Proposed Service

Name of Proposed Service:

Additional RDDS data fields

Technical description of Proposed Service:

Modification of Registry Data Directory Services (RDDS) to include additional fields in the response format for the services as outlined in Section 1.5.2 of Specification 4 of the New gTLD Registry Agreement for the .NYC TLD. Such modification will be in compliance with all relevant contractual requirements applicable to the City of New York’s (Registry Operator) operation of RDDS with respect to the .NYC TLD. Specifically, the Registry Operator requests approval for the addition of fields relating to a New York City contact type including a Nexus Category field. The presence of these fields is critical to the Registry Operator’s ability to assess whether an applicant for a .NYC domain name meets the nexus requirements for the .NYC TLD as outlined in the .NYC Nexus Policy (http://www.ownit.nyc/policies/nyc_nexus_policy.php). The additional fields requested are:

nyc ID
nyc Name
nyc Organization
nyc Street
nyc City
nyc State/Province
nyc Postal Code
nyc Country
nyc Phone
nyc Phone Ext
nyc Fax
nyc Fax Ext
nyc Email
nyc Nexus Category

The Nexus Category Field may contain a value of “ORG” or “INDIV” to classify the contact as either an entity or individual.

Consultation
Please describe with specificity your consultations with the community, experts and or others. What were the quantity, nature and content of the consultations?:

No consultation was conducted in this instance, as the proposed service merely constitutes the addition of fields to RDDS as required by the .NYC TLD policies

a. If the registry is a sponsored TLD, what were the nature and content of these consultations with the sponsored TLD community?:

N/A

b. Were consultations with gTLD registrars or the registrar constituency appropriate? Which registrars were consulted? What were the nature and content of the consultation?:

N/A

c. Were consultations with other constituency groups appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

N/A

d. Were consultations with end users appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

N/A

e. Who would endorse the introduction of this service? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

N/A

f. Who would object the introduction of this service? What were(or would be) the nature and content of these consultations?:

N/A
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Timeline

Please describe the timeline for implementation of the proposed new registry service:

As described above, the additional RDDS data fields relating to a New York City contact type including a Nexus Category field are critical to the Registry Operator’s ability to assess whether an applicant for a .NYC domain name meets the nexus requirements for the .NYC TLD as outlined in the .NYC Nexus Policy (http://www.ownit.nyc/policies/nyc_nexus_policy.php). In light of such, the additional fields are currently active.

Business Description

Describe how the Proposed Service will be offered:

Through expansion of the Domain Name Data Response format as described in Section 1.5.2 of Specification 4 of the New gTLD Registry Agreement for the .NYC TLD.

Describe quality assurance plan or testing of Proposed Service:

N/A

Please list any relevant RFCs or White Papers on the proposed service and explain how those papers are relevant.

N/A

Contractual Provisions

List the relevant contractual provisions impacted by the Proposed Service:

Section 1.5.2 of Specification 4 of the New gTLD Registry Agreement for the .NYC TLD.

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the reporting of data to ICANN:
None

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the Whois?:

The additional fields will be included in WHOIS responses for registered .NYC domain names.

Contract Amendments

Please describe or provide the necessary contractual amendments for the proposed service:

Amendment to Section 1.5.2 of Specification 4 of the New gTLD Registry Agreement for the .NYC TLD to include the additional RDDS data fields relating to a New York City contact type including a Nexus Category field in the Domain Name Data Response format.

Benefits of Service

Describe the benefits of the Proposed Service:

The additional RDDS data fields relating to a New York City contact type including a Nexus Category field are critical to the Registry Operator's ability to assess whether an applicant for a .NYC domain name meets the nexus requirements for the .NYC TLD as outlined in the .NYC Nexus Policy (http://www.ownit.nyc/policies/nyc_nexus_policy.php)

Furthermore, the additional fields, by providing a level of transparency as to the registrant’s eligibility, facilitate an interested third party’s ability to submit complaints to the Registry Operator regarding a registrant’s alleged failure to comply with the .NYC Nexus Policy as described in the .NYC Nexus Enforcement and Dispute Resolution Rules (http://www.ownit.nyc/policies/nyc_nexus_policy_and_enforcement.php).

Ultimately, the additional fields effectively facilitate the Registry Operator's ability to, in accordance with section 3(c) of Specification 11 of the New gTLD Registry Agreement for the .NYC TLD, operate the .NYC TLD in a transparent manner consistent with general principles of openness and non-discrimination by establishing, publishing and adhering to clear registration policies.

Competition

Do you believe your proposed new Registry Service would have any positive or negative effects on competition?
If so, please explain.:  

No

How would you define the markets in which your proposed Registry Service would compete?:

N/A

What companies/entities provide services or products that are similar in substance or effect to your proposed Registry Service?:

ZA Central Registry in its capacity as the Registry Operator for the .capetown, .joburg and .durban TLDs has had a similar request approved https://www.icann.org/sites/default/files/tlds/capetown/capetown-amend-1-pdf-18dec14-en.pdf

In view of your status as a registry operator, would the introduction of your proposed Registry Service potentially impair the ability of other companies/entities that provide similar products or services to compete?:

No

Do you propose to work with a vendor or contractor to provide the proposed Registry Service? If so, what is the name of the vendor/contractor, and describe the nature of the services the vendor/contractor would provide.:  

No

Have you communicated with any of the entities whose products or services might be affected by the introduction of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please describe the communications.:  

N/A

Do you have any documents that address the possible effects on competition of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please submit them with your application. (ICANN will keep the documents confidential).:
Security and Stability

Does the proposed service alter the storage and input of Registry Data?:

Yes the registration of domain names would include the following additional fields:
Name
Organization
Address1
Address2
Address3
City
State/Province
Postal Code
Country Code
Telephone Number
Telephone Extension
Fax Number
Fax Extension
Email Address
Nexus Category

These additional fields would be stored in the Registry Database.

Please explain how the proposed service will affect the throughput, response time, consistency or coherence of responses to Internet servers or end systems:

N/A

Have technical concerns been raised about the proposed service, and if so, how do you intend to address those concerns?:

N/A

Other Issues
Are there any Intellectual Property considerations raised by the Proposed Service:

No

Does the proposed service contain intellectual property exclusive to your gTLD registry?:

No

List Disclaimers provided to potential customers regarding the Proposed Service:

N/A

Any other relevant information to include with this request:

No