ICG Call #14 – Wednesday, 8 April 2015 – 04:00-05:00 UTC
Chat Transcript

Jennifer Chung: (4/7/2015 23:32) Welcome to the ICG call #14! Please note that chat sessions are being archived and follow the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior: http://www.icann.org/en/news/in-focus/accountability/expected-standards
Hartmut Glaser: (23:35) No need for PT interpretation
Jennifer Chung: (23:37) @ Harmut - we do not have PT interpretation for this call due to technical problems. We will have PT translation for the next call.
Hartmut Glaser: (23:37) Ok thanks
demi getschko: (23:38) mikes seem disabled
demi getschko: (23:39) ok!
demi getschko: (23:40) (mikes ok!)
arasteh: (23:54) this is knouss
arasteh: (23:54) How is everybody?
demi getschko: (23:54) Fine, Arasteh.
arasteh: (23:55) Hi Alissa
arasteh: (23:55) how are you?
arasteh: (23:55) how is the vbaby
Manal Ismail: (23:55) Hello everyone !!
arasteh: (23:55) ALISSA
arasteh: (23:55) aRE YOU THERE?
arasteh: (23:56) HOW ARE YOU?
arasteh: (23:57) HOW IS YOUR BABY?
arasteh: (23:58) A DAUGHTER OR A son?
Milton Mueller: (23:58) Cheers
Alissa Cooper: (23:59) Hi. I am well, thanks! Baby girl is doing well too.
arasteh: (23:59) GLAD TO HEAR THAT
Narelle Clark: (23:59) Congratulations. I hope you're getting sleep and not working too hard!
Daniel Karrenberg: (23:59) congratulations
Milton Mueller: (23:59) Congratulations
Daniel Karrenberg: (23:59) congratulations
Manal Ismail: (23:59) great .. welcome back :) !!
Milton Mueller: (23:59) Surprised to see you back in action so soon
demi getschko: (23:59) Congrats, Alissa
arasteh: (4/8/2015 00:00) THIS TIME THE BABY GETTING NORMALLY 16-18 HOURS OF SLEEP
Keith ccNSO: (00:00) Congratulations Alissa - hi all
Alissa Cooper: (00:00) Thanks all. I am back only very part-time.

arasteh: (00:01) YES WE KNOW THAT DEMONSTRATE YOUR DEDICATION TO THE WORK BECOMING CRITICAL AT THIS STAGE

Narelle Clark: (00:02) @Alissa I’m sure all your expertise in chairing meetings will come in handy when dealing with future toddlers and teenagers! :-)

arasteh: (00:02) PATRIK
arasteh: (00:02) gOOD morninG
arasteh: (00:02) pls be a little bit soft

James Bladel-GNSO: (00:03) Congratulations, Alissa! Glad to hear you're both well.

Lars-Johan Liman (RSSAC): (00:03) Yes
Lars-Johan Liman (RSSAC): (00:03) Just joined
arasteh: (00:04) let us all offer our congradulation to Alissa
Patrik Fälström: (00:04) Yes, arasteh, coming

