Registry Services Evaluation Policy (RSEP) Request

January 11, 2018

Registry Operator
Tycoon Treasure Limited
Offshore Incorporations (Cayman) Limited, Scotia Centre 4th Floor, PO Box 2804
George Town, Grand Cayman KY1-1112

Request Details
Case Number: 00877783

This service request should be used to submit a Registry Services Evaluation Policy (RSEP) request. An RSEP is required to add, modify or remove Registry Services for a TLD. More information about the process is available at https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/rsep-2014-02-19-en

Complete the information requested below. All answers marked with a red asterisk are required. Click the Save button to save your work and click the Submit button to submit to ICANN.

PROPOSED SERVICE

1. Name of Proposed Service
Real Name Verification

2. Technical description of Proposed Service. If additional information needs to be considered, attach one PDF file

In order to comply with local law and policies, .我爱你 Registry seeks to implement real-name verification (RNV) on relevant registration. Relevant registrations are those registration that would be abusive under our policies without RNV. (Eg. where a registration would be illegal without RNV.) This Registry Service Request applies to .我爱你.

RNV involves placing a relevant new domain name into "serverhold" status until the registry can verify that the WHOIS information provided by the registrant is correct. "Serverhold" status is
removed once this information is verified. The "serverhold" status remains if the information is not verified.

CONSULTATION

3. Please describe with specificity your consultations with the community, experts and or others. What were the quantity, nature and content of the consultations?

We consulted with technical experts at many registry service providers, including multiple that have already implemented these services. All consultations were overwhelmingly positive.

3a. If the registry is a sponsored TLD, what were the nature and content of these consultations with the sponsored TLD community?

N/A

3b. Were consultations with gTLD registrars or the registrar constituency appropriate? Which registrars were consulted? What were the nature and content of the consultation?

We have already consulted registrars about this service. This was largely as part of our previous implementation the service with all relevant registrars. These registrars all deeply want us to have RNV up and running.

3c. Were consultations with other constituency groups appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?

No

3d. Were consultations with end users appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?

No

3e. Who would endorse the introduction of this service? What were the nature and content of these consultations?

Registrars and registrants that will be able to register .我爱你 domain names because of the implementation of this service would endorse this service.
3f. Who would object the introduction of this service? What were (or would be) the nature and content of these consultations?

No party has any legitimate reason to object to the introduction of this service.

**TIMELINE**

4. Please describe the timeline for implementation of the proposed new registry service.

Immediate.

**BUSINESS DESCRIPTION**

5. Describe how the Proposed Service will be offered.

A relevant new domain name into "serverhold" status until the registry can verify that the WHOIS information provided by the registrant is correct. "Serverhold" status is removed once this information is verified. The "serverhold" status remains if the information is not verified.

6. Describe quality assurance plan or testing of Proposed Service.

Thorough functional tests will be conducted. Registry and its backend has previously implemented this service with no issues.

7. Please list any relevant RFCs or White Papers on the proposed service and explain how those papers are relevant.

N/A

**CONTRACTUAL PROVISIONS**

8. List the relevant contractual provisions impacted by the Proposed Service.
None. However, to be cautious we will act as if Section 2.1 and Exhibit A are impacted.

9. What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the reporting of data to ICANN.
None

10. What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the Whois?
None

11. What effect, if any, will the proposed service have on the price of a domain name registration?
None

**CONTRACT AMENDMENTS**

12. Please describe or provide the necessary contractual amendments for the proposed service.

我愛你 Registry believes that no contractual amendments are necessary for the implementation of the Proposed Service. However, If ICANN disagrees with this conclusion, we propose an amendment to Exhibit A of each RA to add the Proposed Services as an Approved Service. Below are proposed new Sections Exhibit A of each relevant RA:

# Verification. Subject to all of the terms of this Agreement, Registry Operator may enforce its anti-abuse policies by placing new registration on serverhold or clienthold status until the registration information of new registrations are verified.

**BENEFITS OF PROPOSED SERVICE**

13. Describe the benefits of the Proposed Service.

This service will allow registrants who are not currently able to register .我爱你 domains to continue to register and renew .我爱你 domain names.

**COMPETITION**
14. Do you believe your proposed new Registry Service would have any positive or negative effects on competition? If so, please explain.

The Proposed Service will have a positive effect on competition, as it will allow Registry comply with the local law and policies.

15. How would you define the markets in which your proposed Registry Service would compete?

Any market where registrants are legally required to provide accurate registration information.

16. What companies/entities provide services or products that are similar in substance or effect to your proposed Registry Service?

Any registry seeks to operate in China legitimately has implemented this service.

17. In view of your status as a registry operator, would the introduction of your proposed Registry Service potentially impair the ability of other companies/entities that provide similar products or services to compete?

No.

18. Do you propose to work with a vendor or contractor to provide the proposed Registry Service? If so, what is the name of the vendor/contractor, and describe the nature of the services the vendor/contractor would provide.

CNNIC will verify the registration information. Registry’s registry service provider ZDNS will be implementing the service.

19. Have you communicated with any of the entities whose products or services might be affected by the introduction of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please describe the communications.

N/A

20. Do you have any documents that address the possible effects on competition of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please submit them with your application. (ICANN will keep the documents confidential).
None.

SECURITY AND STABILITY

21. Does the proposed service alter the storage and input of Registry Data?
No.

22. Please explain how the proposed service will affect the throughput, response time, consistency or coherence of responses to Internet servers or end systems.
No impact.

23. Have technical concerns been raised about the proposed service, and if so, how do you intend to address those concerns?
No.

OTHER ISSUES

24. Are there any Intellectual Property considerations raised by the Proposed Service?
No.

25. Does the proposed service contain intellectual property exclusive to your gTLD registry?
No.

26. List Disclaimers provided to potential customers regarding the Proposed Service.
N/A

27. Please provide any other relevant information to include with the request.
Click the Save button to save your work and click the Submit button to submit to ICANN.

### Affected TLDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Registry Operator</th>
<th>Top Level Domain</th>
<th>Registry Agreement Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tycoon Treasure Limited</td>
<td>xn--6qq986b3xl</td>
<td>2013-09-13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>