Proposed Service

Name of Proposed Service:

Request for release of Country and Territory Names

Technical description of Proposed Service:

Pursuant to Section 4 of Specification 5 of the Registry Agreement, we request the release of country and territory names within .SAARLAND. This request includes all such names that appear on the following lists: the short form (in English) of all country and territory names contained on the ISO 3166-1 list, as updated from time to time, including the European Union, which is exceptionally reserved on the ISO 3166-1 list, and its scope extended in August 1999 to any application needing to represent the name European Union; 4.2. the United Nations Group of Experts on Geographical Names, Technical Reference Manual for the Standardization of Geographical Names, Part III Names of Countries of the World; and 4.3. the list of United Nations member states in 6 official United Nations languages prepared by the Working Group on Country Names of the United Nations Conference on the Standardization of Geographical Names.

Consultation

Please describe with specificity your consultations with the community, experts and or others. What were the quantity, nature and content of the consultations?:

Registry Operator has not undertaken direct consultation with the community, experts or others. Registry Operator relies on the historical availability of such names in legacy domains, as well as the recent comments of the Governmental Advisory Committee in its October 2014, 2014 Los Angeles Communiqué whereby it recognized that two-character second level domain names are in wide use across existing TLDs, and have not been the cause of any security, stability, technical or competition concerns and indicated no major concerns about registry operators seeking approval for the release of country names and two-letter and character codes at the second level. Registry Operator seeks approval for the release of country and territory names in the .SAARLAND TLD. As such names will be used in similar fashion as the identical strings are in existing ccTLDs and gTLDs, no more or less abuse or misconduct than in existing gTLDs and ccTLDs should be expected.

a. If the registry is a sponsored TLD, what were the nature and content of these consultations with the sponsored TLD community?:

n/a
b. Were consultations with gTLD registrars or the registrar constituency appropriate? Which registrars were consulted? What were the nature and content of the consultation?:

We have been asked by many ICANN accredited Registrars when we are going to release the country and territory names.

c. Were consultations with other constituency groups appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

n/a

d. Were consultations with end users appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

Registry Operator has received numerous requests from potential registrants to release country and territory names for their use.

e. Who would endorse the introduction of this service? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

End customers, internet users and governments would endorse this service.

f. Who would object the introduction of this service? What were(or would be) the nature and content of these consultations?:

In light of the lack of objection from the GAC in their most recent Singapore 52 communique and, if relevant, the consent of ICANN for the release of country and territory names, there does not appear to be any interested governmental authority, entity, or individual who would object to the release of country and territory names in accordance with this proposal. If a relevant concern be raised, Registry Operator is prepared to engage the concerned party in accordance with any process specially developed for such purpose or as otherwise required by ICANN.

Registry Operator is instituting a process similar to the release process for letter-letter two-charactered strings that match ccTLD designated strings to channel potential government objections.

Timeline
Please describe the timeline for implementation of the proposed new registry service:

Registry Operator would make available country and territory names in domain names as soon as practicable in accordance with Specification 5 to its Registry Agreement, including, if applicable, any further application to or approval from ICANN, GAC member, country, or registry authority as may be required. The timeline will include all required RPM mechanisms.

Business Description

Describe how the Proposed Service will be offered:

In accordance with the Registry Agreement, any eligible registrant may register domain names in the .SAARLAND TLD incorporating released country and territory names at the second level.

Describe quality assurance plan or testing of Proposed Service:

n/a, the released names will need no special technical implementation different from any other string.

Please list any relevant RFCs or White Papers on the proposed service and explain how those papers are relevant.

n/a

Contractual Provisions

List the relevant contractual provisions impacted by the Proposed Service:

Registry Agreement, Specification 5, Section 4, Country and Territory Names. The country and territory names (including their IDN variants, where applicable) contained in the following internationally recognized lists shall be withheld from registration or allocated to Registry Operator at All Levels: 4.1 the short form (in English) of all country and territory names contained on the ISO 3166-1 list, as updated from time to time, including the European Union, which is exceptionally reserved on the ISO 3166-1 list, and its scope extended in August 1999 to any application needing to represent the name European Union 4.2 the United Nations Group of Experts on Geographical Names, Technical Reference Manual for the Standardization of Geographical Names, Part III Names of Countries of the World; and 4.3 the list of United Nations
memberstates in 6 official United Nations languages prepared by the Working Group on Country Names of the United Nations Conference on the Standardization of Geographical Names; Provided, that the reservation of specific country and territory names (including their IDN variants according to the registry operator IDN registration policy, where applicable) may be released to the extent that Registry Operator reaches agreement with the applicable government(s). Registry Operator must not activate such names in the DNS; provided, that Registry Operator may propose the release of these reservations, subject to review by ICANN’s Governmental Advisory Committee and approval by ICANN. Upon conclusion of Registry Operator’s designation as operator of the registry for the TLD, all such names that remain withheld from registration or reallocated to Registry Operator shall be transferred as specified by ICANN. Registry Operator may self-allocate and renew such names without use of an ICANN accredited registrar, which will not be considered Transactions for purposes of Section 6.1 of the Agreement.

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the reporting of data to ICANN:

\n\nNone

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the Whois?:

\n\nNone

Contract Amendments

Please describe or provide the necessary contractual amendments for the proposed service:

\n\nThe proposed release of country and territory names is foreseen under Specification 5, Section 4 of the Registry Agreement, subject to the agreement with the applicable government(s) or subject to review by the GAC and approval by ICANN as the case may be. The release does not require any contractual amendment in order for implementation.

Benefits of Service

Describe the benefits of the Proposed Service:

\n\nThe release of country and territory names in the .SAARLAND TLD space will add to consumer choice and competition in the marketplace.
Competition

Do you believe your proposed new Registry Service would have any positive or negative effects on competition? If so, please explain:

The release of country and territory names in the .SAARLAND TLD space will have a positive effect on competition as it will contribute to efficient navigation to country, language and other web pages appropriate for the end user, thus promoting competition, innovation, and consumer choice on the Internet. Furthermore, it will remove competitive disadvantages to legacy TLDs that exist due to the current reservation policy.

How would you define the markets in which your proposed Registry Service would compete?:

Identical to the current target market.

What companies/entities provide services or products that are similar in substance or effect to your proposed Registry Service?:

Legacy TLD operators currently provide for the registration of country and territory names as domain names.

In view of your status as a registry operator, would the introduction of your proposed Registry Service potentially impair the ability of other companies/entities that provide similar products or services to compete?:

No.

Do you propose to work with a vendor or contractor to provide the proposed Registry Service? If so, what is the name of the vendor/contractor, and describe the nature of the services the vendor/contractor would provide?:

No.

Have you communicated with any of the entities whose products or services might be affected by the introduction of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please describe the communications:

N/A
Do you have any documents that address the possible effects on competition of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please submit them with your application. (ICANN will keep the documents confidential).

No

**Security and Stability**

Does the proposed service alter the storage and input of Registry Data?:

No

Please explain how the proposed service will affect the throughput, response time, consistency or coherence of responses to Internet servers or end systems:

*No effect.*

Have technical concerns been raised about the proposed service, and if so, how do you intend to address those concerns?:

No

**Other Issues**

Are there any Intellectual Property considerations raised by the Proposed Service:

No. RPMs will apply to these names.

Does the proposed service contain intellectual property exclusive to your gTLD registry?:

No

List Disclaimers provided to potential customers regarding the Proposed Service:
We will amend our abuse policy to prohibit use that is intended to confuse end users to the effect that confusion with the country or territory government would be enabled.

Any other relevant information to include with this request:

none