ICG Call #22 – Thursday 10 September 2015 – 05:00-06:00 UTC
Chat Transcript

Keith ccNSO: (9/10/2015 00:51) Hi all

Jennifer Chung: (00:51) Hello Keith! Hello everyone!

Jennifer Chung: (00:51) Welcome to the ICG call #22! Please note that chat sessions are being archived and follow the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior: http://www.icann.org/en/news/infocus/accountability/expected-standards

Jennifer Chung: (00:51) Please not that livestreaming translations in RU and PT are not available for this call.

Keith Drazek: (00:51) Hi Jennifer!

Keith Drazek: (00:52) When we get to Agenda item #2, can you project the email I sent to the list earlier today?

Jennifer Chung: (00:52) @Keith - sure I'll get that ready

Keith Drazek: (00:53) Excellent, thank you!

Paul Wilson: (00:54) Hi all

Martin Boyle, ccNSO: (00:54) Hi all

Narelle Clark: (00:56) Hi all

Paul Wilson: (00:56) that sound in inaudible for me. others too?

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (00:56) Good morning!

Martin Boyle, ccNSO: (00:56) Gosh, that sounded really bad

Narelle Clark: (00:56) no sound at all here

Narelle Clark: (00:56) no now there is a faint tapping

Russ Housley: (00:56) I could not understand that either

Lynn St.Amour: (00:57) it was very garbled

Paul Wilson: (00:57) i shold have said "incomprehensible" rather than "inaudible"

Martin Boyle, ccNSO: (00:57) very husky to a point of being incomprehensible

RussMundy-SSAC: (00:57) same for me

Mohamed El Bashir: (00:58) Hello everyone

Martin Boyle, ccNSO: (00:58) lovely

Narelle Clark: (00:58) I have no sound at all... sigh

Lynn St.Amour: (00:58) very well

Martin Boyle, ccNSO: (00:58) it is for you

RussMundy-SSAC: (00:58) excellent voice quality from Keith's sound check

RussMundy-SSAC: (00:59) yes - alissa

Hartmut Glaser: (00:59) Hi Everyone ...

Narelle Clark: (01:00) is anyone talking?

Narelle Clark: (01:00) Alissa is speaking :-)

Paul Wilson: (01:02) hi daniel

Joseph Alhadeff: (01:03) I'm having a mic problem for now, but am looking to call in

Jennifer Chung: (01:05) @Everyone - Link to archive: https://www.ianacg.org/calls-for-input/iana-stewardship-transition-proposal-public-archive-of-submitted-comments/

Jennifer Chung: (01:05) @Joe - would you like the operator to dial out to you?

Daniel Karrenberg: (01:06) excellent work by the secretariat. Thank you!

demi getschko: (01:06) Thanks, Jennifer

Jennifer Chung: (01:06) @Daniel and Demi - you are very welcome!

Joseph Alhadeff: (01:08) I've dialed in thanks.

Manal Ismail: (01:09) Jennifer, just joined .. can I have my mic enabled please ..

Yannis Li: (01:10) @Manal, your microphone has been enabled.

Keith Drazek: (01:10) Sounds like a good plan. Thanks to those who volunteered.

Manal Ismail: (01:10) Thanks Yannis ..

Mary Uduma: (01:10) Goodmorning all, sorry for joining late

Jennifer Chung: (01:14) @Everyone - this is he last version that was circulated on the ICG list https://www.dropbox.com/s/37tgq5yb193gl4r/ICG-public-comment-matrix-v1-20150907.xlsx?dl=0

Jennifer Chung: (01:14) And this is the word version https://www.dropbox.com/s/nh12o27wptd9nu0/ICG-public-comment-matrix-v1-20150907.docx?dl=0

Daniel Karrenberg: (01:14) does this also contain the "unconfirmed" submissions that were received before the deadline?

Jennifer Chung: (01:15) Please note that the matrix has now changed in structure slightly - Russ I can certainly add a column for ICG members to input comments

Jennifer Chung: (01:15) @Daniel and all - we currently have 15 unconfirmed comments that were received prior to the deadline

Jennifer Chung: (01:15) Automated and manual reminders have been sent to them

Daniel Karrenberg: (01:16) could the secretariat do "manual" spam filtering on those and include them now?

Paul Wilson: (01:17) +1

Paul Wilson: (01:17) to daniel

Jari Arkko: (01:19) +1 to daniel

Lynn St.Amour: (01:19) good suggestion Daniel

Martin Boyle, ccNSO: (01:19) No

Paul Wilson: (01:19) support

Manal Ismail: (01:19) +1 Daniel ..

Martin Boyle, ccNSO: (01:19) objections

RussMundy-SSAC: (01:19) Jennifer: If you could add a column for Member Comments & one for ICG Action, that would be very helpful

Michael Niebel: (01:20) +1 Daniel

Jari Arkko: (01:20) (I hope we keep the numbering though, my personal record of submssons that i have looked at are based on the submission numbers)

Jennifer Chung: (01:20) @Russ - certainly I can add that

Jari Arkko: (01:20) thanks

Jennifer Chung: (01:20) @Jari - the submission IDs will not change

RussMundy-SSAC: (01:21) Thanks Jennifer

Jennifer Chung: (01:24) @Everyone - the document is un-synced for your review

Daniel Karrenberg: (01:26) surprise surprise

Paul Wilson: (01:31) The strong impression I get is that the board comments are substantial and will require another round of CCWG work, if it is to take ICANN into account.

Manal Ismail: (01:32) @Keith many thanks for the brief ..

