

**ROADMAP FOR CONSIDERATION OF PENDING RECONSIDERATION REQUESTS  
RELATING TO COMMUNITY PRIORITY EVALUATION (CPE) PROCESS THAT  
WERE PLACED ON HOLD PENDING COMPLETION OF THE CPE PROCESS  
REVIEW**

Pending Reconsideration Requests

The Board Governance Committee (BGC) previously determined that the following Reconsideration Requests relating to the CPE process that were pending at the time the CPE Process Review commenced would be on hold until the CPE Process Review was completed.<sup>1</sup>

- Request 14-30: Dot Registry, LLC (.LLC), filed 25 June 2014, withdrawn 7 December 2017;
- Request 14-32: Dot Registry, LLC (.INC), filed 16 June 2016, withdrawn 11 December 2017;
- Request 14-33: Dot Registry, LLC (.LLP), filed on 26 June 2014, withdrawn on 15 February 2018.
- Request 16-3: dotgay LLC (.GAY), filed on 17 February 2016;
- Request 16-5: DotMusic Limited (.MUSIC), filed on 24 February 2016;
- Request 16-8: CPA Australia Limited (.CPA), filed on 15 July 2016;
- Request 16-11: Travel Reservations SRL, Spring McCook, LLC, Minds + Machines Group Limited, Famous Four Media Limited, dot Hotel Limited, Radix FZC, dot Hotel Inc., Fegistry, LLC (.HOTEL), filed on 25 August 2016; and
- Request 16-12: Merck KGaA (.MERCK), filed on 25 August 2016

Each of the foregoing requests was filed before the Bylaws were amended in October 2016 and are subject to the Reconsideration standard of review under the Bylaws that were in effect at the time that the requests were filed. Under the Bylaws that were in effect prior to October 2016, the Board delegated to the BGC with the authority to make a final determination on requests regarding staff action; Board consideration of the BGC's determination was not required, but optional if the BGC deemed it appropriate for a full Board determination. As noted above, Requests 14-30, 14-32, and 14-33 were withdrawn on 7 December 2017, 11 December 2017, and 15 February 2018, respectively. Of the remaining five pending requests, the following relate to staff action and would not require Board action: 16-5, 16-8, and 16-12. However, given the public nature of the CPE Process Review, the Board Accountability Mechanisms Committee

---

<sup>1</sup> See Letter from Chris Disspain to All Concerned Parties, 17 April 2017, <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/disspain-letter-review-new-gtld-cpe-process-26apr17-en.pdf>.

(BAMC) may choose to make recommendations to the Board rather than make Final Determinations.

### Roadmap for Consideration of Pending Reconsideration Requests

1. Offer the requestors of the pending Reconsideration Requests the opportunity to submit additional information relating to their requests, provided that the submission is limited to any new information/argument based upon the CPE Process Review Reports. Any such additional submission shall be limited to ten pages. Allow two weeks for requestors to submit any such supplemental materials.
2. Offer the requestors of the pending Reconsideration Requests the opportunity to make an oral presentation to the BAMC, including the requestors who previously presented to the BGC.
3. Consider the pending requests once the requestors have presented to the BAMC (or provided confirmation that they do not intend to present to the BAMC) and have provided their additional submissions (or provided confirmation that they do not intend to submit additional materials in support of their Requests related to the CPE Process Review Reports). The pending requests should be considered in the order in which the requests were filed, if possible. The following is a proposed schedule:
  - a. Schedule two presentations per BAMC meeting, perhaps by setting a couple of meetings as soon as possible after ICANN61.
  - b. Following the completion of the oral presentations and additional written submissions, if any, the BAMC will consider the merits of the pending requests in one or two meetings as soon as practicable. The BAMC's review will take into consideration any additional written submissions (as outlined in para. 1, above), materials presented in the oral presentations (as outlined in para. 2, above), any materials previously submitted in support of the reconsideration request including any additional materials that were submitted in connection with the CPE Process Review, if any, and the findings set forth in the CPE Process Review Reports.