Roll Call:

STEVE CROCKER: All right. The board is now in
. I'd like to go around the room and take roll.

I'm Steve Crocker, board chair.

CHERINE CHALABY: Cherine Chalaby, board.

LITO IBARRA: Lito Ibarra, board member.

RON DA SILVA: Ron Da Silva, member of the board.

RAM MOHAN: Ram Mohan.

ASHA HEMRAJANI: Asha Hemrajani.

CHRIS DISSPAIN: Chris Disspain.

MAARTEN BOTTERMAN: Maarten Botterman.

XAVIER CALVEZ: Xavier Calvez, ICANN org.

MARKUS KUMMER: Markus Kummer, ICANN board.

KHALED KOUBA: Khaled Koubaa, ICANN board.

KAVEH RANJBAR: Kaveh Ranjbar.

JONNE SOININEN: Jonne Soininen.

DIANE SCHROEDER: Diane Schroeder.

SUSANNA BENNETT: Susanna Bennett, ICANN org.

DAVID CONRAD: David Conrad, ICANN org.

BECKY BURR: Becky Burr.

LEON SANCHEZ: Leon Sanchez, incoming.

DUNCAN BURNS: Duncan Burns, ICANN org.

SALLY COSTERTON: Sally Costerton, ICANN org.

MATTHEW SHEARS: Matthew Shears, incoming.

JAMIE HEDLUND: Jamie Hedlund, ICANN org.

JOHN JEFFREY: John Jeffrey, general counsel and secretary.

THERESA SWINEHART: Theresa Swinehart, ICANN org.
Discussion Re: Reconsideration Request 17-3:

Moving on to the next resolution, Chris.

CHRIS DISSPAIN: Thank you, Steve.

This one is a reconsideration request in respect to .GAY. We've already done the conflicts. So, Amy, you're back on again.

AMY STATHOS: Thank you, Chris.

This particular one relates to the requestor .GAY LLC. And in similar fashion to the one we previously discussed, it relates to a DIDP request relating to the CPE process review. CPE, again, is Community Priority Evaluation.

And the Board Accountability Mechanisms Committee, as it did previously, evaluated the reconsideration request at length and determined that the staff had properly followed the DIDP in responding to the request from .GAY LLC and indicated that, again, the response to the request had identified numerous documents that had already been posted, identified certain documents that would be subject to nondisclosure for the conditions for nondisclosure under the DIDP, and then, finally, evaluated whether or not, withstanding the conditions for nondisclosure, if any of the documents should nevertheless be produced. And the ICANN organization decision was no. The BAMC evaluated that and came to the determination that the reconsideration request should be denied because there
was no basis for reconsideration in that the ICANN organization followed the policy in responding to the DIDP.

Again, here, the requestor was provided an opportunity to submit a rebuttal, which it did. And as the board has seen in the materials, the analysis of that rebuttal shows that nothing new was found in the rebuttal that would be subject to reconsideration. And accordingly, the recommendation stands that the BAMC's recommendation to deny the request be adopted by the board.

And, Chris, I'll leave it at that.

CHRIS DISSPAIN: Thank you, Amy.

Again, any discussion on this -- on this one, or any questions on this one?

Okay. Steve, over to you to call the resolution, please.

STEVE CROCKER: Thank you.

So, calling the question on the reconsideration request, 17-3.

All in favor, say aye.

MULTIPLE VOICES: Aye.

STEVE CROCKER: Any opposed?

And abstentions?

Becky? George?

Thank you.