

# ACCOUNTABILITY AND TRANSPARENCY REVIEW

## CONFERENCE CALL – 19 July 2010 – 15:00 UTC

### CHAT TRANSCRIPT

James Bladel:Was there an agenda for today?

Alice Jansen:yes it's in the note pod

CLO:Yes it was emailed out

James Bladel:Ah ok. I'll take another look and check my spam folder....

Erick Iriarte Ahon:good morning.. well here

Fabio Colasanti:To avoid the 3 a.m. call last yt

Fabio Colasanti:To avoid the 3 a.m call last time I moved to another continent !

CLO:Yes Morning (here as well = 0100 hrs IS morning ;-)

Louie Lee:Chris: Say hi to your mum from everyone. :)

Erick Iriarte Ahon:... latin america is fine :)

Erick Iriarte Ahon:10.00 am ;)

James Bladel:Google Wave notes can be cc'ed to the general list (thinking transparency here).

James Bladel:Sorry that was a question. :)

CLO:Yes the IRB bits from WG#1 needs to be sent TO tem #4

CLO:Yes in WG#4 I specifically raised the issue of working with the Berkman goup as this is an obvious overlap

CLO: YET I do not want any of the WG's to duplicate to too greater extent what we put in the SOW for Berkaman => Case Studies etc.,

Brian Cute:Has Peter joined the call?

Alice Jansen:no - we have tried his mobile and business line - no answer I am afraid

Chris Disspain:So on agenda item 2 we have a choice to either a) discuss in his absence or b) delay. Given the time constraints we need to deal with it today i would have thought

Alice Jansen:August 2nd - 21.00 UTC - next call

CLO:Alaice as it is after 0330 in NX (if he is home his home number would be the est to try if mobile is not working

CLO:NX= NZ

CLO:and sorry how I spelled your name Alice

Alice Jansen:NP Cheryl - the operator and I are trying a couple of numbers - will keep you posted

Kieren McCarthy:I think you should elicit from those that provide "confidential comments" the reasons for why they believe they should be held confidential

Kieren McCarthy:i.e there should always be an expectation of publication - otherwise you will find everything becomes confidential - including responses from staff and Board

CLO:At-Large Community have also been putting comments in (and linked to) this wiki page all WG's (where relevent) should /could acces this space as a resource as well

[https://st.icann.org/alac/index.cgi?at\\_large\\_workspace\\_on\\_the\\_accountability\\_and\\_transparency\\_review\\_team](https://st.icann.org/alac/index.cgi?at_large_workspace_on_the_accountability_and_transparency_review_team)

CLO:WG#3 will (naturally)

CLO:Kieren re confidentiality matters can be discussed / reported on whilst still maintaining confidentiality

CLO:Yes lets just send our LATEST edit version let's DO that edit post haste then

Kieren McCarthy:@CLO: I have made comments publicly some of which I would rather have made privately but I figured this was the Accountability and Transparency Review - if you can't walk the walk...

Kieren McCarthy:Btw is Chris the interim Becky replacement?

CLO:I Understand Kieren but we (the Team) have given our community that are perhaps less "brave" or who want to specify names in their discription but do not wish to colour future work with those people \*perhaps names in an example given\* to us...

CLO: and Yes Kieren Chris has replaced Becky

CLO:@ Keiern don't try and parse than sentence of mine re confidentiality it would be impossible but I hope you get my drift \*sigh\*

Kieren McCarthy:I think you should ask the Board questions: asking them to respond to an ATRT question about how their are interfering with an independent review

Kieren McCarthy:I am assuming that since the budget for Berkman hasn't appeared yet and they plan to have no less than nine people on board for that, that the cost is enormous?

Chris Disspain:i agree with Larry re the scope questions'

CLO:As do I so let's send a response to the Board on what we answer

CLO:ASAP

Kieren McCarthy:Has the Board approved the budget? Does the Board need to approve the budget?

CLO:that is the hub of our question and delema @Kieren

Kieren McCarthy:Because several staff do have sign-off rights

CLO:and many of us thought dealing with the COO and CIO was the right thing to do (and we did that from Mdr meeting on)

Kieren McCarthy:Does the Berkman contract stem from the usual ICANN contract i.e. you are not allowed to talk about anything you learn ever under threat of decapitation?

CLO:Are we not attending to the SOW now though

CLO:it's on our Agenda

CLO:Alice can you out the letter up please

Louie Lee:does someone have a blank conflict of interest declaration to forward to Chris?

CLO:put the letter up

Alice Jansen:sure one moment

CLO:Alice should have one to send

CLO:to Chris

CLO:Or I can difg it up

CLO:dig

Alice Jansen:now uploading should be up there in a second

Louie Lee:Chris, I bounced you email about the visa app if you need it.

Kieren McCarthy:Sorry to be a persistent pain but I've still not heard any discussion of the work the ATRT exists to do

Kieren McCarthy:There was a lot of community input the last few days

Alice Jansen:<http://cartagena39.icann.org/sched-overview>

Kieren McCarthy:Can someone give me the microphone so I can yell very loudly: shut up about hotels and get on with some work?

Alice Jansen:List of hotels: <http://cartagena39.icann.org/venue>

CLO:Kieren our WG's are focussed on work now

CLO:and we have the input from both the Public COmments inout and also our Brussels interactions

Kieren McCarthy:You are micro-managing hotel rooms - seriously ICANN staff put on 2,000 member meetings three times a year. This is an insane use of your time

Brian Cute:Kieren. The ATRT has working teams that will dive into the respective elements of paragraph 9.1 -- effectively undertaking their own sub areas of review and analysis and making recommendations to the full ATRT. The reveiw team and working teams will receive, analyze and factor in the mid-term and final report of the Independent Expert. The Community inputs will be taken by the ATRT as a whole AND by the working teams as a basis for their work and to use in developing recommendations.

CLO: all being analysed and done' WG#3 Wrok plan is in the Discussion notes and all of them will be up on our web site ASAP

Kieren McCarthy:Ok, thanks Brian and Cheryl.

CLO:for the WG's see

<https://docs.google.com/viewer?url=http://www.icann.org/en/reviews/affirmation/proposed-wg-structure-atrt-06jul10-en.pdf>

Kieren McCarthy:I see a structure Cheryl - but I'm not hearing actual work

CLO: and also note that the Review of Board decisions part from WG#1 has moved from their to #4 as of todays call (that was an oversight when we moived from 3 to 4 WG's

Kieren McCarthy:Other business - have the team read the community input?

Erick Iriarte Ahon:hi kieren

Erick Iriarte Ahon:we received the input.. (we worked for that in brussels)

Erick Iriarte Ahon:now we are processing

Erick Iriarte Ahon:for our job.. and is important to say: thanks to the people who answered...