	Ethan J. Brown (SBN 218814)	
1	ethan@bnsklaw.com	
2	Sara C. Colón (SBN 281514) sara@bnsklaw.com	
3	BROWN NERI SMITH & KHAN LLP	
	11766 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1670	
4	Los Angeles, California 90025 Telephone: (310) 593-9890	
5	Facsimile: (310) 593-9890	
6		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff DOTCONNECTAFRICA TRUST	
8	SUPERIOR COURT THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9 10	COUNTY OF LOS AN	GELES – CENTRAL
11	DOTCONNECTAFRICA TRUST, a Mauritius Charitable Trust;	Case No. BC607494
12		Assigned for all purposes to the Honorable
13	Plaintiff,	Howard L. Halm
14	V.	EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS BY DOTCONNECTAFRICA TO
15	INTERNET CORPORATION FOR	DECLARATION II OF MOKGABUDI
16	ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS, a	LUCKY MASILELA IN SUPPORT OF
17	California corporation;	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
	Defendants.	
18		DATE: February 2, 2017
19		TIME: 8:29 a.m. DEPT: 53
20		DEI 1. 55
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS BY DOTCONNECTA LUCKY MASILELA IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF	

## Evidentiary Objections to Declaration II of Lucky Masilela

2	Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶	DCA Objection	Sustained	Overruled
	¶ <b>3:</b> ZACR was originally formed in 1988	1. Lacks foundation		
3	under the name UniForum S.A. The	(Evid. Code § 403)		
4	purpose of the company was to promote	2. Lacks personal		
•	open standards and systems in computer	knowledge (Evid.		
5	hardware and software. In 1995, the	Code §702)		
	company was assigned the administration	3. Speculative (Evid.		
6	rights for the South African domain name,	Code § 1200, et seq.)		
7	"co.za." Today ZACR has registered over	Conclusory (Evinger v.		
	1 million co.za domain name registrations	MacDougall (1938) 28		
8	– or about 95% of the total registrations for	Cal.App.2d 175.)		
9	".za." Due to its well-known reputation for	4. Irrelevant (Evid.		
9	independence and neutrality, as well as	Code § 350)		
0	technical competence and operational			
	excellence, ZACR is the single largest			
1	domain name registry on the African			
2	continent. ZACR has significant			
-	experience working with Internet			
3	Corporation For Assigned Names and			
4	Numbers ("ICANN") as a registry operator			
4	and is familiar with ICANN protocols.			
5	Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶	DCA Objection	Sustained	Overruled
6	<b>¶ 4:</b> As a registry operator, ZACR works	1. Lacks foundation		
[/	directly with registrars and is familiar with	$(\mathbf{E}_{-1}, 1, \mathbf{C}_{-1}, 1, 0, 1, 0)$		
_	uneculy with registrars and is familiar with	(Evid. Code § 403)		
7	ICANN's Registry and Registrar	(Evid. Code § 403) 2. Lacks personal		
	ICANN's Registry and Registrar accreditations. ICANN expressly permits	- /		
8	ICANN's Registry and Registrar accreditations. ICANN expressly permits the functions of the registry operator and	2. Lacks personal knowledge (Evid. Code §702)		
8	ICANN's Registry and Registrar accreditations. ICANN expressly permits the functions of the registry operator and the registrar to be handled by a single body	<ol> <li>Lacks personal knowledge (Evid. Code §702)</li> <li>Speculative (Evid.</li> </ol>		
8 9	ICANN's Registry and Registrar accreditations. ICANN expressly permits the functions of the registry operator and the registrar to be handled by a single body ("cross ownership" or "vertical	<ol> <li>Lacks personal knowledge (Evid. Code §702)</li> <li>Speculative (Evid. Code § 1200, et seq.)</li> </ol>		
3	ICANN's Registry and Registrar accreditations. ICANN expressly permits the functions of the registry operator and the registrar to be handled by a single body ("cross ownership" or "vertical integration"). ICANN approved vertical	<ol> <li>Lacks personal knowledge (Evid. Code §702)</li> <li>Speculative (Evid. Code § 1200, et seq.) Conclusory (<i>Evinger v</i>.</li> </ol>		
8 9 0	ICANN's Registry and Registrar accreditations. ICANN expressly permits the functions of the registry operator and the registrar to be handled by a single body ("cross ownership" or "vertical integration"). ICANN approved vertical integration in 2010, even before the new	<ol> <li>Lacks personal knowledge (Evid. Code §702)</li> <li>Speculative (Evid. Code § 1200, et seq.) Conclusory (<i>Evinger v.</i> <i>MacDougall</i> (1938) 28</li> </ol>		
8 9 0 1	ICANN's Registry and Registrar accreditations. ICANN expressly permits the functions of the registry operator and the registrar to be handled by a single body ("cross ownership" or "vertical integration"). ICANN approved vertical integration in 2010, even before the new gTLD process began. Vertical Integration	<ol> <li>Lacks personal knowledge (Evid. Code §702)</li> <li>Speculative (Evid. Code § 1200, et seq.) Conclusory (<i>Evinger v</i>.</li> </ol>		
