Section I: General Overview and Next Steps

This Public Comment proceeding is on behalf of the IANA Naming Function Review Team (IFRT). The team conducted the review in accordance with the scope specified in Article 18 of the ICANN Bylaws, which centers around a review and evaluation of Public Technical Identifiers (PTI)'s performance of the IANA naming function against the contractual requirements in the IANA Naming Function Contract and the IANA Naming Function Statement of Work (SOW). The IFR Review Team's Recommendation 4 in the IFR Final Report calls for an amendment to Article 7, Section 7.1 (a) of the IANA Naming Function Contract.

Pursuant to ICANN Bylaws Article 18.6 (iv), there must be “public comment on the amendments that are under consideration by the IFRT through a public comment period that complies with the designated practice for public comment periods within ICANN.”

The IFRT will carefully consider comments received and amend the report as it deems appropriate and in the public interest before submitting its final report to the ICANN Board of Directors.
Section II: Contributors

At the time this report was prepared, a total of three (3) community submissions had been posted to the forum. The contributors, both individuals and organizations/groups, are listed below in chronological order by posting date with initials noted. To the extent that quotations are used in the foregoing narrative (Section III), such citations will reference the contributor’s initials.

Organizations and Groups:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Submitted by</th>
<th>Initials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At-Large Advisory Committee</td>
<td>ICANN Policy Staff on Behalf of ALAC</td>
<td>ALAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Constituency</td>
<td>Steve DelBianco, Vice Chair for Policy Coordination, ICANN Business Constituency (BC)</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gTLD Registries Stakeholder Group</td>
<td>Elizabeth Bacon, RySG Vice Chair, Policy</td>
<td>RySG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Individuals: N/A

Section III: Summary of Comments

General Disclaimer: This section intends to summarize broadly and comprehensively the comments submitted to this public comment proceeding but does not address every specific position stated by each contributor. The preparer recommends that readers interested in specific aspects of any of the summarized comments, or the full context of others, refer directly to the specific contributions at the link referenced above (View Comments Submitted).

There were three comments submitted, all of which unanimously supported Recommendation 4 within the IFRT’s Final Report.

Section IV: Analysis of Comments

General Disclaimer: This section intends to provide an analysis and evaluation of the comments submitted along with explanations regarding the basis for any recommendations provided within the analysis.

All of the submitted comments recommended that the Board approve Recommendation 4.

The At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC) and the Business Constituency (BC) approved Recommendation 4 during their committee meetings. The gTLD Registries Stakeholder Group (RySG) reiterated their “support for the work and recommendations of the IANA Naming Function Review Team (IFRT) and specifically supports the contractual amendment outlined in Recommendation 4 of the IFRT Final Report.”