Lynn St.Amour: (00:04) All congratulations to Alissa!
Mary Uduma: (00:04) Good morning All
Keith DrazeK: (00:06) I have no outstanding issues. I support calling consensus. Thanks to Manal, Lynn and all others who have contributed.
Daniel Karrenberg: (00:06) manal's work is finished as far as i am concerned.
Daniel Karrenberg: (00:06) and thanks to lynn too
demi getschko: (00:06) +1 to Keith / Daniel
Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (00:07) +1.
James Bladel-GNSO: (00:07) AGreed.
Keith ccNSO: (00:07) +1
Jari Arkko: (00:07) +1
Narelle Clark: (00:07) +1
Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (00:07) Good morning, and my congratulations to Alissa!
Russ Housley: (00:07) +1
Milton Mueller: (00:07) March 2014 or 2015???
Jandyr Santos Jr: (00:07) +1
RussMundy: (00:07) yes, consensus on this version
Hartmut Glaser: (00:07) agreed
Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (00:07) +1
Alissa Cooper: (00:07) April 2015
Alissa Cooper: (00:07) at this point
Milton Mueller: (00:07) I suspect 2015
Lynn St.Amour: (00:07) 2015
Russ Housley: (00:07) Should be 2015
Narelle Clark: (00:07) Yes the nit award goes to Milton, well spotted.
Milton Mueller: (00:07) ha ha it's now version 9
Narelle Clark: (00:08) Agreed - April 2015
Lynn St.Amour: (00:15) Is it a "tracking process" or a high level report on our deliberations during the reviews?
Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (00:15) @Lynn, my understanding, at this stage, is "tracking process".
Lynn St.Amour: (00:16) so, a tracking process to facilitate our reviews?
Alan Barrett: (00:16) The person who raises a question is best placed to say whether it's a formal question from the ICG to one of the communities, or just an internal discussion within the ICG.
Milton Mueller: (00:16) what are we "tracking," exactly?
Lynn St.Amour: (00:17) @Alan - agree
Milton Mueller: (00:17) ok
Alissa Cooper: (00:17) the idea here was to make sure we write down the questions/answers that come up during our own assessment of the proposals, I thought
Narelle Clark: (00:17) My understanding is we are tracking: a) requests for further information, as a whole b) passing the request over c) receiving/not receiving responses
Milton Mueller: (00:17) that's what I thought, Alissa
Alissa Cooper: (00:17) the secretariat consolidated this information for the IETF and RIR proposals
Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (00:18) @Alan +1.
Narelle Clark: (00:18) Can be traced as an individual request or from the whole
Narelle Clark: (00:19) I am also reading that this tracked list be attached as a record to the final process?
Patrik Fältström: (00:21) Narelle, I think (understand now) that we should try to write down what we actualy mean.
Alissa Cooper: (00:21) @Narelle, I think it might be nice for us to produce our own report to accompany the final proposal that shows the issues we discusses about the proposals
Narelle Clark: (00:22) @Alissa - agreed. It should form a good summary of the associated issues and explorations (for want of a better word).
Milton Mueller: (00:23) I do recall that discussion of jurisdiction - is it in the transcript?
Milton Mueller: (00:23) can teh secretariat dig it up
Keith Drazek: (00:23) The issue of jurisdiction, or more specifically where ICANN is headquartered, is still an open question in the CCWG-Accountability. I don't think it's currently an issue for the ICG.
Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (00:24) To Secretariat: to help implement Action Item 2 for ICG Call 12, could you please send to myself and Narelle (and other volunteers who may register), your suggested identification process, and in each case what action or response should come from the ICG.
Milton Mueller: (00:24) @Keith - the discussion was not ICANN jurisdiction but the jurisdiction of the IETF MoU for the IANA functions
Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (00:25) @Milton, yes that's what I understood.
Milton Mueller: (00:25) anyway, the question was answered, and if it is on record, in the transcript, might be useful to dig it up

Patrik Fältström: (00:25) Secretariat: Can you help digging according to the request from Milton?

Lynn St.Amour: (00:25) mine as well

Milton Mueller: (00:25) can you dig it?

Keith Drazek: (00:26) Thanks Milton. I must have confused the early-week CCWG discussion of jurisdiction with the late-week CWG discussion of jurisdiction. I look forward to seeing the relevant transcripts as well.

Milton Mueller: (00:26) too many WGs.

Jennifer Chung: (00:26) @ Milton and Patrik, will do so and will link it here in the chat.

Narelle Clark: (00:27) @JJS I suggest a table of: meeting ID, issue ID, party raising, issue, action taken, required follow up, status, any other comments

Alissa Cooper: (00:27) @narelle, J: the secretariat has already produced this table

Alissa Cooper: (00:27) for IETF and RIRs

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (00:28) @Narelle, that's what I thought, but I want to make sure that this is a complete list, hence my request to Secretariat.

Alissa Cooper: (00:29) @Narelle, Jean-Jacques:

[Link to Dropbox document]

Narelle Clark: (00:29) Excellent

Narelle Clark: (00:30) Sorry - link is broken... error from dropbox...

Jennifer Chung: (00:31) Here is the link to the transcript of the first day of of the F2F meeting 6 Feb: [Link to transcript]

Jennifer Chung: (00:31) The jurisdiction discussion begins on pg 77

Alissa Cooper: (00:31) [Link to internal-CG document]

Alissa Cooper: (00:32) that link should work, same document as the dropbox link

Alan Barrett: (00:33) "Numbers community", not "CRISP Team"

Patrik Fältström: (00:33) Noted Alan!

Patrik Fältström: (00:33) Not enough coffee here! ;-)

Alan Barrett: (00:33) thanks

Daniel Karrenberg: (00:34) i support the chair's suggestion to move forward

Milton Mueller: (00:34) yes +1 Patrik

Jari Arkko: (00:35) we come back with diagrams. but i want to re-iterate that we do NOT plan to make a change with regards to accountability or jurisdiction. Of course, the situation is different in CWG/CCWG, but that is because the arrangements have been different and will be different for names. Please do not ask us to follow models for other communities in areas where coordination is not necessary.
Milton Mueller: (00:35) Action item #3 says "ICG to continue discussion ON THE MAILING LIST..." so why are we discussing it on the call?

