Proposed Service

Name of Proposed Service:

Proposed bulk transfer of .tel domains from Key-Systems GmbH (IANA ID 269) to Internet Domain Services BS Corp (IANA ID 2487)

Technical description of Proposed Service:

Internet Domain Services BS Corp (IANA ID 2487) are negotiating commercial terms to assign the business of a .tel reseller organisation which includes a large portfolio (but less than 50,000) of .tel domains and associated customers. The reseller currently uses Key-Systems GmbH (IANA ID 269) as the sponsoring registrar.

Internet Domain Services BS Corp have requested that Telnic Ltd seek ICANN approval to allow the bulk inter registrar transfer of the reseller .tel portfolio from the incumbent sponsoring registrar (Key Systems GmbH) to Internet Domain Services BS Corp.

Pending ICANN approval, implementation of the bulk transfer by Telnic would be conditional upon completion of a commercial agreement between Internet Domain Services BS Corp and the reseller.

If approved by ICANN, the bulk transfer would follow the process of a one-time registry database update as outlined in Section B of ICANN’s policy of transfer of registrations between registrars - https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/policy-transfers-2014-07-02-en

Telnic believe that a bulk transfer is in the interests of all existing customers/ registrants that have acquired .tel domains from the reseller as it would allow a smooth, uninterrupted and seamless transition of service to Internet Domain Services BS Corp. Such an approach would also not require registrants, registry, the losing registrar and the gaining registrar to meet the full obligations as set out in Section A of ICANN’s policy of transfer of registrations between registrars which would add unnecessary complexity and risk to the migration process and service continuity and stability.

Consultation

Please describe with specificity your consultations with the community, experts and or others. What were the quantity, nature and content of the consultations?:

Given that this is not a proposed change to the registry service nor the registry agreement then Telnic deems that
consultation is only necessary with ICANN.

a. If the registry is a sponsored TLD, what were the nature and content of these consultations with the sponsored TLD community?:

Given that this is not a proposed change to the registry service nor the registry agreement then Telnic deems that consultation is only necessary with ICANN.

b. Were consultations with gTLD registrars or the registrar constituency appropriate? Which registrars were consulted? What were the nature and content of the consultation?:

The reseller had entered "without prejudice" commercial discussions with Key Systems GmbH to determine if Key Systems were interested in on boarding the customer and domain portfolio however due to a number of reasons, Key Systems were not able to proceed with the agreement.

As such the reseller is now working with Internet Domain Services BS Corp to complete a commercial agreement.

c. Were consultations with other constituency groups appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

This is not deemed necessary.

d. Were consultations with end users appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

This is not deemed necessary.

e. Who would endorse the introduction of this service? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

Telnic believes that a bulk update is in the interests of all stakeholders involved.

f. Who would object the introduction of this service? What were(or would be) the nature and content of these consultations?:
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Not applicable

Timeline

Please describe the timeline for implementation of the proposed new registry service:

The reseller and Internet Domain Services BS Corp have indicated that they are aiming to conclude commercial negotiations by the end of December 2015 with migration completing by the end of January 2016 and would therefore seek approval for the bulk transfer at the earliest opportunity.

Business Description

Describe how the Proposed Service will be offered:

This will be a one-time update to the .tel registry database to change the sponsoring registrar from Key Systems GmbH to Internet Domain Services BS Corp.

Describe quality assurance plan or testing of Proposed Service:

Not applicable

Please list any relevant RFCs or White Papers on the proposed service and explain how those papers are relevant.:

Not applicable

Contractual Provisions

List the relevant contractual provisions impacted by the Proposed Service:

Telnic Ltd, Internet Domain Services BS Corp and Key Systems GmbH are obliged to conform with ICANN's policy of transfer of registrations between registrars. As this policy does not cater for the exact conditions of the commercial arrangement between the Reseller and Internet Domain Services BS Corp and in the absence of a relevant policy to cater for the bulk transfer of reseller names between registrars, then ICANN approval is sought.
What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the reporting of data to ICANN:

No impact

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the Whois?:

No impact other than a change of sponsoring registrar in the WHOIS.

Contract Amendments

Please describe or provide the necessary contractual amendments for the proposed service:

No contract amendments needed.

Benefits of Service

Describe the benefits of the Proposed Service:

Telnic believe that a bulk transfer is in the interests of all existing customers/registrants that have acquired .tel domains from the reseller as it would allow a smooth, uninterrupted and seamless transition of service to Internet Domain Services BS Corp.

Such an approach would also not require registrants, registry, the losing registrar and the gaining registrar to meet the full obligations as set out in Section A of ICANN's policy of transfer ofRegistrations between registrars which would add unnecessary complexity and risk to the migration process and service continuity and stability.

Competition

Do you believe your proposed new Registry Service would have any positive or negative effects on competition? If so, please explain.

Not applicable

How would you define the markets in which your proposed Registry Service would compete?:

ICANN Registry Request Service

Ticket ID: J7L0J-6E9C0
Registry Name: Telnic Ltd.
gTLD: .tel
Status: ICANN Review
Status Date: 2016-01-04 19:34:20
Print Date: 2016-01-04 19:34:33

Not applicable

What companies/entities provide services or products that are similar in substance or effect to your proposed Registry Service?:

Not applicable

In view of your status as a registry operator, would the introduction of your proposed Registry Service potentially impair the ability of other companies/entities that provide similar products or services to compete?:

Not applicable

Do you propose to work with a vendor or contractor to provide the proposed Registry Service? If so, what is the name of the vendor/contractor, and describe the nature of the services the vendor/contractor would provide?:

Not applicable

Have you communicated with any of the entities whose products or services might be affected by the introduction of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please describe the communications:

Not applicable

Do you have any documents that address the possible effects on competition of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please submit them with your application. (ICANN will keep the documents confidential):

Not applicable

Security and Stability

Does the proposed service alter the storage and input of Registry Data?:

No

Please explain how the proposed service will affect the throughput, response time, consistency or coherence of
reponses to Internet servers or end systems:

No impact

Have technical concerns been raised about the proposed service, and if so, how do you intend to address those concerns?:

No

Other Issues

Are there any Intellectual Property considerations raised by the Proposed Service:

No

Does the proposed service contain intellectual property exclusive to your gTLD registry?:

No

List Disclaimers provided to potential customers regarding the Proposed Service:

Not applicable

Any other relevant information to include with this request:

No