Reconsideration Request 18-6

Pursuant to Article 4, Section 4.2(l)(iii), I am recusing myself from consideration of Request 18-6.

Best regards,

Herb Waye
ICANN Ombudsman

https://www.icann.org/ombudsman [icann.org]
https://www.facebook.com/ICANNOmbudsman [facebook.com]
Twitter: @IcannOmbudsman

ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior:

Community Anti-Harassment Policy

Confidentiality
All matters brought before the Ombudsman shall be treated as confidential. The Ombudsman shall also take all reasonable steps necessary to preserve the privacy of, and to avoid harm to, those parties not involved in the complaint being investigated by the Ombudsman. The Ombudsman shall only make inquiries about, or advise staff or Board members of, the existence and identity of, a complainant in order to further the resolution of the complaint. The Ombudsman shall take all reasonable steps necessary to ensure that if staff and Board members are made aware of the existence and identity of a complainant, they agree to maintain the confidential nature of such information, except as necessary to further the resolution of a complaint

Reconsideration Requests 18-4, 18-5, and 18-6

Dear Herb,

On 13 and 14 April 2018, the following Reconsideration Requests were submitted seeking reconsideration of ICANN Board Resolutions 2018.03.15.08 through 2018.03.15.11, which resolved the Community Priority Evaluation (CPE) Process Review:

- Request 18-4 filed by dotgay LLC
- Request 18-5 filed by DotMusic Limited
• Request 18-6 filed by Travel Reservations SRL, Minds + Machines Group Limited, Radix FZC, dot Hotel Inc., Fegistry LLC

The Requests have been published on the Reconsideration page and are also attached.

The Board Accountability Mechanisms Committee (BAMC) has determined that Requests 18-4, 18-5, and 18-6 are sufficiently stated pursuant to Article 4, Section 4.2(k) of the ICANN Bylaws. Pursuant the Article 4, Section 4.2(l) of the ICANN Bylaws, a reconsideration request must be sent to the Ombudsman for consideration and evaluation if the request is not summarily dismissed following review by the BAMC to determine if the request is sufficiently stated. Specifically, Section 4.2 (l)[icann.org] states:

(i) For all Reconsideration Requests that are not summarily dismissed, except Reconsideration Requests described in Section 4.2(l)(iii) and Community Reconsideration Requests, the Reconsideration Request shall be sent to the Ombudsman, who shall promptly proceed to review and consider the Reconsideration Request.

(ii) The Ombudsman shall be entitled to seek any outside expert assistance as the Ombudsman deems reasonably necessary to perform this task to the extent it is within the budget allocated to this task.

(iii) The Ombudsman shall submit to the Board Accountability Mechanisms Committee his or her substantive evaluation of the Reconsideration Request within 15 days of the Ombudsman's receipt of the Reconsideration Request. The Board Accountability Mechanisms Committee shall thereafter promptly proceed to review and consideration.

Please advise whether you are accepting Requests 18-4, 18-5, and 18-6 for evaluation or whether you are recusing yourself pursuant to the grounds for recusal set forth in Section 4.2(l)(iii). If you are accepting Requests 18-4, 18-5, and 18-6 for evaluation, please note that your substantive evaluation must be provided to the BAMC within 15 days of receipt of the Requests.

Best regards,

ICANN
12025 Waterfront Drive, Suite 300
Los Angeles, CA 90094