Patrik Fältström: (00:35) Milton: not here

Keith Drazek: (00:35) As the ICG reps to the CCWG Accountability, I'm sure Kavouss and I would be happy to help Jari and Alan with this effort, but I support the path forward recommended by Patrik.

Jennifer Chung: (00:35) @Jean-Jacques and all, I can circulate the chart again re the consolidation of the questions and answers regarding the IETF and RIR proposals

Milton Mueller: (00:36) Patrik: I don't know how to interpret "not here"

Patrik Fältström: (00:36) Not on the call, on the mailing list

Keith Drazek: (00:36) I also understand the concern that the CCWG Accountability work is unfinished...but significant progress is being made daily.

Milton Mueller: (00:37) @Patrik: that's what I said

Alissa Cooper: (00:38) we as the ICG have a proposal finalization process that requires all of us to evaluate how the three proposals work together. the onus is on the 32 of us to do that once we have all three proposals.

Russ Mundy: (00:38) Fully support Patrik's proposal on this item - please let's move forward

Keith Drazek: (00:38) +1 Russ

Lynn St.Amour: (00:38) I also support Patrik's proposal re moving forward

Patrik Fältström: (00:39) Yes Milton. I wanted to agree with you... Sorry.

Mohamed El Bashir: (00:43) thanks Patrik. the secretariat has indicated that we will a response by end of this week

Lynn St.Amour: (00:43) For future meetings, in order to move through past action items more efficiently as a group, could we ask the secretariat to collect the status of the action items in writing a few days ahead of time - get them to the full ICG two days ahead of time and then we just work through any outstanding questions on the call?

Patrik Fältström: (00:43) Yes that is a good idea Lynn
demi getschko: (00:44) good sugestion, Lynn

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (00:44) @Lynn +1.

Jennifer Chung: (00:44) All, this is the calendar the CWG-IANA has shared with us

Manal Ismail: (00:44) @Lynn +1

Xiaodong Lee: (00:45) sorry, I am late

Milton Mueller: (00:46) relief

Milton Mueller: (00:47) more relief

Keith Drazek: (00:47) yes

Daniel Karrenberg: (00:49) from experience let me *suggest* that we make a plan based on relative dates from the point we receive the names proposal and *not* make a plan starting from June 25th. :-) :-) :-(

Milton Mueller: (00:50) +1 Daniel

Keith Drazek: (00:50) I believe we will have early visibility into both the CWG and CCWG proposals in early June. If I'm not mistaken, they will likely be posted for a second public comment period
around June 8. That will give the ICG an opportunity to review the almost-final recommendations prior to the formal approvals.

Mary Uduma: (00:50) +1 Daniel

Daniel Karrenberg: (00:50) i did not say that the day might move forward ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)

demi getschko: (00:51) Keith +1

Greg Shatan (CWG): (00:51) FYI, I believe that the ICANN -IETF MoU is silent on jurisdiction (or, more accurately, choice of law). The question of applicable law ("what law applies") would need to be answered by analysis of extrinsic evidence (and I note that both signatories are apparently located in the US, albeit in different states).

Alissa Cooper: (00:51) +1 Patrik, planning a F2F meeting requires more lead time, but booking some conference call time (and then canceling if necessary) seems prudent

Jari Arkko: (00:51) +1 to milton and to early review & ICG engagement on the topic before formal approval

Greg Shatan (CWG): (00:52) CWG will not have a second public comment period (as this is the second public comment period coming up). There will be an approval period by the chartering orgs, as Milton mentions.

Mohamed El Bashir: (00:52) agree. early planning is good. we will be observing CWG milestones ( dates ) they specified towards issuing a final ratified proposal, if they are achieving their proposed dates and they issues a draft proposal by end of this month we need to start planning future dates for our work

Keith Drazek: (00:55) +1 Patrik, but we should note the names proposal target of June 8 for public comment is a best-case scenario at this time.

