

Staff Report of Public Comment Proceeding

Name Collision Analysis Project (NCAP) Study 1: Proposed Final Report

Publication Date: 1 July 2020

Prepared By: Roy Arends

Public Comment Proceeding

Open Date: 8 May 2020

Close Date: 17 June 2020

Staff Report Due Date: 1 July 2020

Important Information Links

[Announcement](#)

[Public Comment Proceeding](#)

[View Comments Submitted](#)

Staff Contact: Roy Arends

Email: roy.arends@icann.org

Section I: General Overview and Next Steps

The draft final report received seven (7) comments from organizations and individuals. None of these comments fundamentally disagreed with the approach and content of the report. One of the comments suggested changes to some of the report's findings.

Based on the comments, the report will be revised and a final version posted.

Section II: Contributors

At the time this report was prepared, a total of seven (7) community submissions had been posted to the forum. The contributors, both individuals and organizations/groups, are listed below in chronological order by posting date with initials noted. To the extent that quotations are used in the foregoing narrative (Section III), such citations will reference the contributor's initials.

Organizations and Groups:

Name	Submitted by	Initials
Verisign	Burt Kaliski	VRS
Nominet UK	Nick Wenban-Smith	NU
At-Large Advisory Committee	ICANN Policy Staff	ALAC
Valideus	Susan Payne	VLD
Brand Registry Group	Martin Sutton	BRG
JAS Global Advisors	Jeff Schmidt	JAS
Neustar	Donna Austin	NS

Individuals:

Name	Affiliation (if provided)	Initials

Section III: Summary of Comments

General Disclaimer: This section intends to summarize broadly and comprehensively the comments submitted to this public comment proceeding but does not address every specific position stated by each contributor. The preparer recommends that readers interested in specific aspects of any of the summarized comments, or the full context of others, refer directly to the specific contributions at the

link referenced above (View Comments Submitted).

Comments that did not suggest revisions to the report (6):

NU
ALAC
VLD
BRG
JAS
NS

Comments that encouraged revisions to the report (1):

VRS: Disagreed with aspects of some of the findings and with the definition of “name collision” that was used.

Section IV: Analysis of Comments

General Disclaimer: This section intends to provide an analysis and evaluation of the comments submitted along with explanations regarding the basis for any recommendations provided within the analysis.

None of the comments suggested significant revisions to the content of the initial report. One of the six comments suggested minor revisions to the report. The following addresses that one comment.

VRS: The comments on findings 1 and 2 apply to an earlier report draft and were already addressed in the existing public comment draft. The report’s existing references involving finding the root causes of name collisions will be double-checked to confirm the finding is accurate. To clarify the question raised about the name collision definition, the report will be updated to emphasize that the definition used for the study was the one provided by the NCAP Discussion Group.

Given the comments, the report will be revised and a final version posted.