

**Strategy Panel on ICANN's Role in the Internet Governance Ecosystem
Webinar Session 1 – Monday, 13 January 2014
Chat Transcript**

Alice Jansen: (1/13/2014 06:55) Welcome to the Strategy Panel on ICANN's Role in the Internet Governance Ecosystem Webinar - Session 1! Please note that chat sessions are being archived and follow the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior:

<http://www.icann.org/en/news/in-focus/accountability/expected-standards>

vint cerf: (10:43) i am on the voice bridge also

Alejandro Pisanty: (10:47) Hi all

vint cerf: (10:52) alex what are you doing on this call?

vint cerf: (10:52) alex krutov

vint cerf: (10:54) ok it's the participant

Hartmut: (10:56) hi everyone

Olga Cavalli: (10:58) hi everyyone!

Keith Davidson: (10:58) Hi all

Alice Jansen: (10:58) Thanks for joining, we will begin shortly.

Aída Noblia: (10:59) hi Olga and all!

Daniel Oppermann - InterNetX: (10:59) hi all

Victor Ndonnang: (10:59) Hello Everyone and Happy New Year!

Eduardo Diaz: (10:59) ¡Hola a Todos!

Grigori Saghyan: (11:00) Hello!

James Njoroge: (11:01) Hi! "Happy New Year" James Njoroge here in Nairobi, Kenya

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (11:02) hi all...

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (11:03) dialed in but background music only playing

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (11:03) ahh better

Mikey OConnor: (11:04) Hi all -- what a great gang

Fatima Cambroner: (11:04) hello everyone

Chris LaHatte: (11:05) morning all from NZ

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (11:06) Hi Chris...

Alberto Soto: (11:08) Hello everyone!

Chris LaHatte: (11:09) hi Cheryl

Denicoli: (11:09) Hello from Brazil :)

Alejandra Erramuspe (Uruguay): (11:14) hello, from Uruguay

Abdou: (11:19) hello from Abdou mfopa pountounigni from Cameroon
raitme citterio: (11:20) Hello from Venezuela
Avri Doria: (11:23) i like th epicture, but it think IETF touches the infrastructure layer as well.
Avri Doria: (11:24) and i know we are only taking ICANN's perspective, but ..
Keith Davidson: (11:24) Agree Avri - and the TLD community is ignored, but it contributes at several layers too
Kevin Newmeyer: (11:25) the security piece is conspicuous by its absence
Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (11:25) I like the picture too but am concerned this only shows actual organisations but somehow misses Civil Society
Avri Doria: (11:25) Keith, the ccTLD like would menad that ICANN also touches the infrastructure layer.
Kurt: (11:26) Right Keith, TLDs provide infrastructure and interact in other layers too
Avri Doria: (11:26) nonetheless, a fun picture.
Keith Davidson: (11:26) Useful picture too
vint cerf: (11:26) picture intended as notional - thanks for suggestions!
Eduardo Diaz: (11:27) @olivier: I think that civil society is not in the picture because is not an organizacion per se
Avri Doria: (11:27) Keith, by fun, i meant got me thinking.
Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (11:28) With a shared responsibility, is it possible to have a shared accountability?
Mikey OConnor: (11:28) i like the "stewardship" notion a lot -- i'm curious if there's a "nurturing" or "developing people" idea coming up -- we also need a dose of that
Carolina Aguerre: (11:29) Yes we can hear you Alejandro
Keith Davidson: (11:29) Hearing well Alejandro
Kevin Newmeyer: (11:29) Yes we can hear him
vint cerf: (11:29) there is some echo - problem for others?
Mikey OConnor: (11:29) no echo here
Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (11:29) clear here
Denicoli: (11:30) Clear here too
vint cerf: (11:30) ok
arasteh 2: (11:32) CAN YOU READ MY MESSAGE?
Mikey OConnor: (11:32) yep
Mikey OConnor: (11:33) Keith, that looks like your SSR point, no?

arasteh 2: (11:33) WHEN MAY WE RAISE SOME QUESTIONS

Carlton Samuels: (11:33) The Q&A will be open right after the end of the formal presentation

Alice Jansen: (11:34) You may insert questions into this chat pod or voice them during the Q&A,

arasteh 2: (11:34) THERE IS SOME ECHOS

Keith Davidson: (11:34) yes Mikey

Alice Jansen: (11:35) Presenters, please remember to mute your computer speakers. Thank you!

