Reconsideration Request Form

Version of 11 April 2013

ICANN's Board Governance Committee is responsible for receiving requests for reconsideration from any person or entity that has been materially affected by any ICANN staff action or inaction if such affected person or entity believes the action contradicts established ICANN policies, or by actions or inactions of the Board that such affected person or entity believes has been taken without consideration of material information. Note: This is a brief summary of the relevant Bylaws provisions. For more information about ICANN's reconsideration process, please visit http://www.icann.org/en/general/bylaws.htm#IV and http://www.icann.org/en/committees/board-governance/.

This form is provided to assist a requester in submitting a Reconsideration Request, and identifies all required information needed for a complete Reconsideration Request. This template includes terms and conditions that shall be signed prior to submission of the Reconsideration Request.

Requesters may submit all facts necessary to demonstrate why the action/inaction should be reconsidered. However, argument shall be limited to 25 pages, double-spaced and in 12 point font.

For all fields in this template calling for a narrative discussion, the text field will wrap and will not be limited.

Please submit completed form to reconsideration@icann.org.

1. Requester Information

Name: Atgron, Inc.
Address: Contact Information Redacted
Email: Contact Information Redacted
Phone Number (optional):

(Note: ICANN will post the Requester's name on the Reconsideration Request page at http://www.icann.org/en/committees/board-governance/requests-for-reconsideration-en.htm. Requestors address, email and phone number will be removed from the posting.)

2. Request for Reconsideration of (check one only):

  _x_ Board action/inaction
  ___ Staff action/inaction
3. **Description of specific action you are seeking to have reconsidered.**

(Provide as much detail as available, such as date of Board meeting, reference to Board resolution, etc. You may provide documents. All documentation provided will be made part of the public record.)

6 May 2015 Dismissal of Reconsideration request 15-5

4. **Date of action/inaction:**

(Note: If Board action, this is usually the first date that the Board posted its resolution and rationale for the resolution or for inaction, the date the Board considered an item at a meeting.)

6 May 2015

5. **On what date did you become aware of the action or that action would not be taken?**

(Provide the date you learned of the action/that action would not be taken. If more than fifteen days has passed from when the action was taken or not taken to when you learned of the action or inaction, please provide discussion of the gap of time.)

11 May 2015

6. **Describe how you believe you are materially affected by the action or inaction:**

Our Registry is unable to act upon our RSEP request from October 8, 2013.

7. **Describe how others may be adversely affected by the action or inaction, if you believe that this is a concern.**

8. **Detail of Board or Staff Action – Required Information**

**Staff Action:** If your request is in regards to a staff action or inaction, please provide a detailed explanation of the facts as you understand they were provided to staff prior to the action/inaction presented to the staff and the reasons why the staff's action or inaction was inconsistent with established ICANN policy(ies). Please identify the policy(ies) with which the action/inaction was inconsistent. The policies that are eligible to serve as the basis for a Request for Reconsideration are those that are approved by the ICANN Board (after input from the community) that impact the community in some way. When reviewing staff action, the outcomes of prior Requests for Reconsideration challenging the same or substantially similar action/inaction as inconsistent with established
ICANN policy(ies) shall be of precedential value.

Board action: If your request is in regards to a Board action or inaction, please provide a detailed explanation of the material information not considered by the Board. If that information was not presented to the Board, provide the reasons why you did not submit the material information to the Board before it acted or failed to act. “Material information” means facts that are material to the decision.

If your request is in regards to a Board action or inaction that you believe is based upon inaccurate, false, or misleading materials presented to the Board and those materials formed the basis for the Board action or inaction being challenged, provide a detailed explanation as to whether an opportunity existed to correct the material considered by the Board. If there was an opportunity to do so, provide the reasons that you did not provide submit corrections to the Board before it acted or failed to act.

Reconsideration requests are not meant for those who believe that the Board made the wrong decision when considering the information available. There has to be identification of material information that was in existence of the time of the decision and that was not considered by the Board in order to state a reconsideration request. Similarly, new information — information that was not yet in existence at the time of the Board decision — is also not a proper ground for reconsideration. Please keep this guidance in mind when submitting requests.

