1 Welcome/Introductions
-----------------------
Matt  Chair
Daniel  K
Jun  M/wide
Kato  M/wide
Kosters  Arin
Rodd  Verisign
Craig  Verisign
Liman  Autonomica./K

Russ  sparta/rssac liaison from ssac
Suz  ISC/F rssac liaison to ICANN
Abley  ICANN/L
House  g/h
Ash  NTIA
Terry  Icann
Crain  Icann
Nev  caida
Yuji  m
sra  ISC/F liaison to IETF
nurani  Autonomica/K
Fred  .br
Koch  .de
Jooao  ISC/F
ggm  apnic
nagle  k
anand  k

2. Root DNSSSEC deployment update
----------------------------------
Matt  its done. [applause]
Russ  so much better than survey.
Matt  at end of dnsops 2.1colorado meeting on tues, 11-11:30
     30min slot final update on project with stats.

3. Root scaling report closure.
-------------------------------
Suz  Root scaling report closure. Few points to discuss, will send around
     some time ago, ssac/rssac asked to provide recommendations to icann
     on root scaling, ability of servers to handle larger root, changes
     in relatively short period of time. dnssec rollout, size of zone, new
     gTlds. look at scalability concerns. what recommendations to icann on
     how to approach.

     neither ssac/rssac, joint & separately, problems getting to consensus
     on recommendations to put to icann. problem.

     processes gone into finalizing root dnssec rollout, new gTLD process
     is winding up. people want closure on outstanding issues. been made
     clear to me as liaison, board is disappointed to get nothing back
     along the lines of either 'here is what to look out for' or 'no recoms'
     reasonable to be asked, reasonable to be asked to comment/recommend
     should answer. at the level we were asked. "what do you guys think
     matter to you" -bad news is we are about to become critical path.
     good news is, stuff has come and gone, worked example of cooperation
     in public, among all parties. unprecedented level of public
     dissemination. Icann has given to us in Anaheim, study they did
     on numbers, process considerations on numbers. gave a model with kinds
of numbers being looked at, scaling root, new gTLD. came as happy surprise how many: hundreds, not thousands/tens-of-thousands.

we have to come up with something, good if consensus position but don't know how to get there. people in the room can come up with something sufficiently high level/abstract/principle-driven, doesn't need specific numbers, input to BoD. like to see us do it. Don't see how.

thoughts.

Liman what we're here for. form draft team. produce doc. have circulated. write by committee doesn't work. draft team usually works. specific issues can be dealt with. float in larger env.

not aware of any large controversies in this group regarding issues. form team. 3-5 ppl. willing to be part.

Sra observer, no stake. watch this go by. seen repeating pattern. people propose, poss answer, somebody says 'should we even have opinion on <this>?' then ratholed.

have ppl interested, run by group. if group rathole, then charge the group to say 'no opinion' full stop. only proposal.

Suz not up to me to decide. like to have Jun/Matt judge what consensus is to go forward. I want marching orders not in charge of proces happy to contribute, insight into how to present to board.

any other takers, to break the logjam? enough changed dnssec deployed an new gTLD scaling, to proceed

JohnC if cannot come to agreement, declare and admit. asked of us, year6mo ago. drafts. pulled to pieces and stopped. lost appetite to go in again. one more go. if fail, declare failure.

Jun if do as Liman says, timescale?

Suz pressure to finalize 'to extent possible' -can come back with caveats and will be heard. input wanted. skeptical about motives, but do want to know. pressure before end of year. closer in, discussions scheduled end of sept identify remaining issues, how to close. would like something by then, tentative pointer.

goal is working draft, can explain, with caveats, not final, by end sept. 2mo away.

1 volunteer. Suz +1 ex officio but not volunteer

Jun not requirement to be root op to cooperate

Joao +1.

Daniel Liman, Suz and.. Joao? [yes]

Jun timeline is eom Sept. can get something shared within August.

Joe Icann staff?

Suz Matt as well.

John happy to review, keep as reserve.
Suz have path forward.