Mikey OConnor: (11:35) "Inclusion" is the one that addresses mine.

arasteh 2: (11:36) tHE SOUND QUALITY IS VERY POOR

Carla LaFever: (11:36) is it not loud enough?

Abdou: (11:37) can you explain me subsidiarity?

Keith Davidson: (11:38) Abdou, generally it means that local jurisdictional laws apply

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (11:38) I've heard poorer sound than this. It might be your own line.

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (11:38) I like the 5Rs!!!

Eduardo Diaz: (11:39) How is this panel related to the 1net efforts?

arasteh 2: (11:39) Roadmap on what?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (11:40) agreed OCL

Mark Buell: (11:40) Will it be possible to get a copy of the slides?

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (11:41) Q1 - if everyone stuck to the 5 Rs, then tension would eb reduced, Unfortunately in practice, this is not always the case

Carolyn Hoover: (11:43) Can you send the surveymonkey link to meeting participants?

Carlton Samuels: (11:43) @OCL: The hardest one it seems to me is the respect principle. The tendency is to savage those who don't share our views of what the orthodoxy ought to be. But if you experience academia its a cake walk in this environment

Evan Leibovitch: (11:43) I (and maybe some others) have a hard stop at the top of the hour when the regularly scheduled North American At-Large conference call starts

Denicoli: (11:44) I agree. It's a very good work promoted by ICANN. Thank you for the invitation.

Keith Davidson: (11:44) <https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/33X7SY2>

Carlton Samuels: (11:44) @Mikey: +1
Grace Abuhamad: (11:44) www.surveymonkey.com/s/33X7SY2
Carlton Samuels: (11:45) Avri made pretty much the same comment in her blog post
Mikey OConnor: (11:45) here's my little blog post - <http://www.haven2.com/index.php/archives/icann-participants>
Olga Cavalli: (11:45) Mikey we already have this in latin america visit www.south-ssig.org for more information
Mikey OConnor: (11:46) hi Olga, this looks great. thanks!
arasteh 2: (11:46) totaly distorted sound
Mikey OConnor: (11:46) i think it's your line arasteh -- fine sound here
Alejandro Pisanty: (11:46) arasteh very likely your line
Olga Cavalli: (11:47) could we recieve the slides and the links in an email?
arasteh 2: (11:47) I expected that we discuss the real problem and shortcoming on the IG?
Abdou MFOPA: (11:48) Could we have a pdf version of the slide in the presentation
Taylor Bentley: (11:48) I have a question but no audio: What will the preliminary report cover?
arasteh 2: (11:48) wHAT REPORT?
Taylor Bentley: (11:49) This panel's preliminary report Vint mentioned is expected at the end of the month.
arasteh 2: (11:49) OK TKS
Alice Jansen: (11:50) @Taylor Bentley - please join the adigo bridge to voice comments Dial-in numbers: <http://adigo.com/icann/> Password: 48291
Olga Cavalli: (11:50) chat in spanish
Avri Doria: (11:50) Ig as a groomer of Internet entanglement? Fun concept.
Alice Jansen: (11:50) Please mute your line if not speaking
Roberto Lemaitre: (11:50) Hi Olga
Olga Cavalli: (11:50) hi roberto!
Taylor Bentley: (11:51) Thanks Vint
Olga Cavalli: (11:52) it would be useful to recieve the slides so we can send comments and share among communities
Gene Gaines: (11:52) Each instition and each individual has a direct individual responsibility to find ways of sharing to achieve an effective level of shared responsibility. If not seek and find a way to cooperative share, the individual will have failed.

vint cerf: (11:52) they will be on the website

Alice Jansen: (11:52) @ Olga, the slides will be posted on the announcement webpage as well as on the dedicated webpage.

Avri Doria: (11:52) I am glad you are starting from the WSIS/WGI definitions. Please treat it as what it is, a working definition, i.e. a draft. And please do something about the perversion of the roles and responsibilities phrasing.

Olga Cavalli: (11:52) @Alice thanks!

Avri Doria: (11:53) i would think that the roles and responsibilities recast would be a fundamental part of the exercise.

