Proposed Service

Name of Proposed Service:

Technical description of Proposed Service:

dotCoop is moving its back end registry services provider from Midcounties Cooperative to CentralNIC. As part of this we would like a number of changes made to our agreement in order to keep it up to date with the formats that the new provider uses and moving it towards the new agreement format. The changes being requested are:

2. Zone File Access
3. Whois Specifications
4. Public Whois Information
5. Change of Data Centre Locations
6. Clarification of DNSSEC standards (as in the .pro Registry Agreement)

Attached is the proposed amendment.

Appendix A (dotCoop ICANN Amendment 2.pdf)

Consultation

Please describe with specificity your consultations with the community, experts and or others. What were the quantity, nature and content of the consultations?:

These changes are being prompted by our move to a new back-end registry - CentralNIC Ltd. This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times.

a. If the registry is a sponsored TLD, what were the nature and content of these consultations with the sponsored TLD community?:

These changes are being prompted by our move to a new back-end registry - CentralNIC Ltd. This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times.

b. Were consultations with gTLD registrars or the registrar constituency appropriate? Which registrars were consulted? What were the nature and content of the consultation?:

These changes are being prompted by our move to a new back-end registry - CentralNIC Ltd. This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times.
that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times.

c. Were consultations with other constituency groups appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times.

d. Were consultations with end users appropriate? Which groups were consulted? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times.

e. Who would endorse the introduction of this service? What were the nature and content of these consultations?:

These changes are being prompted by our move to a new back-end registry - CentralNIC Ltd. This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times.

f. Who would object the introduction of this service? What were(or would be) the nature and content of these consultations?:

No known objectors

Timeline

Please describe the timeline for implementation of the proposed new registry service:

These changes are being prompted by our move to a new back-end registry - CentralNIC Ltd. These are non-controversial changes that are intended to be implemented as soon as the transfer from the current back-end registry occurs.

This transfer is planned to occur during last January/February 2015. The exact date is to be confirmed.

Business Description

Describe how the Proposed Service will be offered:
This service will be offered by the back-end registry provider, CentralNIC Ltd, and will work with various registrars. The changes requested here will be pushed to the zone file in the same manner as any other domain registration.

Describe quality assurance plan or testing of Proposed Service:

This is the same system that has passed PDT multiple times, for each new gTLD that has launched under ICANNs new gTLD program.

Please list any relevant RFCs or White Papers on the proposed service and explain how those papers are relevant:

This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times. This request implements the multi-stakeholder, bottom-up policy developed over the years of ICANN’s community feedback and agreements on these issues.

Contractual Provisions

List the relevant contractual provisions impacted by the Proposed Service:

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the reporting of data to ICANN:

What effect, if any, will the Proposed Service have on the Whois?:

Contract Amendments

Please describe or provide the necessary contractual amendments for the proposed service:

Benefits of Service

Describe the benefits of the Proposed Service:

These changes are being prompted by our move to a new back-end registry - CentralNIC Ltd. This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times.
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Competition

Do you believe your proposed new Registry Service would have any positive or negative effects on competition? If so, please explain:

We do not see any impact on competition with the implementation of the services described here.

How would you define the markets in which your proposed Registry Service would compete:

The TLD's market is existing and future TLD registrants.

What companies/entities provide services or products that are similar in substance or effect to your proposed Registry Service:

This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times. This request implements the multi-stakeholder, bottom-up policy developed over the years of ICANN's community feedback and agreements on these issues. Each new gTLD offers a similar service.

In view of your status as a registry operator, would the introduction of your proposed Registry Service potentially impair the ability of other companies/entities that provide similar products or services to compete:

No; these changes are being made in order to move our back-end registry to CentralNIC LTD. This is the same system that has passed PDT for new gTLDs multiple times. Each new gTLD offers a similar service.

Do you propose to work with a vendor or contractor to provide the proposed Registry Service? If so, what is the name of the vendor/contractor, and describe the nature of the services the vendor/contractor would provide:

CentralNIC LTD, our new back-end registry service provider.

Have you communicated with any of the entities whose products or services might be affected by the introduction of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please describe the communications:

Yes, CentralNIC LTD, our back-end registry service provider.
Do you have any documents that address the possible effects on competition of your proposed Registry Service? If so, please submit them with your application. (ICANN will keep the documents confidential):

*No effects on competition are foreseen.*

**Security and Stability**

Does the proposed service alter the storage and input of Registry Data?:

*As otherwise described in the document attached to this request.*

Please explain how the proposed service will affect the throughput, response time, consistency or coherence of responses to Internet servers or end systems:

*As otherwise described in the document attached to this request.*

Have technical concerns been raised about the proposed service, and if so, how do you intend to address those concerns?:

*None have been raised.*

**Other Issues**

Are there any Intellectual Property considerations raised by the Proposed Service:

*None have been raised.*

Does the proposed service contain intellectual property exclusive to your gTLD registry?:

*N/A*

List Disclaimers provided to potential customers regarding the Proposed Service:
None provided

Any other relevant information to include with this request:

None