Jari Arkko: (00:55) +1 to alissa

Mohamed El Bashir: (00:56) +1 agree

Michael Niebel: (00:56) Alissa+1

Xiaodong Lee: (00:57) +1 Alissa

Mary Uduma: (00:57) +1 Allissa

Lynn St.Amour: (00:57) @Patrik, agree with your summary and proposed way forward

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (00:58) @Patrik +1.

demi getschko: (00:58) Ok to Patrick proposal

Russ Housley: (00:59) +1 we must apply judgement

Milton Mueller: (00:59) I do think we need to schedule a conf call a week after the BA meeting

Keith Drazek: (00:59) I agree Milton

Lynn St.Amour: (00:59) and me too :-)

Alissa Cooper: (00:59) yes that's what I was thinking

Alissa Cooper: (01:00) a long conference call ;)

Xiaodong Lee: (01:01) agree Milton

Xiaodong Lee: (01:01) agree Milton

Daniel Karrenberg: (01:02) patrick did not object
Daniel Karrenberg: (01:02) to the contrary
Daniel Karrenberg: (01:03) cery well summarised patrick, agree 999999999%
Daniel Karrenberg: (01:03) 99.9999999
Keith Drazek: (01:04) that's a lot of nines!
demi getschko: (01:04) (missing 0.000001% Daniel?) :-)
Narelle Clark: (01:04) 7 days
Milton Mueller: (01:04) a week
Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (01:04) immediately
Narelle Clark: (01:04) Eh! SEVEWN
Narelle Clark: (01:04) SEVEN
Keith Drazek: (01:04) Let's schedule for the week after BA and if necessary, push it a week.
Russ Housley: (01:04) week after ICANN 53
RussMundy: (01:05) If we get the CWG proposal on the 25th, then yes, we need a call
Manal Ismail: (01:05) agree to a week after
Daniel Karrenberg: (01:05) @demi: i was called on my use of non-diplomatic "diplomatic" language earlier. full agreement would not be "diplomatic" enough .... ;-) ;) ;-) ;-

demi getschko: (01:05) My fault!
Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (01:05) we can decide now and update in BA
Lynn St.Amour: (01:05) week after , 2 weeks would be too long given the timeframe we are working to and the work to be done.
Sherly Haristya: (01:06) We have this message from the interpreters - @Secretariat: the week after the ICANN meeting interpreters are travelling back home
Milton Mueller: (01:06) it takes them a week to get home? what, are they walking?
Patrik Fältström: (01:06) Thank Sherley
Jennifer Chung: (01:06) All, the links are as follows: 6 Feb minutes v4:
https://www.dropbox.com/s/7t4cu2smoeb96k/minutes-fourth-f2f-meeting-day1-6-february-2015-v4.docx?dl=0

Jennifer Chung: (01:07) 7 Feb minutes v2:
https://www.dropbox.com/s/sky0yufony4ypbc/minutes-fourth-f2f-meeting-day2-7-february-2015-v2.docx?dl=0

Jennifer Chung: (01:07) 11 Mar minutes v3:

Hartmut Glaser: (01:07) +1
RussMundy: (01:07) +1 for approval
Mary Uduma: (01:07) +1
Mohamed El Bashir: (01:08) approve
Milton Mueller: (01:08) yaaaaawwwwnnn
Alan Barrett: (01:08) I was not present for Feb meetings. Approve 11 March minutes.
Milton Mueller: (01:08) Oh I give up let Daniel have his way
Daniel Karrenberg: (01:09) It is not about me having my way, but about a good record of what transpired ;-) ;-) 
Milton Mueller: (01:10) No, it's about diminishing returns
Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (01:10) Thank you Patrik, fine chairing.
Lynn St. Amour: (01:10) Thank you Patrik!
Hartmut Glaser: (01:10) Congrats Patrik
RussMundy: (01:10) Excellent job chairing Patrik
Daniel Karrenberg: (01:11) Thank you patrik!
Alissa Cooper: (01:11) Thanks Patrik!
demi getschko: (01:11) What the name of the baby girl, Alissa?
RussMundy: (01:11) Congrats Alissa
Milton Mueller: (01:11) Thanks Patrik
elise gerich: (01:11) Good-bye
Keith Drazek: (01:11) Thanks Patrik. Congrats Alissa!
Alan Barrett: (01:11) thank you
Manal Ismail: (01:11) Thanks Patrik . bye !!
Patrik Fältström: (01:11) Thanks everyone!
Narelle Clark: (01:11) bye
Patrik Fältström: (01:11) Bye
Mohamed El Bashir: (01:11) thanks, Bye
Jari Arkko: (01:11) bye, all, and thanks.
Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: (01:11) thanks and bye
Mary Uduma: (01:11) congrats Alissa and bye all
Keith ccNSO: (01:11) Thanks and bye
Jennifer Chung: (01:11) Thank you all, the call is now closed.