

Summary Report of Public Comment Proceeding

Amendment to the IANA Naming Functions Contract, Annex A																			
Publication Date:	22 February 2019																		
Prepared By:	Amy Creamer																		
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Public Comment Proceeding</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Open Date:</td> <td>2 January 2019</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Close Date:</td> <td>10 February 2019</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Summary Report Due Date:</td> <td>4 March 2019</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Public Comment Proceeding		Open Date:	2 January 2019	Close Date:	10 February 2019	Summary Report Due Date:	4 March 2019	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Important Information Links</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Announcement</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Public Comment Proceeding</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">View Comments Submitted</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Important Information Links		Announcement		Public Comment Proceeding		View Comments Submitted	
Public Comment Proceeding																			
Open Date:	2 January 2019																		
Close Date:	10 February 2019																		
Summary Report Due Date:	4 March 2019																		
Important Information Links																			
Announcement																			
Public Comment Proceeding																			
View Comments Submitted																			
Staff Contact:	Amy Creamer	Email:	amy.creamer@icann.org																
Section I: General Overview and Next Steps																			
<p>Purpose: The purpose of this public comment proceeding was to obtain community input on a proposed Amendment to the IANA Naming Function Contract between ICANN and Public Technical Identifiers (PTI). If approved, the Amendment would allow the Customer Standing Committee (CSC) and PTI/ICANN to change the IANA Naming Function's Service Level Agreements (SLAs) without the need to do a contract amendment every time an SLA change is needed. Instead, the SLA change would follow a SLA Change Process that provides a process to achieve amendments to the SLAs in a timely fashion while requiring consultations with the naming customers and broader ICANN community as appropriate. The SLAs would reside in a SLA table on PTI's webpage.</p> <p>Next Steps: The ICANN and PTI Boards will consider the Amendment for adoption at ICANN65.</p>																			
Section II: Contributors																			
<p><i>At the time this report was prepared, a total of one (1) community submissions had been posted to the forum. The contributor is listed below.</i></p> <p><u>Organizations and Groups:</u></p>																			
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Name</th> <th>Submitted by</th> <th>Initials</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Registries Stakeholder Group</td> <td>Samantha Demetriou</td> <td>RySG</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Name	Submitted by	Initials	Registries Stakeholder Group	Samantha Demetriou	RySG										
Name	Submitted by	Initials																	
Registries Stakeholder Group	Samantha Demetriou	RySG																	

Section III: Summary of Comments

Only one comment was submitted.

The Registries Stakeholder Group supported the Amendment: “This amendment to the IANA Naming Functions Contract adopts an SLA Change Process that was cooperatively developed and agreed to by the CSC, PTI, and ICANN org. The SLA Change Process will allow the CSC and PTI to a) modify SLAs where appropriate b) add new SLAs as new services come on-line and, c) remove SLAs not warranted anymore. The RySG supports the Amendment and requests the ICANN Board to approve the Amendment so that SLAs that currently need to be modified or added or removed may be accomplished as soon as possible.” The Registries Stakeholder Group also supported the SLA Change Process, stating: “The Service Level Agreement (SLA) Change Process is an elegant, efficient solution to the need for modifying the IANA Naming Functions SLAs.”

Section IV: Analysis of Comments

General Disclaimer: This section intends to provide an analysis and evaluation of the comments submitted along with explanations regarding the basis for any recommendations provided within the analysis.

In its comment, the Registries Stakeholder Group stated that “The DTA and inaugural Customer Standing Committee (CSC) fully expected the SLAs to be modified as services and demands changed.” The Registries Stakeholder Group therefore supports the Amendment and “requests the ICANN Board to approve the Amendment so that SLAs that currently need to be modified or added or removed may be accomplished as soon as possible.”