8 9 0 1	ICANN's Registry and Registrar accreditations. ICANN expressly permits the functions of the registry operator and the registrar to be handled by a single body ("cross ownership" or "vertical integration"). ICANN approved vertical integration in 2010, even before the new gTLD process began. Vertical Integration is a practical way for registry operators to	<ol> <li>Lacks personal knowledge (Evid. Code §702)</li> <li>Speculative (Evid. Code § 1200, et seq.) Conclusory (<i>Evinger v.</i> <i>MacDougall</i> (1938) 28</li> </ol>		
8 9 0 1 2	ICANN's Registry and Registrar accreditations. ICANN expressly permits the functions of the registry operator and the registrar to be handled by a single body ("cross ownership" or "vertical integration"). ICANN approved vertical integration in 2010, even before the new gTLD process began. Vertical Integration is a practical way for registry operators to distribute or provide domain names to	<ol> <li>Lacks personal knowledge (Evid. Code §702)</li> <li>Speculative (Evid. Code § 1200, et seq.) Conclusory (<i>Evinger v.</i> <i>MacDougall</i> (1938) 28</li> </ol>		
8 9 0 1 2	ICANN's Registry and Registrar accreditations. ICANN expressly permits the functions of the registry operator and the registrar to be handled by a single body ("cross ownership" or "vertical integration"). ICANN approved vertical integration in 2010, even before the new gTLD process began. Vertical Integration is a practical way for registry operators to distribute or provide domain names to underserved markets or communities. In	<ol> <li>Lacks personal knowledge (Evid. Code §702)</li> <li>Speculative (Evid. Code § 1200, et seq.) Conclusory (<i>Evinger v.</i> <i>MacDougall</i> (1938) 28</li> </ol>		
8 9 0 1 2 3	ICANN's Registry and Registrar accreditations. ICANN expressly permits the functions of the registry operator and the registrar to be handled by a single body ("cross ownership" or "vertical integration"). ICANN approved vertical integration in 2010, even before the new gTLD process began. Vertical Integration is a practical way for registry operators to distribute or provide domain names to underserved markets or communities. In practice, this means that the registry	<ol> <li>Lacks personal knowledge (Evid. Code §702)</li> <li>Speculative (Evid. Code § 1200, et seq.) Conclusory (<i>Evinger v.</i> <i>MacDougall</i> (1938) 28</li> </ol>		
8 9 0 1 2 3 4	ICANN's Registry and Registrar accreditations. ICANN expressly permits the functions of the registry operator and the registrar to be handled by a single body ("cross ownership" or "vertical integration"). ICANN approved vertical integration in 2010, even before the new gTLD process began. Vertical Integration is a practical way for registry operators to distribute or provide domain names to underserved markets or communities. In practice, this means that the registry operator can utilize its own vertical	<ol> <li>Lacks personal knowledge (Evid. Code §702)</li> <li>Speculative (Evid. Code § 1200, et seq.) Conclusory (<i>Evinger v.</i> <i>MacDougall</i> (1938) 28</li> </ol>		
8 9 0 1 2 3 4	ICANN's Registry and Registrar accreditations. ICANN expressly permits the functions of the registry operator and the registrar to be handled by a single body ("cross ownership" or "vertical integration"). ICANN approved vertical integration in 2010, even before the new gTLD process began. Vertical Integration is a practical way for registry operators to distribute or provide domain names to underserved markets or communities. In practice, this means that the registry operator can utilize its own vertical registrar channel to reach end user markets	<ol> <li>Lacks personal knowledge (Evid. Code §702)</li> <li>Speculative (Evid. Code § 1200, et seq.) Conclusory (<i>Evinger v.</i> <i>MacDougall</i> (1938) 28</li> </ol>		
8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	ICANN's Registry and Registrar accreditations. ICANN expressly permits the functions of the registry operator and the registrar to be handled by a single body ("cross ownership" or "vertical integration"). ICANN approved vertical integration in 2010, even before the new gTLD process began. Vertical Integration is a practical way for registry operators to distribute or provide domain names to underserved markets or communities. In practice, this means that the registry operator can utilize its own vertical registrar channel to reach end user markets not adequately serviced by the traditional	<ol> <li>Lacks personal knowledge (Evid. Code §702)</li> <li>Speculative (Evid. Code § 1200, et seq.) Conclusory (<i>Evinger v.</i> <i>MacDougall</i> (1938) 28</li> </ol>		
8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	ICANN's Registry and Registrar accreditations. ICANN expressly permits the functions of the registry operator and the registrar to be handled by a single body ("cross ownership" or "vertical integration"). ICANN approved vertical integration in 2010, even before the new gTLD process began. Vertical Integration is a practical way for registry operators to distribute or provide domain names to underserved markets or communities. In practice, this means that the registry operator can utilize its own vertical registrar channel to reach end user markets not adequately serviced by the traditional registrar channel. In the case of .Africa,	<ol> <li>Lacks personal knowledge (Evid. Code §702)</li> <li>Speculative (Evid. Code § 1200, et seq.) Conclusory (<i>Evinger v.</i> <i>MacDougall</i> (1938) 28</li> </ol>		
