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The Survey & Results

- The results shown are from the 2021 Nominating Committee Peer Review.

- The survey was taken by the 2021 NomCom Delegates. Review of the Leadership Team is conducted through a separate process (see here for more details: https://www.icann.org/nomcom2021). The survey received 12 responses (out of a possible 17).

- There were seven (7) questions in the survey (below) regarding the Committee Delegate’s work and contribution to the 2021 NomCom. The questions are rated on a 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest) scale. The average rating for all delegates on all questions was 4.26.

Q1. NomCom delegate contributed effectively to preparatory work of the Committee, including in any Sub Committees and during teleconferences. [Avg. Score = 4.30]

Q2. NomCom delegate collaborated effectively and shared the workload during deep dive process. [Avg. Score = 4.20]

Q3. NomCom delegate was well prepared for and contributed positively to preliminary candidate review, including in discussions and deliberations during the virtual NomCom meetings. [Avg. Score = 4.30]

Q4. NomCom delegate appeared to act in the best interests of ICANN and to further NomCom's mission. [Avg. Score = 4.31]

Q5. NomCom delegate was engaged and effective in presenting their opinions on shortlisted candidates during final deliberations. [Avg. Score = 4.27]

Q6. NomCom delegate was respectful of the opinions of other delegates of the NomCom. [Avg. Score = 4.33]

Q7. NomCom delegates look forward to working with this NomCom delegate in another ICANN related committee or working group. [Avg. Score = 4.13]

- The survey also requested respondents to provide any additional feedback/comments on the committee delegates: Feedback Q1. Please list five words to describe [Committee Delegate]
2021 Nominating Committee Delegates

ASO | Pankaj Chaturvedi
ccNSO | Juhani Juselius
RSSAC (Non-Voting) | Amir Qayyum
SSAC (Non-Voting) | Robert Guerra
ALAC (AF) | Hadia Elminiawi
ALAC (AP) | Amrita Choudhury
ALAC (EU) | Sébastien Bachollet
ALAC (LAC) | Vanda Scartezini
ALAC (NA) | Glenn McKnight
GNSO (BCUC-Large) | Scott McCormick
GNSO (BCUC-Small) | Adetola Sogbesan
GNSO (IPC) | Michael Graham
GNSO (ISPCP) | Marie-Noémie Marques
GNSO (NCUC) | Wolfgang Kleinwächter
GNSO (RySG) | Paul Diaz
GNSO (RrSG) | Tobias Sattler
IAB (IETF) | Peter Koch
There were seven (7) questions in the survey regarding the Committee Delegate’s work and contribution to the 2021 NomCom. The questions are rated on a 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest) scale.

Q1: Contributed effectively to preparatory work of the Committee including Sub Teams and during teleconferences.

Q2: If you were on a Deep Dive team or Subcommittee with the NomCom delegate being evaluated, do you feel the delegate collaborated effectively and shared the workload?

Q3: During the virtual NomCom meetings, NomCom delegate was well prepared for and contributed positively to preliminary candidate review, including in discussions and deliberations.

Q4: Appeared to act in the best interests of ICANN and to further NomCom’s mission.

Q5: Was engaged and effective in presenting their opinions on shortlisted candidates during final deliberations.

Q6: Was respectful of the opinions of other delegates of the Nominating Committee.

Q7: I look forward to working with this NomCom delegate in another ICANN related committee or working group.