# **Rights Protection Mechanisms Implementation**

## **Policy Stages**



#### **Description and Scope:**

On 18 February 2016, the GNSO Council <u>resolved</u> to initiate a two-phased PDP to review all existing Rights Protection Mechanisms (RPMs) in all gTLDs. The Final Report issued by the PDP Working Group is the culmination of over four years of work from Phase 1, assessing the effectiveness of the relevant RPMs established as safeguards in the 2012 New gTLD Program. These RPMs are: the Trademark Post-Delegation Dispute Resolution Procedure (TM-PDDRP); Sunrise and Trademark Claims services offered through the Trademark Clearinghouse (TMCH); and the Uniform Rapid Suspension (URS) dispute resolution procedure. Phase 2, when launched, will focus on a review of the Uniform Domain-Name Dispute-Resolution Policy (UDRP), which has been an ICANN Consensus Policy since 1999.

On 16 January 2022, the ICANN Board <u>adopted</u> all thirty-five (35) final Phase 1 PDP recommendations as documented in the PDP Working Group's Phase 1 Final Report and directed a tiered approach for the work based on timing, staffing, and resourcing needed for implementation of the recommendations. In adopting the recommendations, the Board <u>noted</u> that implementation of the recommendations could be divided into several categories. These implementation categories are:

- 1. Recommendations that call for updates to existing operational practices or documentation concerning the RPMs where no substantial resources are required for implementation a total number of sixteen (16) recommendations;
- 2 Recommendations to maintain the status quo (i.e. maintaining the Phase 1 RPMs as implemented for the 2012 New gTLD Program) a total number of nine (9) recommendations;
- 3. Recommendations that require substantial resourcing, and involvement of multiple stakeholders to implement a total number of six (6) recommendations; and
- 4. Recommendations affecting subsequent round(s) of new gTLDs a total number of four (4) recommendations.

The IRT is assisting ICANN org in implementing the recommendations in the first and third implementation categories identified below. Implementation of the remaining recommendations from the Phase 1 Final Report will be integrated into the implementation work related to the subsequent round of new gTLDs.

### Composition

Project Sponsor: Karen Lentz
Project Manager: Lars Hoffmann
Council Liaison: John McElwaine
# Participants: 29 Participants (link)

# Observers: 0

Tools: Mailing List / Meeting Schedule / Wiki

# Resources

- Board Resolution on Phase 1 PDP Recommendations
- RPM PDP Phase 1 Recommendations Report
- RPM PDP Phase 1 Final Report
- <u>Public Comment Proceeding on the Phase 1</u> recommendations
- Initial Report
- RPMs PDP Charter
- · Current GNSO resource page
- · Consensus Policy Implementation Framework (CPIF)
- IRT Principles and Guidelines

#### **Breakdown of Work**

#### **Implementation Status**

Start Date: 13-December-2022

End Date: December 2024

Review Duration to Date: 3 Months

Total Expected Duration: 2 Years



# Complete

100% Complete GDS monitors policy development progress and engages with Working Group

100% Complete GDS provides input on GNSO PDP Initial

100% Complete GDS prepares materials for Board consideration

\*The Prepare phase reflects work done in the Policy Development Process (PDP) 100% Complete Policy and GDS staff arrange for the recruitment of the IRT

100% Complete GDS staff are handed off the Consensus Policy recommendations for implementation

100% Complete GDS organize activities to implement Policy recommendations.

100% Complete GDS draft and share implementation project

## In Progress

15% Complete Review of Impacted Procedural Documents

Additional steps still being defined and will be updated as work progresses

0% Complete Announce Policy

0% Complete Develop education and outreach materials

Effective Date

0% Complete Conduct outreach to Contracted Parties

0% Complete Consensus Policy becomes effective

**Not Started** 

Continuous improvement and measure of policy effectiveness

Formal review


Post-Implementation Policy Status Report

\*The Support and Review stage includes ongoing activities that continue after implementation of the policy is complete

4 Hours

# **Member Participation and Composition**

#### **IRT Member Affiliations**



### **Hours Worked\***

Face to Face Meetings: 0 Meetings Calls: 2 Calls Volunteer Meeting & Call Time: 3 Hours Personnel Support Time:

<sup>\*</sup>Hours worked is calculated based on time spent in project meetings