8 9 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 6	ICANN's Registry and Registrar accreditations. ICANN expressly permits the functions of the registry operator and the registrar to be handled by a single body ("cross ownership" or "vertical integration"). ICANN approved vertical integration in 2010, even before the new gTLD process began. Vertical Integration is a practical way for registry operators to distribute or provide domain names to underserved markets or communities. In practice, this means that the registry operator can utilize its own vertical registrar channel to reach end user markets not adequately serviced by the traditional registrar channel. In the case of .Africa, ZACR plans to implement a proxy service	<ol> <li>Lacks personal knowledge (Evid. Code §702)</li> <li>Speculative (Evid. Code § 1200, et seq.) Conclusory (<i>Evinger v.</i> <i>MacDougall</i> (1938) 28</li> </ol>		
8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ICANN's Registry and Registrar accreditations. ICANN expressly permits the functions of the registry operator and the registrar to be handled by a single body ("cross ownership" or "vertical integration"). ICANN approved vertical integration in 2010, even before the new gTLD process began. Vertical Integration is a practical way for registry operators to distribute or provide domain names to underserved markets or communities. In practice, this means that the registry operator can utilize its own vertical registrar channel to reach end user markets not adequately serviced by the traditional registrar channel. In the case of .Africa, ZACR plans to implement a proxy service which will allow it to establish a more	<ol> <li>Lacks personal knowledge (Evid. Code §702)</li> <li>Speculative (Evid. Code § 1200, et seq.) Conclusory (<i>Evinger v.</i> <i>MacDougall</i> (1938) 28</li> </ol>		
7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ICANN's Registry and Registrar accreditations. ICANN expressly permits the functions of the registry operator and the registrar to be handled by a single body ("cross ownership" or "vertical integration"). ICANN approved vertical integration in 2010, even before the new gTLD process began. Vertical Integration is a practical way for registry operators to distribute or provide domain names to underserved markets or communities. In practice, this means that the registry operator can utilize its own vertical registrar channel to reach end user markets not adequately serviced by the traditional registrar channel. In the case of .Africa, ZACR plans to implement a proxy service which will allow it to establish a more competitive, and widely distributed,	<ol> <li>Lacks personal knowledge (Evid. Code §702)</li> <li>Speculative (Evid. Code § 1200, et seq.) Conclusory (<i>Evinger v.</i> <i>MacDougall</i> (1938) 28</li> </ol>		
8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ICANN's Registry and Registrar accreditations. ICANN expressly permits the functions of the registry operator and the registrar to be handled by a single body ("cross ownership" or "vertical integration"). ICANN approved vertical integration in 2010, even before the new gTLD process began. Vertical Integration is a practical way for registry operators to distribute or provide domain names to underserved markets or communities. In practice, this means that the registry operator can utilize its own vertical registrar channel to reach end user markets not adequately serviced by the traditional registrar channel. In the case of .Africa, ZACR plans to implement a proxy service which will allow it to establish a more	<ol> <li>Lacks personal knowledge (Evid. Code §702)</li> <li>Speculative (Evid. Code § 1200, et seq.) Conclusory (<i>Evinger v.</i> <i>MacDougall</i> (1938) 28</li> </ol>		

	obligations. This has become a common practice amongst the leading registry			
	providers worldwide. Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶	DCA Objection	Sustained	Overruled
	¶ 5: A Registry Operator is contractually	1. The Registry	Sustanticu	Overruieu
	compelled by the ICANN Registry	Agreement is the best		
	Agreement to deal with all Registrars in a	evidence of the		
	fair and equitable manner. ZACR,	document. (Evid. Code		
	therefore, cannot provide preferential	§ 1520)		
	treatment or access to its own vertical	2. Lacks foundation		
	registrar. ICANN has compliance	(Evid. Code § 403)		
	mechanisms in place to monitor vertical	3. Lacks personal		
	integration. Pricing and treatment of	knowledge (Evid.		
	registrars is also regulated by clauses 2.9	Code §702)		
	and 2.10 of the ICANN Registry	4. Speculative (Evid.		
	Agreement.	Code § 1200, et seq.)		
		5. Conclusory		
		(Evinger v.		