Keith Davidson: (11:54) Great work Vint, and your panel - I really like the first cut of IG principles - they build nicely from the WSIS IG definition and starts to provide a framework for guidance of IG for the future - not just for ICANN and its sibling organisations but across the ecosystem

Fatima Cambroner: (11:54) @Avri, do you another IG definition? I think we all know that it is a working definition..

Fatima Cambroner: (11:54) *do you have

Avri Doria: (11:54) I am very supportive of the focus on stewardship, and of including the idea that stewardship means not always putting yourself and your perceptions first.

Avri Doria: (11:55) Fatima, i think a lot of people forget it is a working definition and treat it like gospel.

Alice Jansen: (11:55) Grigori, you may on mute - to unmute *7

Mikey OConnor: (11:55) i agree, Avri -- Stewardship is a great word to feature in our conversations inside and outside of ICANN

Grigori Saghyan: (11:55) What kind of relationship you see with governmental organization

Chris LaHatte: (11:56) a steward is sometimes a trustee! with the responsibilities that go with this

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (11:56) sorry I got dropped

Yrjö Länsipuro: (11:56) Avri, do you mean Tunis Agenda art. 35 on roles and responsibilities?

Grigori Saghyan: (11:56) I mean on local level - in countries, not on a global level

Avri Doria: (11:58) Fatime, I have thoughts about some of the phrases. would not presume to have my own. I recommend going back to the WGIG discussion document and check out the mountains of discussion that went into forming the working definition. A lot of thoughts to build on.

Avri Doria: (11:58) apologies, Fatima.

Grigori Saghyan: (11:58) I think, the best way is to involve ICAN structures in the Internet governance

Eduardo Diaz: (11:58) What about creating yet another multi-stakeholder organization within ican named: Internet Governance Advisory Committee and invite those organizations ICANN wants to interact with in that forum?

Avri Doria: (11:58) it is the WGIG background document, but I am sure the expert committee has already reviewed that.

Fatima Cambroner: (11:58) thanks Avri

Kevin Newmeyer: (11:59) Given that long term growth of the internet depends on trust, how does ICANN use its position to increase security and trust?

Avri Doria: (12:00) www.wgig.org

Avri Doria: (12:01) but since Alejandro is on the panel, I expect you already knew this.

Alejandro Pisanty: (12:01) ;-) @avri

Desiree Boxberger: (12:03) From a governance perspective, how does ICANN address imbalance of stakeholder power within the multi-stakeholder model? Clearly, some stakeholder groups are larger and more in tune with the process than some of the smaller stakeholder groups.

Chris LaHatte: (12:04) issues of unfairness should and can be brought to the ombudsman

Grigori Saghyan: (12:04) My suggestion is to involve local ISOC/ICANN structures in the activity of the local ministries of communications

Avri Doria: (12:05) only until the chat is closed at the end of the call.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (12:05) I do agree we need to discuss and sort the concept of "standing" or similar

Evan Leibovitch: (12:05) Sorry, I need to drop out to get to the NARALO call.

Carolina Aguerre: (12:05) @alex @fatima The definition of Internet Governance in the WGIG report is based on regime theory and usually regimes have worked very well when States or very formalized organizations participate. But I think that this definition is too lax for this panel for example.

Mikey OConnor: (12:05) pick a Twitter handle

Avri Doria: (12:05) Yes, ongoing chats are a good thing. of course it is possible.

Antony Declercq: (12:05) Or use Reddit!

Antony Declercq: (12:05) Create a sub-reddit for this Panel

Carolina Aguerre: (12:05) Definitely Vint!

Scott Johnson: (12:05) internet relay chat. port 6667.

Carlton Samuels: (12:06) We have discussed the matter of 'standing' a lot! The pushback is that standing and all its forms will always negatively impact the transparency principle

Avri Doria: (12:06) OCL, i am terrible at typing too. hasn't stopped me.

Alice Jansen: (12:06) operator is locating noisy line - the line will be muted

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (12:07) agreed Vint there are various nexus

Avri Doria: (12:07) but PDP do reach out to other organizations when they think they need to.

Mikey OConnor: (12:08) :-)

vint cerf: (12:08) that's a good point avri.

Avri Doria: (12:08) now, perhaps they don't always know when they need to.