4. new nomcom rep from RSSAC
----------------------------

Matt Bill standing down. need new nominees.
Liman? you've done this?

Liman interesting. role as rssac rep to nomcom is non-voting.
doesn't mean 'no input' get nearer to things, influence who sits
on board, also other positions/roles.

interesting discussions. challenge. take advantage of possibility
of influencing icann board. I've done a bit. Bill has done 4-5 years.

Russ I've done for ssac. where you've come from, even without vote, in
nomcom process. doesn't really seem to matter. very much an engaging
individual-driven kind of activity. ssac was slightly larger. well
over 100 apps. each member of nomcom expected to read, rate. does
take time. frankly tedious. but, becomes interesting when you
get to technology. ssac/rssac/iab liaison. others tend to prefer
non-tech ppl reflect their background. need more tech ppl to review
and promote tech aware ppl into roles.

Jun people on board who understand is most important thing from rssac
PoV. nomcom liaison, physically, be at icann?

Russ one meeting funded by icann, to be at. Now two, could be wrong

Joao its two.

Jun time of icann

Russ held in conjunction, like this meeting before/after. If not going to
go, then go for nomcom and thats it. else, stretch Icann attendance.

Jun as thought. learning about icann is priority. also important to us
as group. promote strong tech flavour into icann groups. being there.
one of the important considerations. How many attend?

[3 hands]
can ask one of them

John doesn't need to be a criteria.

Jun no, but helps.

Russ dont know if procedures will be tightened, one meeting was nomcom only
set up separate venue, independent, now, .. could change again.

John sat as staff member, on periphery. have been separate.

Liman final selection at retreat.

Daniel still the case

Russ icann pays travel. not financial hit

Joao wnt to say, suggested I should put name forward. have asked about this
and impact in the real world, the time, travel, etc. ppl who pay would
have this dedicated, reply was, ok. So, I sent mail to Jun, last week
putting name forward.
Suz: been on IETF nomcom?

Joao: yes.

Daniel: icann one is worse.

Suz: broader range of interests, divers tactics.

Daniel: more people, more candidates.

Joao: ietf nomcom is 10-11 plus advisories, covers, all iesg/iab positions, not sure which is worse. have

Matt: lot of work.

Suz: probably less than IETF

Matt: process? we have a volunteer? next step?

Liman: put to ML. some people not here. if none there, done deal.

Jun: week to respond. closure by friday.

Matt: I will mail list.

Jun: what if multiple?

John: worry if we get there.

Matt: chairs perogative.

S. Process for RSSAC mailing list changes
-----------------------------------------
Matt: have ML. sometimes needs change. no real process. get requests, but not clear what to do.

John: I get emails. should I just do it?

Matt: so. short of process in general. I will open to floor for suggestions.

Daniel: actions with agreement of chair, vice-chair. leave to discretion. appropriate level for this group. if have doubts, consult all of us, fair?

Suz: dont need a lot, just some.

Daniel: committee set up in icann by-laws. says 'this group plus who ever else chair invites' -this process mirrors that. chairs has perogative to invite anyone. if want to consult ctttee can, if not, not. straightforward

Sra: too simple. we need a draft [laugh]

John: how we did in past.

Liman: message to list when changes done, so all aware.

Matt: good to have simple, minuted, so official. no objects? then process.

Jabley: need staff assistance? review?
John has been.
not always good to have one.
will give you ticket queue, other ppl to assist.

6. AOB
------

Kosters RFC5855 redeleg of in-addr.arpa.
at some point, original registries will no longer be part of
rssc, no relevance to roots, in progress, not finished, hope
some time soon, ARIN generates in-addr.arpa. want to move to IANA
for them to do in future. have plan. waiting for agreements to be
taken care of, when done, the tx will happen at some point and
a redeleg away from root will happen.

gone to IAB?
yes. IAB statement in 5855. and existing process, involving IAB.
in IAB right now.

any other AOB?

7. next meeting.
-----------------
Nov 7 Beijing.