

2021 Nominating Committee

Delegate Peer Reviews | Aggregate Results



The Survey & Results

- The results shown are from the **2021 Nominating Committee Peer Review**.
- The survey was taken by the 2021 NomCom Delegates. Review of the Leadership Team is conducted through a separate process (see here for more details: <https://www.icann.org/nomcom2021>). The survey received 12 responses (out of a possible 17).
- There were seven (7) questions in the survey (below) regarding the Committee Delegate's work and contribution to the 2021 NomCom. The questions are rated on a 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest) scale. **The average rating for all delegates on all questions was 4.26.**

Q1. NomCom delegate contributed effectively to preparatory work of the Committee, including in any Sub Committees and during teleconferences. **[Avg. Score = 4.30]**

Q2. NomCom delegate collaborated effectively and shared the workload during deep dive process. **[Avg. Score = 4.20]**

Q3. NomCom delegate was well prepared for and contributed positively to preliminary candidate review, including in discussions and deliberations during the virtual NomCom meetings. **[Avg. Score = 4.30]**

Q4. NomCom delegate appeared to act in the best interests of ICANN and to further NomCom's mission. **[Avg. Score = 4.31]**

Q5. NomCom delegate was engaged and effective in presenting their opinions on shortlisted candidates during final deliberations. **[Avg. Score = 4.27]**

Q6. NomCom delegate was respectful of the opinions of other delegates of the NomCom. **[Avg. Score = 4.33]**

Q7. NomCom delegates look forward to working with this NomCom delegate in another ICANN related committee or working group. **[Avg. Score = 4.13]**

- The survey also requested respondents to provide any additional feedback/comments on the committee delegates:
Feedback Q1. Please list five words to describe [Committee Delegate]

2021 Nominating Committee Delegates

ASO | Pankaj Chaturvedi

ccNSO | Juhani Juselius

RSSAC (Non-Voting) | Amir Qayyum

SSAC (Non-Voting) | Robert Guerra

ALAC (AF) | Hadia Elminiawi

ALAC (AP) | Amrita Choudhury

ALAC (EU) | Sébastien Bachollet

ALAC (LAC) | Vanda Scartezini

ALAC (NA) | Glenn McKnight

GNSO (BCUC-Large) | Scott McCormick

GNSO (BCUC-Small) | Adetola Sogbesan

GNSO (IPC) | Michael Graham

GNSO (ISPCP) | Marie-Noémie Marques


GNSO (NCUC) | Wolfgang Kleinwächter

GNSO (RySG) | Paul Diaz

GNSO (RrSG) | Tobias Sattler

IAB (IETF) | Peter Koch

Delegate Peer Review | Aggregate Results



Questions

Q1: Contributed effectively to preparatory work of the Committee including Sub Teams and during teleconferences.

Q2: If you were on a Deep Dive team or Subcommittee with the NomCom delegate being evaluated, do you feel the delegate collaborated effectively and shared the workload?

Q3: During the virtual NomCom meetings, NomCom delegate was well prepared for and contributed positively to preliminary candidate review, including in discussions and deliberations.

Q4: Appeared to act in the best interests of ICANN and to further NomCom's mission.

Q5: Was engaged and effective in presenting their opinions on shortlisted candidates during final deliberations.

Q6: Was respectful of the opinions of other delegates of the Nominating Committee.

Q7: I look forward to working with this NomCom delegate in another ICANN related committee or working group.