Chris LaHatte: (12:08) The balance of power in different stakeholder groups can be sorted by intervention when unfair, by the ombudsman. Sorry to keep going on but my role needs to be emphasised in the sphere of governance and fairness

Avri Doria: (12:09) Chirs, as the ATRT report emphasises. your function is critical in multistakeholder processes.

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (12:09) That is a great approach, Alejandro. Kudos to the WG for going into such detail on several levels

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (12:10) indeed

Fatima Cambroner: (12:10) +1 @Olivier

Mikey OConnor: (12:10) +1 Vint -- operating people often can't understand why they should invest the time required to participate in ICANN

Alejandro Pisanty: (12:11) @chris la hatte - review and redress is in our work from the get-go

Desiree Boxberger: (12:11) Chris, you're missing the point. Some stakeholders are very large and others quite small. Clearly they all have equal opportunity; however, not all may have the bandwidth or advocacy to participate as the large stakeholders.

Alejandro Pisanty: (12:11) we should remember that this is not a process directed only or mainly to ICANN internals - it's more about its role in the ecosystem

Avri Doria: (12:12) one of the interesting aspects about an organization that includes the others in their stakeholder groups, and interacts with the others as external.

Alice Jansen: (12:13) Abdou, you may be on mute - to unmute, *7

Avri Doria: (12:13) so Alejandro, the environmental aspects are affected by and affect the internal dynamics.

Alejandro Pisanty: (12:13) @avri that's the hard-to-capture dynamic indeed

Chris LaHatte: (12:13) @desiree, I completely understand that. But where a smaller group is feeling swamped by much larger groups, then they can raise this as an issue, and invite me to suggest some amelioration

Gene Gaines: (12:14) Consider a formal observer-participant role for operating organizations and smaller groups

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (12:14) Vint -- if I may say, the problem that some people have to speak on the conference call is exactly the type of logistical problem that makes remote participation very challenging indeed

Alejandro Pisanty: (12:14) one key principle (included in the ones put forward in the slides) is evolvability, both for the general/global and to the ICANN-specific

Mikey OConnor: (12:14) this happens all the time in working-groups

Avri Doria: (12:14) by typin we get to converse. with hands up we only get to make statements.

Mikey OConnor: (12:14) better bandwidth, and multithreading

Mikey OConnor: (12:15) plus, self documenting

Avri Doria: (12:15) so i save hands up for either questions or matters of psychic breakdown

Kevin Newmeyer: (12:15) Threads sometimes get crossed however

Alice Jansen: (12:15) Abdou, please make sure to join the bridge to voice your comments - Dial-in numbers: <http://adigo.com/icann/> Password: 48291

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (12:15) and we need to note many times our typed co

Avri Doria: (12:15) yes, it is an entangled conversation

vint cerf: (12:15) so perhaps we should do presentation in spoken form and do discussion this way?

Mikey OConnor: (12:15) Kevin, that's a buffer problem. ;-)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (12:16) contributions are reactions to verbal input

Avri Doria: (12:16) but they may be more of a talky crowd. not everyone has gotten comfortable with chatting.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (12:16) sorry hit return mid sentence
vint cerf: (12:16) abdou mfopa we are not hearing you speak.
Alejandro Pisanty: (12:16) Vint, questions through all available channels...
"liberal with what you receive"
Mikey OConnor: (12:17) +1Alex!
Avri Doria: (12:17) what is critical is maintainign multe paths. it
takes all kinds of communicators.
Carlton Samuels: (12:17) @Alex: +1. Everywhere
Mikey OConnor: (12:17) does the panel want to weigh in on the 1Net
thingy?
Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (12:17) absolutly @Avri
vint cerf: (12:18) happy to hear inputs on 1Net as well
Mikey OConnor: (12:18) i'm curious what the panel views are on 1Net --
relevant to your work?
arasteh 2: (12:18) It is not easy to follow the chat as some of the
comments are dealing with multiple topics t
Evan Leibovitch: (12:19) Could be worse. I have to follow multiple Adobe
Connect rooms.
Desiree Boxberger: (12:19) Chris, what happens when the smaller
stakeholder group(s) don't want to engage you because they may think,
real or not, that to do so may invoke some form of reprisal? Then what?
arasteh 2: (12:20) I think the chat could be better organized if all
comments are around a given topic
Avri Doria: (12:20) and whose decsions affect it?
Yrjö Länsipuro: (12:20) Mission creep is one thing, and building
relationships with other actors of the ecosystem is another
vint cerf: (12:21) discussion will close in 9 minutes. just FYI
Chris LaHatte: (12:21) @Desiree, confidentiality for complainants is part of
the answer, but not all
Evan Leibovitch: (12:21) Having good relations with other bodies makes it
easier to target issues to their most suitable venue.
Mikey OConnor: (12:21) multiple chat windows
Mikey OConnor: (12:21) yes
Fatima Cambroner: (12:22) yes
Gene Gaines: (12:22) yes
Avri Doria: (12:22) it is like any multiple stream activity, you get
used to it.

