

ICG Call #25 – Wednesday, 13 January, 2016 – 20:00-21:00 UTC

Chat Transcript

Jennifer Chung: (1/14/2016 02:47) Welcome to the ICG call #25, this call will be starting at 20:00 UTC. Please note that chat sessions are being archived and follow the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior: <http://www.icann.org/en/news/in-focus/accountability/expected-standards>

Kavouss Arasteh: (02:55) Dear All distinguished and respectful ICG Colleagues, First some public relation chat

Michael Niebel: (02:55) Bonne annee a toutes et a tous

Kavouss Arasteh: (02:56) Happy New Year to All. May 2016 be a year of joy, health, success and prosperity for you and your family

Kavouss Arasteh: (02:56) Second Happy New Year to Our Chair Alissa and the two Vice Chair

Kavouss Arasteh: (02:57) Alissa, I hope your daughter is quite well and started to smile

Keith Davidson ccNSO: (02:58) Thank you Kavouss and happy new year to you and everyone also

Xiaodong Lee: (02:58) hi, colleagues

Kavouss Arasteh: (02:58) I also wish to express my sincere appreciation to those of you who have sent me " recovery Message 2 during my hospitalization. I am now in the post operation period which takes about three months

Mary Uduma: (02:58) Thank you Kavouss, compliments to ICG members. Best of 2016. Happy to be with you all again.

Keith Davidson ccNSO: (02:59) That is very good news Kavouss - I wish you a speedy recovery

Keith Davidson ccNSO: (02:59) Could I please have my Adobe Connect audio activated?

Mary Uduma: (02:59) Kavouss wishing you great health in 2016.

Patrik Fältström (ssac): (02:59) Kavouss: I am very happy hear about your recovery.

Kavouss Arasteh: (03:00) Tks to all again

Martin Boyle, ccNSO: (03:01) yes

Keith Davidson ccNSO: (03:01) yes

RussMundy-SSAC: (03:01) @Kavouss: This is very good news - I hope your recovery continues well

Mike Brennan: (03:01) Hi Keith, I enabled your microphone

Keith Davidson ccNSO: (03:01) Thanks Mike for the mic...

Manal Ismail: (03:01) Hello everyone ..

Milton: (03:01) Greetings, all

Manal Ismail: (03:02) can I have my mic enabled please ..

Mike Brennan: (03:02) Manal, your mic has been enabled

elise.gerich (epg): (03:02) Hello, everyone.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: (03:02) Greetings to all, and all the best to Kavouss

Manal Ismail: (03:02) Thanks a million Mike !!

Milton: (03:03) We need to have the option for talking via computer PLEASE

Joseph Alhadeff: (03:03) kavouss, I was not aware of the surgery, but am happy you are in post operation and recovering....

Kavouss Arasteh: (03:04) tks to all again

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (03:05) Alissa, your audio is weak, and has echo.

Milton: (03:06) I can hear you, but there is an echo

Milton: (03:10) excellent overview, Keith

Kavouss Arasteh: (03:10) Brenda pls cionnect me

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (03:10) @Keith, you're loud and clear.

Manal Ismail: (03:10) Thanks Keith for the summary and yea we can hear you ..

elise.gerich (epg): (03:10) yes we can hear you. perhaps those of us on the adobe connect can listen and not speak

Milton: (03:10) Q for Keith: will there be a public comment summary published soon?

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (03:11) Kavouss you are far more eloquent than I am, first language aside!

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (03:12) @Milton: Good question. I'm not sure. I can find out on tonight's CCWG call.

Joseph Alhadeff: (03:12) I'm dialed into telcon and off Mute, but wasn't heard. My question was how likely is the scope and nature of the comments to impact the names proposal in away that would make us reconsider it and have as separate comment period?

Jennifer Chung: (03:13) @Joe- the phone bridge operator have confirmed you are unmuted, if you would like to try to speak again after Kavouss?

Joseph Alhadeff: (03:13) Ok, but if question is answered online I'm fine too :-)

Milton: (03:13) @Keith I know the staff released a spreadsheet with some analysis of the comments but there were some corrections and it would be nice to have a written summary going through each of teh 12 recommendations and making it clear which ones need work

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (03:13) @Joseph: I don't see the public comments on CCWG v3 negatively impacting the CWG Names proposal. Some of the comments have highlighted the need for more detail to ensure the CWG key dependencies are met.

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (03:14) But I don't see any challenges to the sanctity of the CWG Names proposal.

Jari Arkko: (03:15) In global public interest, I recommend that the ICANN board and the CCWG come to a speedy conclusion of their process.

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (03:15) @Milton: Agreed re the comment summary.

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (03:15) lol Jari me too

Manal Ismail: (03:16) +1 Joe's Question ..

