Proposed Service

Name of Proposed Service:

.hiv RSEP for Exchanging IDN Language Tables

Technical description of Proposed Service:

dotHIV gemeinnuetziger e.V., .hiv Registry Operator is switching its back-end registry services provider from Afilias Limited to Uniregistry Corp. As part of this, we would like to change our Registry Services defined on Exhibit A of the Registry Agreement to keep it up to date with the registry services Uniregistry provides.

Regarding registration of IDNs at the second and lower levels, we would like to exchange the IDN scripts and languages now listed in the .hiv Exhibit A with the list of languages/scripts IDNs offered by Uniregistry.

As a back-end registry services provider, Uniregistry has implemented and offers these IDNs within 24 delegated open TLDs. Thus far, there have been no technical issues with the IDN offering, and we will follow the same procedures, protocols, and specifications.

Consultation

Please describe with specificity your consultations with the community, experts and or others. What were the quantity, nature and content of the consultations?:

dotHIV gemeinnuetziger e.V. consulted with Afilias Limited, Uniregistry Corp. and sought legal counsel. Additionally the topic has been discussed with ICANN staff. There was no consultation with the broader community.

Until today, 14 months after launching .hiv Sunrise, there have been only two IDN registrations within the .hiv namespace, both using German IDN script:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>domain name</th>
<th>created on</th>
<th>registrar id</th>
<th>registrar name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>xn--prvention-w2a.hiv</td>
<td>2014-09-19 12:10:58.727+00</td>
<td>1508-KS</td>
<td>Key-Systems, LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xn--qualitt-bxa.hiv</td>
<td>2014-09-19 12:11:08.604+00</td>
<td>1508-KS</td>
<td>Key-Systems, LLC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first year of operation has proven that our community does not express interest in an IDN offer as broad as it is implemented now. The IDN languages/scripts offered by Uniregistry (including, of course, German) reach the global audience for which the .hiv TLD was designed. The slight reduction won’t affect negatively on the TLD.

a. If the registry is a sponsored TLD, what were the nature and content of these consultations with the sponsored
TLD community?:

N/A

b. Were consultations with gTLD registrars or the registrar constituency appropriate? Which registrars were consulted? What were the nature and content of the consultation?:

N/A

c. Were consultations with other constituency groups appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

N/A

d. Were consultations with end users appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

N/A

e. Who would endorse the introduction of this service? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

These changes are being prompted by our new back-end registry services provider, Uniregistry Corp. The resulting IDN set is the same system that has passed PDT for over 20 other new gTLDs.

f. Who would object the introduction of this service? What were(or would be) the nature and content of these consultations?:

We are not aware of any specific objections. This services is fully compliant with IDNA 2008 as well as ICANN's Guidelines for Implementations of IDNs.

Timeline

Please describe the timeline for implementation of the proposed new registry service:
The amendment of Exhibit A of the .hiv Registry Agreement is part of the overall change of the backend service provider for the TLD. Uniregistry is capable of offering the proposed new set of IDN languages/scripts. We want to ask ICANN to incorporate this request in the setup of the scheduled .hiv PDT for Uniregistry. We would like to implement the service together with the final execution of the Backend Service Provider change.

Business Description

Describe how the Proposed Service will be offered:

dotHIV gemeinnuetziger e.V. will allow registrations of .hiv names in the new list of IDN names at the second level. Backend registry services will be offered by Uniregistry Corp.

Describe quality assurance plan or testing of Proposed Service:

The back-end registry services provider Uniregistry plans to offer the same set of IDN languages/scripts that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times.

Please list any relevant RFCs or White Papers on the proposed service and explain how those papers are relevant:

The proposed service is fully compliant with IDNA 2008 (RFC 5890, RFC 5891, RFC 5892, RFC 5893, RFC 5894 and RFC 6452).

Contractual Provisions

List the relevant contractual provisions impacted by the Proposed Service:

Exhibit A - Approved Services

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the reporting of data to ICANN:

none

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the Whois?:

...
Contract Amendments

Please describe or provide the necessary contractual amendments for the proposed service:

The proposed service would require the following amendment to paragraph 4.3 of Exhibit A - Approved Services.

Remove the following:

4.3.1. German language
4.3.2. Danish language
4.3.3. Hungarian language
4.3.4. Icelandic language
4.3.5. Korean language
4.3.6. Lithuanian language
4.3.7. Latvian language
4.3.8. Polish language
4.3.9. Swedish language
4.3.10. Spanish language
4.3.11. Chinese (Simplified) language
4.3.12. Chinese (Traditional) language
4.3.13. Russian language
4.3.14. Belarusian language
4.3.15. Ukrainian language
4.3.16. Bosnian language
4.3.17. Serbian language
4.3.18. Macedonian language
4.3.19. Bulgarian language
4.3.20. Montenegro language

Insert the following:

4.3.1. Cyrillic Script
4.3.2. French Language
4.3.3. Italian Language
4.3.4. German Language
4.3.5. Portuguese Language
4.3.6. Spanish Language
Benefits of Service

Describe the benefits of the Proposed Service:

N/A

Competition

Do you believe your proposed new Registry Service would have any positive or negative effects on competition? If so, please explain:

We do not see any impact on competition with the implementation of this service.

How would you define the markets in which your proposed Registry Service would compete?:

N/A

What companies/entities provide services or products that are similar in substance or effect to your proposed Registry Service?:

N/A

In view of your status as a registry operator, would the introduction of your proposed Registry Service potentially impair the ability of other companies/entities that provide similar products or services to compete?:

N/A

Do you propose to work with a vendor or contractor to provide the proposed Registry Service? If so, what is the name of the vendor/contractor, and describe the nature of the services the vendor/contractor would provide?:

Yes. Uniregistry Corp. will handle the implementation of this service. Uniregistry will provide the service in the same way that Uniregistry has already provided for other new gTLDs.

Have you communicated with any of the entities whose products or services might be affected by the introduction
of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please describe the communications.: 

N/A

Do you have any documents that address the possible effects on competition of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please submit them with your application. (ICANN will keep the documents confidential).:

n/A

Security and Stability

Does the proposed service alter the storage and input of Registry Data?:

No.

Please explain how the proposed service will affect the throughput, response time, consistency or coherence of responses to Internet servers or end systems:

There are no effects regarding throughput, response time, consistency or coherence of response to Internet servers or end systems with the implementations of this service.

Have technical concerns been raised about the proposed service, and if so, how do you intend to address those concerns?:

No technical concerns have been raised.

Other Issues

Are there any Intellectual Property considerations raised by the Proposed Service:

The proposal does not increase any risk of intellectual property infringement.

Does the proposed service contain intellectual property exclusive to your gTLD registry?:
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None

List Disclaimers provided to potential customers regarding the Proposed Service:

N/A

Any other relevant information to include with this request:

none