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Hierarchical trust using DNS

- **TLD .com**
  - **domain redhat.com**
    - **subdomain datatracker.ietf.org**
    - **subdomain mailarchive.ietf.org**
- **TLD .org**
  - **domain ietf.org**
  - **domain libreswan.org**
    - **subdomain ip.libreswan.org**
Hierarchical trust using DNS
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A parent has full authority over its children.
The grand children are at the mercy of their grand parent
With great power comes .....
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.... Great responsibility
The root of all (dis)trust
Attack 1: Parental override of delegation

- **TLD**: .org
  - **domain**: mailarchive.ietf.org
  - **domain**: libreswan.org
  - **domain**: ietf.org
    - **subdomain**: datatracker.ietf.org
    - **subdomain**: mailarchive.ietf.org
    - **subdomain**: ip.libreswan.org
Attack 1: Parental override of delegation

......
ottawa.nohats.ca. IN NS travelagent.com.
powerbind.nohats.ca. IN NS ns1.trusted.com.
powerbind.nohats.ca. IN DS 17869 8 2 f22bb[...]
powerbind.nohats.ca. IN RRSIG DS 8 3 3600 [...]
toronto.nohats.ca. IN NS ns1.bighoster.ca.

......
_443._tcp.powerbind.nohats.ca. IN TLSA 3 1 1 302BBD0
_443._tcp.powerbind.nohats.ca. IN RRSIG TSLA 8 3 3600 [...]

......
Attack 2) Replacing child delegation
Attack 2) Replacing child delegation

......

ottawa.nohats.ca. IN NS travelagent.com.
; powerbind.nohats.ca. IN NS ns1.trusted.com.
powerbind.nohats.ca. IN NS ns0.evil.com.
; powerbind.nohats.ca. IN DS 17869 8 2 f22bb[...]
powerbind.nohats.ca. IN DS 98765 8 2 aaabbbcccc[...]
powerbind.nohats.ca. IN RRSIG DS 8 3 3600 [...]
toronto.nohats.ca. IN NS ns1.bighoster.ca.
......
The solution:
The DNSKEY DELEGATION_ONLY flag
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DELEGATION_ONLY flag benefits:

1) Public commitment by parent to be a delegation-only zone to prevent rogue parents from deep-signing child data.
   • Publish commitment via DNSKEY flag

2) DNSSEC transparency that does not require logging ALL DNS records with public keys
   • With above flag, we only need to log DNSKEY / DS records or their NSECs
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DELEGATION_ONLY DNSKEY flag

Traditional Key Signing KEY DNSKEY record:

```
powerbind.nohats.ca. IN DNSKEY 257 3 8 (AwEAAab+wQalXSsjykJ6uaIIGvHbzHZZDDeexZNCYJJBa) ; KSK; alg = RSASHA256 ; key id = 17869
```

```
powerbind.nohats.ca. IN DS 17869 8 2f22b3b3315c48b719fb67da0fc019ae4af534143569f7a63022eba4d87c1f56d
```

DNSKEY with DELEGATION_ONLY flag set:

```
powerbind.nohats.ca. IN DNSKEY 321 3 8 (AwEAAab+wQalXSsjykJ6uaIIGvHbzHZZDDeexZNCYJJBa) ; KSK; alg = RSASHA256 ; key id = 17933
```

```
powerbind.nohats.ca. IN DS 17933 8 2096749AAB0CFE225A3779AC7BD21EBDC1D8573511DD5AFA0889EB5E8A00B9AF9
```
Does using a new DNSKEY flag break current deployment? Apparently not!

- **powerbind.nohat.ca** is a real signed zone using 0x40 DNSKEY flag
- created with a patched `dnssec-keygen` and `dnssec-signzone`
  - (ods-ksmutil key import ignored my new dnskey flag)
- So far all tested DNS resolves validate properly
  - Google DNS, bind, powerdns, unbound
Pros

• Protects child zone data from parent
  • Including TLSA, SMIMEA, OPENPGPKEY
• Allows DNSSEC Transparency
• Very simple
  • No new RRTYPE
  • no changes required for authoritative servers
  • Only minimal changes in validator
• Only requires DNS resolver/stub code changes
Cons

• Does not allow exceptions for ENT ("co.uk")
  (no more dots without NS delegations)
• Does not protect child APEX data
  • A/AAAA, MX, IPSECKEY[*]
  • Not a big issue, as we care most about prefixed records, eg TLSA, SMIMEA, DKIM
• Requires delegations for _prefix labels e.g.:
  _tcp.powerbind.nohats.ca. IN NS ...
  _tcp.powerbind.nohats.ca. IN DS ...
  443._tcp.powerbind.nohats.ca. IN TLSA <pubkey>
  (make exception for _prefix labels?)
Deploying DELEGATION_ONLY for the root

• The root zone is *technically* already a delegation only zone. But this is currently not enforced by RFCs or software.

• Is the root *politically* or *legally* a delegation only zone? Who do we ask? ICANN? IETF? IANA?

• We can’t realistically set this new flag in time for the September 2018 root KSK rollover. But we don’t want to wait many years for this enhancement to be deployed.

• We could state the root zone is delegation-only even without the DELEGATION_ONLY flag. But once we do, and software implements this, there is no way back
Questions?