Provide the Required Detailed Explanation here:

The Rationale for the dismissal of reconsideration request 15-5 was because “In order to state a basis for reconsideration of a Board action, a request must demonstrate that the Board (or in this case the BGC) failed to consider material information, or considered false or inaccurate material information, in denying Request 15-1.” Bylaws, Art IV, section 2.2. This rationale is incorrect. The Board failed to consider material information because the Board’s determination response on 19 March did not address the material substance of the complaint about the staff’s inaction. If the Board has considered the material complaint in Request 15-1, the Board would have provided a response which it did not. None of the responses in the determination provided on 19 March address the material fact that ICANN’s proposed amendment is not a material change from the current language in the Registry agreement. Atgron asserts an additional public comment period on the existing language in the existing Registry agreement is unwarranted and illogical. To dismiss request 15-5 as “vexatious” is unprofessional and also unwarranted. The Board simply needs to address the one material complaint in reconsideration requests 15-1 and 15-5 which it has failed to do. The Brief Summary of Facts in the determination document dated 6 May are also inaccurate because again the Board de facto failed to consider material facts when it failed to address the material facts in the initial compliant.

9. What are you asking ICANN to do now?
(Describe the specific steps you are asking ICANN to take. For example, should the action be reversed, cancelled or modified? If modified, how should it be modified?)

Answer the material facts in reconsideration requests 15-1 and 15-5 for proof of material differences between ICANN’s proposed amendment to our Registry agreement for our Oct 2013 RSEP request vice the current registry agreement. We would also like to know how ICANN determines what constitutes a material change to the Registry agreement language because we do not see any material change to the language at all. As far as we can tell there has been absolutely zero analysis of the actual amendment and our objection of the second public comment period and significant analysis of every other non-material statement in reconsideration request 15-1. The only reason we can discern this would be the case is because ICANN has no basis for the requirement for a second public comment period. Lines of legalease and abundant footnotes cannot obscure this lack of substantive analysis of material facts.

10. Please state specifically the grounds under which you have the standing and the right to assert this Request for Reconsideration, and the grounds or justifications that support your request.

(Include in this discussion how the action or inaction complained of has resulted in material harm and adverse impact. To demonstrate material harm and adverse impact, the requester must be able to demonstrate well-known requirements: there must be a loss or injury suffered (financial or non-financial) that is a directly and causally connected to the Board or staff action or inaction that is the basis of the Request for Reconsideration. The requestor must be able to set out the loss or injury and the direct nature of that harm in specific and particular details. The relief requested from the BGC must be capable of reversing the harm alleged by the requester. Injury or harm caused by third parties as a result of acting in line with the Board’s decision is not a sufficient ground for reconsideration. Similarly, injury or harm that is only of a sufficient magnitude because it was exacerbated by the actions of a third party is also not a sufficient ground for reconsideration.)

We make this request as a party to the signed .wed TLD Registry Agreement between ICANN and Atgron, Inc. which remains in force until 1 October 2023.

The harm has been to our business because we have been unable to conduct third level sales in the same manner as our competitors.

11. Are you bringing this Reconsideration Request on behalf of multiple persons or entities? (Check one)

___ Yes
11a. If yes, is the causal connection between the circumstances of the Reconsideration Request and the harm the same for all of the complaining parties? Explain.

Do you have any documents you want to provide to ICANN?

If you do, please attach those documents to the email forwarding this request. Note that all documents provided, including this Request, will be publicly posted at http://www.icann.org/en/committees/board-governance/requests-for-reconsideration-en.htm.

Terms and Conditions for Submission of Reconsideration Requests

The Board Governance Committee has the ability to consolidate the consideration of Reconsideration Requests if the issues stated within are sufficiently similar.

The Board Governance Committee may dismiss Reconsideration Requests that are querulous or vexatious.

Hearings are not required in the Reconsideration Process, however Requestors may request a hearing. The BGC retains the absolute discretion to determine whether a hearing is appropriate, and to call people before it for a hearing.

The BGC may take a decision on reconsideration of requests relating to staff action/inaction without reference to the full ICANN Board. Whether recommendations will issue to the ICANN Board is within the discretion of the BGC.

The ICANN Board of Director's decision on the BGC's reconsideration recommendation is final and not subject to a reconsideration request.

Signature

Date 26 May 2015