Privacy and Proxy Services Accreditation

Most Recent Deliverable Completed:

The Implementation Review Team (IRT) on Privacy and Proxy Services Accreditation Issues has been re-convened and held its initial call on 13 June 2024. The Community Wiki can be consulted [here](#).

Description and Scope:

The 2013 Registrar Accreditation Agreement (RAA) includes a [Specification on Privacy and Proxy Registrations](#) that contains requirements for privacy and proxy service registrations offered through affiliates and resellers of registrars accredited under the 2013 RAA.

These requirements will be replaced by the Privacy and Proxy Accreditation Program. ICANN is implementing this program based on [Final Recommendations](#) that were developed by the GNSO Privacy & Proxy Services Accreditation Issues PDP Working Group, adopted by the GNSO Council and approved by the ICANN Board.

New accreditation program requirements will include:

- Detailed frameworks for provider responses to requests from law enforcement authorities and intellectual property holders
- Standardized requirements for providers' relay of communications from third parties to privacy and proxy service customers
- Provider data escrow and data retention requirements
- A new Registration Data Directory Service label to identify registrations involving a privacy and or proxy service
- Required provider notices to privacy and proxy service customers and third-party requesters
- A mandatory provider educational program

The IRT is currently examining several threshold questions which will help to determine the timeline for this implementation:

1. Are there any policy questions or items IRT already wants to bring to Council for guidance? Submit Recommendation Number or Final Report text.
2. Implementation Model: Accreditation Program or Alternative Implementation
   a. Can an implementation model without a new standalone accreditation program remain consistent with the policy recommendations
3. Disclosure Frameworks (Intellectual Property and Law Enforcement)
   a. Are there specific areas to revisit under new law/policy (org to share assessment for IRT review)?
   b. Can these frameworks be aligned with existing work on RDRS, Registration Data Policy, and other existing procedures. and remain consistent with the policy recommendations?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Implementation Status</th>
<th>Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># Participants: 51 Participants (<a href="#">link</a>)</td>
<td>Start Date: 18-October-2016</td>
<td>- EPDP Phase 1 Recommendation 27 Wave 1.5 Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Observers: 2</td>
<td>Status: Active</td>
<td>- Project Workspace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- PPSAI Implementation Analysis -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Member Participation and Composition**

**IRT Member Affiliations**

- ReSG: 14
- IPC: 3
- Non-affiliated: 6
- NPOC: 4
- GNSO Council: 2
- BC: 6
- GAC: 3
- RySG: 1
- SSAC: 1
- ALAC: 2

**IRT Attendance**

- Volunteer Participation Rate: 39%

**Hours Worked**

- Face to Face Meetings: 5 Meetings
- Calls: 58 Calls

*Volunteer Participation Rate is calculated based on the total number of invitations for IRT members to participate in meetings divided by the number of times meetings were attended by individual IRT members.

*Hours worked is calculated based on time spent in project meetings*