Milton: (03:17) Jari, let's have a proceeding to define what you mean by "global public interest" ;-)

Joseph Alhadeff: (03:17) From my view, if names is telling us that they can go forward with their proposal when issues are settled and no other community is raising concern on thier proposal in

terms of impact or timeliness, then I see a basis for further updates, but need for an in person meeting... Not to jump the gun on the discussion :-) Keith and Kavouss are owed a large debt of gratitude for these very useful summaries.

Joseph Alhadef: (03:18) should read NO need for in person meeting... oops.

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (03:21) I concur with Kavouss' summary.

Manal Ismail: (03:22) Many thanks Kavouss !!

Jari Arkko: (03:22) Milton, lack of a definition hasn't stopped other people using the argument :-) I claim that conclusion of our process is likely going to have a bigger effect than some of the smaller adjustments that are now being discussed. Without trying to minimise the importance of the accountability (it is crucial), or the issues that are being discussed. But my comment was obviously slightly in tongue in cheek. However, we really do need to complete this process, and I hope we can all support how important that is.

Milton: (03:22) important point Russ

Milton: (03:23) CWG said that the proposal was not complete.

Xiaodong Lee: (03:23) +1 Jari.

Lynn St.Amour: (03:23) good question Russ. That clarification would be helpful

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (03:23) The CWG did submit comments and highlighted a couple of areas requiring more work. Specifically on the PTI budget issues.

Milton: (03:24) was IRP appeals of IANA issues also an issue, Keith?

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (03:24) If the CWG comments were not shared/submitted to the ICG list, I will track them down and forward.

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (03:24) @Milton: I believe so but will need to confirm.

Milton: (03:25) sorry, I meant to say "IRP appeal of IANA decisions"

Manal Ismail: (03:25) +1 Russ question .. specially that I share Milton's understanding that "CWG said that the proposal was not complete"

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (03:27) I just forwarded the CWG comment to the ICG list.

Manal Ismail: (03:27) Thanks Keith !!

RussMundy-SSAC: (03:27) thanks Keith

Xiaodong Lee: (03:28) Keith, thanks a lot

Jennifer Chung: (03:28) @Everyone - the CWG comment as mentioned by Keith is available here http://mm.ianacg.org/pipermail/internal-cg_ianacg.org/2016-January/002261.html

Martin Boyle, ccNSO: (03:30) @Milton +1

Mohamed EL Bashir: (03:35) Thanks Kavous and Keith for the update

Milton: (03:36) Yep.

Milton: (03:36) There's nothing I like better than waiting indefinitely

Patrik Fältström (ssac): (03:37) Milton: paint dries faster than that...

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (03:37) @Milton: you'll be given a chance to compose new carols

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (03:37) I expect the CCWG will have a better handle on its updated imeline by early next week.

Jari Arkko: (03:37) I would like the ICG to send a message urging the CCWG and the ICANN board to conclude the work as soon as possible, and to work even harder on finding compromises that allows them to move forward.

Milton: (03:38) Wolf-U :-))

Milton: (03:38) Jari: I suggest that the message be addressed only to the Board

Daniel Karrenberg: (03:39) i am on the bridge and it worked earlier

Xiaodong Lee: (03:39) Jari, +1

Daniel Karrenberg: (03:39) my mike is working

Jennifer Chung: (03:39) @Daniel - the phone bridge op has confirmed that everyone is unmuted on the bridge, if you would like to try to speak again after Kavouss?

Lynn St.Amour: (03:40) ilt is not really waiting indefinitely, and I would hope that the fact of an upcoming ICANN meeting helps propel us all to a conclusion.

Daniel Karrenberg: (03:40) yes

Milton: (03:40) Lynn: was just commenting humorously on Alissa's turn of phrase

Daniel Karrenberg: (03:40) ok no joy

Daniel Karrenberg: (03:40) move on

Lynn St.Amour: (03:41) @Milton, I know :-)

Daniel Karrenberg: (03:42) my intervention: we could ask the CWG if they are fine with us submitting. the only thing that prevents us is our promise to them.

Daniel Karrenberg: (03:42) if CWG is fine we can submit and be done.

Daniel Karrenberg: (03:43) could someone read that for me?

Milton: (03:43) Daniel: submitting our proposal before the CCWG is done fundamentally disrupts the bargain upon which the transition is based: no transition without accountability reform in WS1

Lynn St.Amour: (03:43) I also support Jari's suggestion above.

Daniel Karrenberg: (03:45) @milton: that is not our decision but NTIA's. we only wait because we have promised to CWG that we would. If they are fine with us submitting, then we can

Jari Arkko: (03:46) For what it is worth, the background for my proposal is not that the timeline issue is a surprise to CCWG or the board. But maybe the additional pressure for compromising would be helpful.

Joseph Alhadeff: (03:46) @ daniel - completely agree if CWG is OK with submission our job is done... but if we don't get their sign off I don't see how we can proceed. I am also a bit concerned in our appointing ourselves as the policeman of parts of the process beyond our remit. Fine with call for acceleration but not setting a deadline. which is beyond our remit..

