

1 David W. Kesselman (SBN 203838)
 2 *dkesselman@kbslaw.com*
 3 Amy T. Brantly (SBN 210893)
 4 *abrantly@kbslaw.com*
 5 KESSELMAN BRANTLY STOCKINGER LLP
 6 1230 Rosecrans Ave., Suite 690
 7 Manhattan Beach, CA 90266
 8 Telephone: (310) 307-4555
 9 Facsimile: (310) 307-4570
 10 *Attorneys for Defendant*
 11 **ZA Central Registry, NPC**

12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 13 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – WESTERN DIVISION**

14 DOTCONNECTAFRICA TRUST, a
 15 Mauritius Charitable Trust,
 16
 17 Plaintiff,
 18
 19 v.

20 INTERNET CORPORATION FOR
 21 ASSIGNED NAMES AND
 22 NUMBERS; a California corporation;
 23 ZA Central Registry, a South African
 24 non-profit company; DOES 1 through
 25 50, inclusive,
 26
 27 Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:16-cv-00862 RGK (JCx)

*Assigned for all purposes to the
 Honorable R. Gary Klausner*

**DECLARATION OF DAVID W.
 KESSELMAN IN SUPPORT OF
 ZACR’S MOTION TO
 RECONSIDER AND VACATE
 PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
 RULING**

[Notice of Motion and Motion to
 Reconsider and Vacate Preliminary
 Injunction Ruling; Memorandum of
 Points and Authorities; Declaration of
 Mokgabudi Lucky Masilela; and
 [Proposed] Order Filed Concurrently
 Herewith]

Date: June 6, 2016
 Time: 9:00 a.m.
 Location: Courtroom 850

1 I, David W. Kesselman, hereby declare:

2 1. I am an attorney duly admitted to practice law before this Court, I am
3 a partner in the law firm of Kesselman Brantly Stockinger LLP, and I am counsel
4 of record for defendant ZA Central Registry, NPC (“ZACR”). If called upon to
5 do so, I could and would testify competently to the information set forth herein.

6 2. Pursuant to Central District Local Rule 7-3, I held a meet and confer
7 with Ethan Brown and Sara Colon, counsel for plaintiff DOTCONNECTAFRICA
8 TRUST (“Plaintiff”), on April 29, 2016. Despite our good faith efforts the parties
9 were unable to agree on the issues raised by ZACR’s motion to reconsider and
10 vacate the preliminary injunction ruling.

11 3. On April 5, 2016, during my initial meet and confer with Sara Colon,
12 counsel for Plaintiff, to discuss the grounds for ZACR’s motion to dismiss, I noted
13 that ZACR intended to assert that the Court lacked personal jurisdiction over
14 ZACR pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(2). After this Court
15 issued its ruling on the preliminary injunction, I informed Plaintiff’s counsel that
16 ZACR would forego the jurisdictional challenge to address the issues raised in this
17 litigation.

18 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of
19 America that the foregoing is true and correct.

20 Executed this 6th day of May, 2016 at Manhattan Beach, California.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

/s/ David W. Kesselman
DAVID W. KESSELMAN