Mary Uduma: (01:33) Thanks Keith for the briefing. Very helpful.

Paul Wilson: (01:35) This is my understanding as well. There will be an alternative proposal from ICANN.

Paul Wilson: (01:35) i only get this impression from what was said in the long (3-hour) public call. But I think it was very clear.

Manal Ismail: (01:36) I share the same impression Paul ..

Daniel Karrenberg: (01:37) the question for *us* us whether all this affects *our* , the icg's, work

Alissa Cooper: (01:39) @Daniel I don't think we know yet. But I am getting questions about this already. This is why it is good to get the update from Keith, and I expect us to spend a little time on this at the F2F once the CCWG comment period is closed.

Daniel Karrenberg: (01:39) don't get me wrong: the update is very very useful

Jari Arkko: (01:42) test (my adobeconnect acting up a bit)

Daniel Karrenberg: (01:42) @jari: reading you

Manal Ismail: (01:43) Although the Accountability discussions does not have direct impact on the final proposal in terms of substance yet it does have administartive impact in term of timelines and the oevrall submission process ..

Daniel Karrenberg: (01:44) i suggest when fielding questions about this our chairs, and all of us, shouldproject that we are moving along with our work as scheduled

Patrik Fältström: (01:45) I am at last also on this call.

Daniel Karrenberg: (01:45) welcome to sth

Daniel Karrenberg: (01:45) @paf

Mary Uduma: (01:46) Welcome Patrik

Patrik Fältström: (01:46) thanks Daniel

Paul Wilson: (01:46) Strange - my mic not working.

Paul Wilson: (01:47) but, i was agreeing with independence of single-member and PTI models.

Paul Wilson: (01:47) also suggesting that we have to continue with our work.

Paul Wilson: (01:48) Also was going to ask if we have comments from ICANN on ICG proposal, and expressing a hope that if ICANN is concerned with PTI, they would have expressed it in the comment period.

Mary Uduma: (01:48) I think the ICG should continue with its work and schedules and timelines should also not be changed as we watch the process unfold

Manal Ismail: (01:48) May be we should add a question to our FAQ along those lines (and any other messages we would like to convey at this point in time) ..

Mary Uduma: (01:49) +1 Mannal

Jari Arkko (new connection): (01:49) Thanks Keith for a very measured and rational explanation of the situation. And I agree with your assessment. I wanted to say that we should emphasise sticking to the process, keeping open mind about comments, keeping an open mind about there possibly being a need to adjust proposal based on public comments, and figuring out what issues there are before jumping to the adjustements.. But the community should be in charge, and CCWG, not necessarily any individual commenter. However, you should follow what the comments say.

Daniel Karrenberg: (01:49) +1 manal

Lynn St.Amour: (01:49) Good idea Manal

Keith Drazek: (01:51) Thanks Jari, I appreciate your comments.

Daniel Karrenberg: (01:51) i understand now.

Daniel Karrenberg: (01:52) but that is cwg's work an not ours, in my mind

Daniel Karrenberg: (01:52) understand, thanks!

Narelle Clark: (01:53) But as Alissa says, if the CWG deems the CCWG work as not meeting requirements, then their proposal to us is no longer complete.

Keith Drazek: (01:53) Correct Narelle

Daniel Karrenberg: (01:54) @narelle: clear but it is for cwg to determine that and give us a binary answer, e.g. yes/no

Narelle Clark: (01:54) or rather, cannot be considered complete.

Keith Drazek: (01:54) Also correct Daniel

Narelle Clark: (01:54) @daniel, agree it is the CWG to determine that.

Daniel Karrenberg: (01:56) where i am going is this: once we are done with producing the proposal we can go to sleep until cwg gives us the answer. if the answer is yes we wake up for a second and pass on the document. if the answer is no we need to make a new plan.

Daniel Karrenberg: (01:57) the point is that we should go to sleep when we are done with the document.

RussMundy-SSAC: (01:58) @Keith: Great update, thanks

Keith Drazek: (01:58) My pleasure. Kavouss and I will continue to report out of the CCWG discussions. Everyone should feel free to ping us on the list with any questions or comments.

Narelle Clark: (01:58) @Daniel it may be that the answer is neither yes, nor no, but not yet...

Patrik Fältström: (01:58) thanks Keith for the update!

Daniel Karrenberg: (01:58) @narelle: then we continue sleeping until it is ues/no

Patrik Fältström: (02:01) setup of the room, coffee, breaks etc will be as previous meetings. seems to be a setup that works.

Daniel Karrenberg: (02:01) Oh my god, the perpetual committee raising its head again ;-) ;-) ;-)

Paul Wilson: (02:02) thanks all.

Narelle Clark: (02:02) Thank you Keith, Alissa, Secretariat bye bye

Patrik Fältström: (02:02) thanks and bye!

Daniel Karrenberg: (02:02) cu all in LA

Keith Drazek: (02:02) Thanks everyone! See you soon.

Daniel Karrenberg: (02:02) bye

RussMundy-SSAC: (02:02) bye

Keith ccNSO: (02:02) Thanks Alissa . all

Mohamed El Bashir: (02:02) Thank you, Bye

Martin Boyle, ccNSO: (02:02) thanks, bye

Manal Ismail: (02:02) Thanks .. Safe travels ..Bye ..

Jari Arkko (new connection): (02:02) looking forward to seeing you all. thanks.

demi getschko: (02:02) Bye!

Jennifer Chung: (02:03) Thank you all - ICG Call 22 has now concluded.