-committee as well. We know the requirements, and took pains to interview the candidates in a complete manner.

Keith Drazek: (21:12) Can someone please post the Conference ID for the English phone line?

Alice Jansen: (21:12) Keith, see private chat

Bladel: (21:13) Keith - I had problems using the automatic system with the passcode. You may need to wait for an operator.

Keith Drazek: (21:14) I'm in, thanks. Apologies for the distraction.

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (21:15) Alissa, I've just reviewed Samantha's report once again: I agree.

Keith Drazek: (21:15) Everyone mute phones and computers when not speaking please.

Alice Jansen: (21:16) Please mute your line/speakers

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (21:16) (tinkling sound: could you mute microphone? Thanks)

Jari Arkko: (21:16) i hear noise

Milton Mueller: (21:16) squeak, squeak

Patrik Fältström: (21:16) Can people please mute...

Keith Drazek: (21:16) Mute computer AND phones in case both are open.

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (21:18) @Alissa: Manal's proposal to involve ALL members is good, but at least we should have a fair mixture of involved and non-involved.

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (21:19) @Kavouss +1.

Mary Uduma: (21:20) +1 Kavouss

Bladel: (21:20) Agree with Kavouss

Josh Baulch: (21:20) Milton - pls mute

Josh Baulch: (21:21) If you are the phone, please Mute your Computers

Keith Drazek: (21:21) Can the operators help us with the feedback noise? It's probably someone with both phone and computer microphone open.

Jari Arkko: (21:21) i would suggest that for each operational community proposal, we MUST have both involved and non-involved people. I would also suggest that who has the? token on actually writing reports is immaterial, and up to the team.

Jari Arkko: (21:22) hand up

Bladel: (21:23) Lowering my hand, my comment was identical to Alissa's.

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (21:24) @Manal +1.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (21:24) Manal, I agree

Michael Niebel: (21:24) Manal+1

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (21:25) @Jari +1. It really depends on the willingness of each member of ICG.

RussMundy: (21:25) Jari +1

Keith Drazek: (21:26) I am happy to contribute to the review.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (21:26) I volunteered also either for parameters or numbers

Bladel: (21:26) I will hold off and wait for Numbers.

Milton Mueller: (21:26) Date again, I missed it Alissa

Jari Arkko: (21:27) jan 26th

Milton Mueller: (21:27) Jan 20-which?

Milton Mueller: (21:27) ok

Sivasubramanian M Phone: (21:28) It is not a review, but a "summary" that is to be prepared? If an assessment, it is for all of ICG, if a summary, then some one from the

same community + others

Keith Drazek: (21:32) +1 Patrik, not everyone will be able to attend the entire ICG session, but we can still maximize work.

Bladel: (21:34) Sorry, I meant a box lunch or something. Keep the group in the room and working!

Adiel A. A. - NRO: (21:38) <http://www.nro.net/crisp-proposal-second-draft>

Keith Drazek: (21:40) I can contribute to this update on the CCWG Accountability track.

Bladel: (21:41) That's the CWG-Accountability co-chairs.

Keith Drazek: (21:44) The CCWG Accountability will work closely with the CWG Transition to ensure the processes are in sync and complementary. But the ICG is only focused on the proposal to be submitted by the CWG Transition. The Accountability CCWG is a parallel process, but does not feed directly into the ICG. Rather, it will feed WS1 input into the ICG via the CWG Transition.

Bladel: (21:45) +1 Keith.

Keith Drazek: (21:46) The CCWG Accountability will not submit anything directly to the ICG. It will submit its recommendation directly to the ICANN Board and to NTIA.

Keith Drazek: (21:48) The ICG and CWG Accountability are focused only on the IANA Functions Stewardship Transition and engaging the operational communities on their needs for the IANA Functions Stewardship transition specifically. The Accountability CCWG is much broader in scope and not in the remit of the ICG. That said, the CCWG Accountability and CWG Transition WILL work closely together to address any broader accountability issues/reforms that are necessary for the IANA Functions transition.

Keith Drazek: (21:49) The Co-Chairs of the CCWG Accountability and CWG Transition are already in contact.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoen: (21:54) @James: good suggestion

Lynn St.Amour: (21:55) @Keith, what "review/work" would you see for the ICG for the Accountabiility work?

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (21:56) "vite fait, mal fait" (Kavouss quoted)

Lynn St.Amour: (21:56) @Keith: feel free to respond by voice :-)

Keith Drazek: (21:56) This process has been bifurcated by ICANN from the beginning. I agree it's a mess, but that's the hand we've been dealt. The ICG is ONLY focusing on the IANA FUNCTIONS Stewardship proposals. The WS-1 Accountability issues are being handled separately, but will feed into the CWG Transition work.

Bladel: (21:57) Kavouss raises an important point about comments. That's probably a minimum of 4 weeks -after- the report is published.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoen: (21:57) all IANA related accountability items are in workstream 1. If both CWG and CCWG are contributing to this the ICG will have to coordinate

Jari Arkko: (21:58) Keith - I believe it is very important that the IANA-related accountability aspects (WS-1) are fed into the CWG, and eventually into the ICG. I do not think we need any direct input from CCWG. But we do need an accountability story from all operational communities, including names.

Keith Drazek: (21:58) @Lynn: The ICG should review any WS-1 accountability

work that is submitted by the CWG Transition, following the interaction between those two groups.

Jari Arkkio: (21:58) so, if i understand you right, we're good, if the WS-1 work is channeled through CWG

Keith Drazek: (21:59) Correct, it just means the CWG submission is dependent upon the CCWG work that is unfortunately behind.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (21:59) Yes Keith. But if the ICG timeline is affected we should know about

Thomas Schneider: (22:00) I have to leave for another GAC call. Looking forward to seeing you in Singapore... Best Thomas

Lynn St.Amour: (22:00) @Keith, thanks -- that is more clear and if you support what Alissa is saying then we may all be aligned...

Keith Drazek: (22:00) Correct. It will be affected and it's up to the CWG Transition to advise the ICG of the necessary extension or timeline to accomplish its work. I believe they are preparing to do so.

Keith Davidson ccns: (22:01) Yes Alissa - agree Wolfs suggestion to formally write to CWG is important

Milton Mueller: (22:01) no

Milton Mueller: (22:01) not any more

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (22:02) @Milton, thanks :-)

Bladel: (22:02) Thanks Alissa & Patrik & team.

Narelle Clark: (22:02) I'm fine, all have said the things I wanted said!

Milton Mueller: (22:03) Wolf-Ulrich - you are Welcome

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (22:03) Bye all!

Pär Brumark (GAC): (22:03) Thank you!

Keith Davidson ccns: (22:03) Thanks Alissa - bye

Lawrence: (22:03) Bye

Manal Ismail: (22:03) Thanks ..Bye ..

Alyne Andrade: (22:03) Thank you

Mary Uduma: (22:03) Thanks and bye everyone

Russ Housley: (22:03) Bye

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (22:03) bye

demi getschko: (22:03) bye

Deolindo Costa: (22:03) Bye

Mamadou: (22:04) bye bye