vint cerf: (12:22) bang bang bang bang!

Gene Gaines: (12:22) but not loud or objectionable

Mikey OConnor: (12:22) no worries here, i'm used to it.

Carlton Samuels: (12:22) @Yrjo: Evan just fingered the major reason for god relations; enhancing the subsidiarity principle

Avri Doria: (12:22) and people can always ask question as to what one means.

Mikey OConnor: (12:22) several chat rooms, different topics in each?

Mikey OConnor: (12:22) yup

Mikey OConnor: (12:23) been there done that

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (12:23) I see Grigori's hand up

arasteh 2: (12:23) It is difficult to address the relation between big multistakeholder and small multistakeholder since it is difficult to clearly define and or describe the big and small adjectives

Avri Doria: (12:23) but chat threads start in a very dynamic manner.

Kevin Newmeyer: (12:23) You can do private chat but it becomes very complex for a single respondent in something this large

vint cerf: (12:23) grigori we are not hearing you

Mikey OConnor: (12:23) yeah, that's true

Avri Doria: (12:23) in any case this meeting has gone meta meta

vint cerf: (12:23) avri, my experience is that chats go off topic very quickly so hard to keep multiple windows on topic

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (12:24) *7 to unmute

Alejandro Pisanty: (12:24) "dynamic" is the name of the game

vint cerf: (12:24) LOL! so it has!

Mikey OConnor: (12:24) but maybe two -- one "on topic" at the moment, another for sidebar discussions?

Alice Jansen: (12:24) @ Grigori, please make sure to join the bridge if you wish to speak - Dial-in numbers: <http://adigo.com/icann/> Password: 48291

John Demco: (12:24) For Wednesday, consider giving the Chat window more screen real estate.

vint cerf: (12:24) yes john that is a good idea

vint cerf: (12:24) more context

Mikey OConnor: (12:24) see why i never capitalize??

Carolyn Hoover: (12:24) +1, @John

Mikey OConnor: (12:25) it's one of the many totally cool things about working-groups. come on down!

Mikey OConnor: (12:25) the water's fine here at the bottom of the bottom-up process

Mikey OConnor: (12:26) what?? i resemble that remark

vint cerf: (12:26) My suggestion is to start a process, when ISOC/ICANN local structures will become participants of the local governmental organizations

vint cerf: (12:26) suggestion from grigori

Avri Doria: (12:27) oh, this was one of those meeting where you had to call in by telephone to talk. and to think I was just going to ask a question and then i lost sound. In any case, while thinking of the lg ecosystem, have you looked at science of cosystem dynamics (whatever its proper name) to find mappings?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (12:27) good call though... thanks

Avri Doria: (12:27) got sound back

Carlton Samuels: (12:27) Chat enables dynamism in conversation...and an opportunity to capture hitherto left field ideas otherwise lost to the discourse

Mikey OConnor: (12:27) Cheryl! what time is it where you are??

Taylor Bentley: (12:27) Thanks for the opportunity to participate, cheers everyone

Carlton Samuels: (12:27) Thank you all

Alice Jansen: (12:28) Thank you for your participation. The slides, recording and transcript will be posted on the announcement page and dedicated webpage

<http://www.icann.org/en/about/planning/strategic-engagement/governance-eco>

system

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: (12:28) bye

Fatima Cambroner: (12:28) thanks o everyone! bye

Keith Davidson: (12:28) Thanks - much appreciated

Victor Ndonnang: (12:28) Thank you all

Daniel Oppermann - InterNetX: (12:28) thanks and bye!

Chris LaHatte: (12:28) bye

Dev Anand Teelucksingh: (12:28) Thanks