Proposed Service

Name of Proposed Service:

Additional RDGS data fields

Technical description of Proposed Service:

The Registry Operator requests approval of "Additional Data" fields in Whois as described in section 11 of the "Clarifications to the Registry Agreement, and the 2013 Registrar Accreditation Agreement (RAA) regarding applicable Registration Data Directory Service (Whois) Specifications". Neither the key nor the value will consist of browser executable code (e.g., Javascript) nor provide confidential information of any sort nor cause a negative impact to the security, stability, or resiliency of the Internet's DNS or other systems.

Consultation

Please describe with specificity your consultations with the community, experts and or others. What were the quantity, nature and content of the consultations?:

The original concept was disclosed in the .XIN application. Elegant Leader has worked closely with Sesame Credit, 1688 TrustPass, and Afilias the backend registry infrastructure partner of the .XIN to ensure that this service is implemented so as not to cause any disruption.

a. If the registry is a sponsored TLD, what were the nature and content of these consultations with the sponsored TLD community?:

N/A

b. Were consultations with gTLD registrars or the registrar constituency appropriate? Which registrars were consulted? What were the nature and content of the consultation?:

N/A

c. Were consultations with other constituency groups appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the
nature and content of these consultations?:

N/A

d. Were consultations with end users appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

N/A

e. Who would endorse the introduction of this service? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

N/A

f. Who would object the introduction of this service? What were(or would be) the nature and content of these consultations?:

N/A

Timeline

Please describe the timeline for implementation of the proposed new registry service:

As described above, the additional RDDS data fields relating to a .xin domain name type are critical to the Registry Operator’s ability to build trust in those registrants registering domain names within .xin.

Business Description

Describe how the Proposed Service will be offered:

Through expansion of the Domain Name Data Response format as described in Section 1.5.2 of Specification 4 of the New gTLD Registry Agreement for the .xin TLD.

Describe quality assurance plan or testing of Proposed Service:
Please list any relevant RFCs or White Papers on the proposed service and explain how those papers are relevant:

N/A

Contractual Provisions

List the relevant contractual provisions impacted by the Proposed Service:

Section 1.5.2 of Specification 4 of the New gTLD Registry Agreement for the .XIN TLD.

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the reporting of data to ICANN:

None

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the Whois?:

The additional fields will be included in WHOIS responses for registered .XIN domain names.

Contract Amendments

Please describe or provide the necessary contractual amendments for the proposed service:

Amendment to Section 1.5.2 of Specification 4 of the New gTLD Registry Agreement for the .XIN TLD to include the additional RDDS data fields in the Domain Name Data Response format.

Benefits of Service

Describe the benefits of the Proposed Service:

The additional RDDS data fields are critical to the Registry Operator's ability to promote trust and confidence to third parties engaged in commerce with .XIN registrants.
Competition

Do you believe your proposed new Registry Service would have any positive or negative effects on competition? If so, please explain:

No

How would you define the markets in which your proposed Registry Service would compete?

N/A

What companies/entities provide services or products that are similar in substance or effect to your proposed Registry Service?

ZA Central Registry in its capacity as the Registry Operator for the .CAPETOWN, .JOBURG and .DURBAN TLDs has had a similar request approved https://www.icann.org/sites/default/files/tlds/capetown/capetown-amend-1-pdf-18dec14-en.pdf as well as Neustar in connection with the .NYC TLD, see https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rsep-2016002-nyc-request-04jan16-en.pdf

In view of your status as a registry operator, would the introduction of your proposed Registry Service potentially impair the ability of other companies/entities that provide similar products or services to compete?

No

Do you propose to work with a vendor or contractor to provide the proposed Registry Service? If so, what is the name of the vendor/contractor, and describe the nature of the services the vendor/contractor would provide?

Registry Operator will contract with Sesame Credit and 1688 TrustPass.

Have you communicated with any of the entities whose products or services might be affected by the introduction of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please describe the communications:

N/A
Do you have any documents that address the possible effects on competition of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please submit them with your application. (ICANN will keep the documents confidential).

N/A

Security and Stability

Does the proposed service alter the storage and input of Registry Data?:

Yes the registration of domain names would include additional fields and these additional fields would be stored in the Registry Database.

Please explain how the proposed service will affect the throughput, response time, consistency or coherence of responses to Internet servers or end systems:

N/A

Have technical concerns been raised about the proposed service, and if so, how do you intend to address those concerns?:

N/A

Other Issues

Are there any Intellectual Property considerations raised by the Proposed Service:

Not at this time.

Does the proposed service contain intellectual property exclusive to your gTLD registry?:

No

List Disclaimers provided to potential customers regarding the Proposed Service:
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N/A

Any other relevant information to include with this request:

No