		<i>MacDougall</i> (1938) 28		
		Cal.App.2d 175.)		
ŀ	Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶	DCA Objection	Sustained	Overruled
	¶ 6: Through my experience as CEO of a	1. Lacks foundation	Sustance	Overrule
	registry operator, I know that the "sunrise"	(Evid. Code § 403)		
	process is not intended to auction	2. Lacks personal		
	"premium" names to the highest bidder,	knowledge (Evid.		
	but is rather a compulsory rights protection	Code §702)		
	mechanism prescribed by ICANN to assist	3. Speculative (Evid.		
	trademark owners in obtaining their	Code § 1200, et seq.)		
	corresponding domain names. It involves a			
	third party (the Trademark Clearinghouse)			
	who pre-validates trademark rights so these			
11	applicants can be given preference during			
	the initial stages of the domain name			
	the initial stages of the domain name			
	launch.	DCA Objection	Suctained	Overnled
	launch. Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶	<b>DCA Objection</b>	Sustained	Overruled
	launch.         Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶         ¶ 7: Contrary to DCA's baseless	<b>DCA Objection</b> 1. Misstates the record. Ms. Bekele's	Sustained	Overruled
	launch.         Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶         ¶ 7: Contrary to DCA's baseless         insinuation, no ZACR employee or officer	1. Misstates the record.	Sustained	Overruled
	launch.         Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶         ¶ 7: Contrary to DCA's baseless	1. Misstates the record. Ms. Bekele's	Sustained	Overruled
	launch. Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶ ¶ 7: Contrary to DCA's baseless insinuation, no ZACR employee or officer was involved with the AUC committee that	1. Misstates the record. Ms. Bekele's declaration states that	Sustained	Overruled
	launch. Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶ ¶ 7: Contrary to DCA's baseless insinuation, no ZACR employee or officer was involved with the AUC committee that selected ZACR as the successful applicant	1. Misstates the record. Ms. Bekele's declaration states that "The members of the	Sustained	Overruled
	launch. Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶ ¶ 7: Contrary to DCA's baseless insinuation, no ZACR employee or officer was involved with the AUC committee that selected ZACR as the successful applicant in the AUC's Request for Proposal	1. Misstates the record. Ms. Bekele's declaration states that "The members of the AUC committee	Sustained	Overruled
	launch. Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶ ¶ 7: Contrary to DCA's baseless insinuation, no ZACR employee or officer was involved with the AUC committee that selected ZACR as the successful applicant in the AUC's Request for Proposal	1. Misstates the record. Ms. Bekele's declaration states that "The members of the AUC committee formed to choose who to endorse for the .Africa gTLD were	Sustained	Overruled
	launch. Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶ ¶ 7: Contrary to DCA's baseless insinuation, no ZACR employee or officer was involved with the AUC committee that selected ZACR as the successful applicant in the AUC's Request for Proposal	1. Misstates the record. Ms. Bekele's declaration states that "The members of the AUC committee formed to choose who to endorse for the .Africa gTLD were individuals who were	Sustained	Overruled
	launch. Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶ ¶ 7: Contrary to DCA's baseless insinuation, no ZACR employee or officer was involved with the AUC committee that selected ZACR as the successful applicant in the AUC's Request for Proposal	1. Misstates the record. Ms. Bekele's declaration states that "The members of the AUC committee formed to choose who to endorse for the .Africa gTLD were individuals who were also members of other	Sustained	Overruled
	launch. Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶ ¶ 7: Contrary to DCA's baseless insinuation, no ZACR employee or officer was involved with the AUC committee that selected ZACR as the successful applicant in the AUC's Request for Proposal	1. Misstates the record. Ms. Bekele's declaration states that "The members of the AUC committee formed to choose who to endorse for the .Africa gTLD were individuals who were	Sustained	Overruled

1 2		January 3, 2017 Bekele Declaration ¶21.			
	Lucky Masilela Declaration ¶	DCA Objection	Sustained	Overruled	
3	<b>¶ 8:</b> As part of the AUC's open RFP	1. The Request for			
4	process, the AUC required all applicants for AUC endorsement of .Africa to agree	Proposals by the African Union			
5	that the AUC would be a partner in the	Commission for the			
5	.Africa process. A true and correct copy of	Operation of Dot			
	the Request for Proposals by the African	Africa, dated			
	Union Commission for the Operation of Dot Africa, dated November 2011, is	November 2011 is the best evidence of that			
	attached hereto as <b>Exhibit A</b> .	document. (Evid.			
,		Code § 1520).			
	Dated: January 26, 2017 BROWN NERI SMITH & KHAN LLP				
		By: Aan le	l-		
		By. Sara C. Col	lón		
		Attorneys for Plain	ntiff		
		DOTCONNECTA		JST	
)					
)					
,					
	EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS BY DOTCONNE				