Milton: (03:46) Daniel: Nope

Daniel Karrenberg: (03:48) @joe: completely agree

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (03:48) @Kavouss +1 about not accepting any new notions.

Xiaodong Lee: (03:49) I agree with Joseph's comment. that is better for us to follow our promising

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (03:49) @Kavouss, I agree that we could suggest NTIA review its deadlines, but that seems not very likely, considering the political calendar in the US.

Milton: (03:50) yes we can hear you know

Milton: (03:50) now

Mohamed EL Bashir: (03:51) my personal preference would be that ICG communications to include NTIA beside CCWG and ICANN Board informing about the current status and expected delays and requesting them to expediate the process

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (03:51) @Mohamed, agree that we include NTIA in our message. Naturally.

Martin Boyle, ccNSO: (03:52) We can ask, but I think the CCWG is so integral to the CWG proposal that CWG members are unlikely to look in favour

Xiaodong Lee: (03:54) Mohamed, why not we communicate with NTIA after we get feedback with CCWG and ICANN board

Manal Ismail: (03:54) I don't believe CWG will be able to give us a green light while the CCWG proposal is still being edited ..

RussMundy-SSAC: (03:55) I find it hard to believe that the CWG would agree that their requirements they "gave" to CCWG have been met when the CCWG has not finalized their proposal

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (03:55) I've seen a shift in approach and tone from ICANN (staff and Board) following the close of the public comment period in December. The intense pressure to meet timelines in Dublin has now shifted appropriately to "better to get it right than to rush it" approach.

RussMundy-SSAC: (03:55) @Martin: +1

Joseph Alhadeff: (03:55) @Milton - the answer is we see not further dependency between our proposal and the other accountability work. When CWG gives us sign off we don't have any basis not to submit, do we?

Jari Arkko: (03:56) +1 to not claiming to be done from ICG perspective until we really are. the only constructive thing we can do is to help conclude the remaining parts of the process. we should not try to label our result differently from reality.

Mohamed EL Bashir: (03:56) @Xiadong That's an option, although NTIA for sure are following up CCWG process, it might be useful as ICG to communicate our concerns of the expected delays

Milton: (03:56) You need Joe to understand the relationship between CCWG WS1 and the IANA transition.

Milton: (03:57) One is conditional upon the other. we are NOT done until the CCWG is done, there will be or should be no transition and no proposal in the ICANN board's hands until CCWG has reached consensus and is finished

Milton: (03:59) It would have to be Wednesday, I think

Milton: (03:59) (the ICG conference "call" at Marrakech)

Milton: (04:00) yes

Jari Arkko: (04:00) +1 to reserving a conference call slot during marrakesh meeting, clearly labeled as a "reservation, if needed"

Joseph Alhadeff: (04:00) They are conditional in terms of their evaluation by NTIA, I'm just not sure how that's a gating factor for us? I guess holding our proposal could benefit from limiting ICANN gamesmanship... But that's a sad statement...

Milton: (04:00) Be sad

Russ Housley: (04:00) I do not think I would go to Marrakesh for a slot with no agenda, but I do not object to a gathering of people that are already going to be there

Joseph Alhadeff: (04:00) @milton :-)

Martin Boyle, ccNSO: (04:01) @Daniel +1

Joseph Alhadeff: (04:01) Have to drop off... have no opinion on timing of call...

Milton: (04:02) bye Joe

Xiaodong Lee: (04:03) not sure how many ICG members go to Marrakesh meeting, if many, why not have a meeting there and reserve a meeting slot, since we don't know what will be happened in next two month

Kavouss Arasteh: (04:03) i SUGGEST THAT THOSE ICG MEMBERS ATTENING ICANN 55, getting together there in an informal manner and prepare a brief for formal ICG call

Milton: (04:03) agree with Xiaodong but not too concerned about it

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (04:04) I suggest we schedule tentative teleconferences for the week before and week after Marrakech and use them as needed.

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (04:04) Agree fully with Alissa

Daniel Karrenberg: (04:04) informal meetings can be held by anyone anywhere

Narelle Clark: (04:04) Tentative teleconferences for week before and after are a good idea. Lwet's hope we hear more positive news.

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (04:05) Thanks everyone!

Milton: (04:05) bye all

Lynn St.Amour: (04:05) thanks everyone.

Patrik Fältström (ssac): (04:05) Thanks!

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (04:05) Bye!

Martin Boyle, ccNSO: (04:05) thanks all and bye

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: (04:05) thanks and bye

Daniel Karrenberg: (04:05) thank you alissa, chaira

RussMundy-SSAC: (04:05) thanks all, bye

Narelle Clark: (04:05) Bye and thanks all.

Manal Ismail: (04:05) Thanks .. Bye ..

Daniel Karrenberg: (04:05) chairs

Keith Davidson ccNSO: (04:05) Thanks and bye all

Mohamed EL Bashir: (04:05) Thank you

Mohamed EL Bashir: (04:05) bye

Jennifer Chung: (04:05) Thank you all, ICG Call 25 is now concluded.