CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
County Of Los Angulus
County Of Los Angulus 1 Jeffrey A. LeVee (State Bar No. 125863) Erin L. Burke (State Bar No. 186660) 2 Rachel T. Gezerseh (State Bar No. 251299) DEC 2 1 2016 Amanda Pushinsky (State Bar No. 267950) 3 JONES DAY 555 South Flower Street Sherri R. Carrer, Executive Officer/Clark By: Cristina Grijalva, Deputy 4 Fiftieth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071.2300 5 Telephone: +1.213.489.3939 Facsimile: +1.213.243.2539 6 Attorneys for Defendant 7 INTERNET CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT 11 12 DOTCONNECTAFRICA TRUST, **CASE NO. BC607494** 13 Assigned for all purposes to Plaintiff. Hon. Howard L. Halm 14 v. **ICANN'S RESPONSES TO DCA'S** 15 INTERNET CORPORATION FOR **EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO** THE DECLARATION OF K. ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS, et 16 **ESPINOLA** 17 Defendant. 18 DATE: December 22, 2016 TIME: 8:30 a.m. 19 DEPT: 53 20 RESERVATION ID: 1611115174199 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 NAI-1502335565v1

ICANN'S RESPONSES TO DCA'S OBJECTIONS TO THE DECLARATION OF K. ESPINOLA

Defendant Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers ("ICANN") hereby responds to Plaintiff DotConnectAfrica Trust's ("DCA") evidentiary objections to the Declaration of Kevin Espinola ("Espinola Decl."), filed in support of ICANN's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction.

Espinola Declaration :	DCA Objection	Response	Court's	
¶2: ICANN and its community	1. Lacks Foundation	Foundation/Personal	Ruling	
developed the New gTLD	(Evid. Code § 403)	Knowledge. Mr.		
Applicant Guidebook	2. Lacks Personal	Espinola testified that		
("Guidebook") as part of a	Knowledge (Evid.	he has served as		
years-long, bottom-up	Code § 702)	outside counsel for		
multistakeholder process during	3. Best Evidence	ICANN and that in that		
which numerous versions were	Rule	position he assisted in		
published by ICANN for public	(Evid. Code § 1520)	the development of		
comment and revised, in part		ICANN's New gTLD		
based on comments received. In		Program. (Espinola		
total, six versions of the		Decl. ¶ 1.) As such, he		
Guidebook were published for		has personal		
public comment.		knowledge regarding		
		the development of the New gTLD Applicant		
		Guidebook.		
		Guidebook.		
į		Best Evidence: Mr.		
		Espinola's testimony is		
		not offered to prove the		
		content of a writing,		
		but rather as evidence		
		regarding how the		
		Guidebook was		
		developed over time.		
		Indeed, this portion of		
		Mr. Espinola's		
		testimony does not		
		even reference the		
		contents of a document.		
REspirate Declaration of the second	DCA Objection lass	Responsed 🗐 👵 🙃	Court's T	
			Roling: 200	
¶3: In the April 15, 2011	1. Lacks Foundation	Foundation/Personal		
version of the Guidebook	(Evid. Code § 403)	Knowledge. Mr.		
("April 2011 Guidebook"),	2. Lacks Personal	Espinola testified that	ļ	
language was added to Section	Knowledge (Evid.	he has served as		
6 of Module 6 of the Guidebook	Code § 702)	outside counsel for		
NAI-1502335565v1 2				
ICANN'S RESPONSES TO DCA'S OBJECTIONS TO THE DECLARATION OF K. ESPINOLA				

1	("Covenant Not to Sue")	3. Improper Opinion	ICANN and that in that	
2	making explicit that: "[an]	Testimony (Evid.	position he assisted in	
	applicant may utilize any	Code §§800-803)	the development of	
3	accountability mechanism set forth in ICANN's Bylaws for	4. Best Evidence Rule	ICANN's New gTLD	
4	[the] purposes of challenging	(Evid. Code § 1520)	Program. (Espinola Decl. ¶ 1.) As such, he	
7	any final decision made by	(Evid. Code § 1520)	has personal	
5	ICANN with respect to the		knowledge regarding	
6	application." Attached hereto as		the development of the	
	Exhibit K is a true and correct		New gTLD Applicant	
7	copy of Module 6 of the April 2011 version of the Guidebook,		Guidebook, including	
8	which was published with a		language added to Module 6 in 2011 and	
	redline, showing changes made		when information was	
9	from the prior version of the		made available to the	
10	Guidebook.		public for comment	
11			Improper Lay Opinion:	
			This testimony is not	
12			opinion. However,	
13			even if it could be	
			construed as such, Mr. Espinola is qualified to	
14			testify, based on his	
15			knowledge and	
			experience	
16			demonstrated by his	
17			testimony in ¶ 1, as to the language added to	
4.0			Module 6 of the	
18			Guidebook in 2011.	
19		,	Best Evidence: Mr.	ĺ
20			Espinola's testimony is	
21			not offered to prove the	
00			content of a writing, but rather as evidence	
22			regarding the	
23			development of the	
			Guidebook, and the	
24			information made	
25			available to the public for comment. A true	
26			and correct copy of the	
		i	referenced document is	
27			attached as Exhibit K	
28			to Mr. Espinola's declaration.	
	NAI-1502335565v1	2	acciaiantili,	
	13731-130233330371	3		•

ICANN'S RESPONSES TO DCA'S OBJECTIONS TO THE DECLARATION OF K. ESPINOLA

1 2	Espinola Declaration	DCA Objection	Response:	Court's
	¶4: As ICANN has stated	1. Lacks Foundation	Foundation/Personal	
3	publicly, ICANN is a not-for-	(Evid. Code § 403)	Knowledge. Mr.	
4	profit public benefit corporation	2. Lacks Personal	Espinola testified that	
_	and anticipated that, absent a broad waiver and limitation of	Knowledge (Evid. Code § 702)	he has served as outside counsel for	
5	liability in the Guidebook's	3. Speculation (Evid.)	ICANN and that in that	
6	terms and conditions, the over	Code § 702)	position he assisted in	
7	1,900 applicants could initiate	4. Hearsay (Evid.	the development of	
	frivolous and costly legal actions in an attempt to	Code § 1200, et seq.)	ICANN's New gTLD Program. (Espinola	
8	challenge legitimate ICANN	5. Improper Opinion	Decl. ¶ 1.) As such, he	
9	decisions, which would imperil	Testimony (Evid.	has personal	
	the successful implementation	Code §702)	knowledge regarding	
10	of the New gTLD Program.		the development of the	
11	Accordingly, ICANN carefully considered how to protect the		New gTLD Applicant Guidebook, and the	
	New gTLD Program from such		considerations behind	
12	challenges, and the Covenant		including the Covenant	
13	Not to Sue in the Guidebook		Not To Sue in Module	
14	was deemed appropriate in light of these considerations.	,	6 of the Guidebook.	
	of these considerations.		Speculation/Improper	
15			Lay Opinion: This	
16			testimony is not	
			speculative, nor is it opinion. Mr. Espinola	
17			is qualified to testify,	
18		·	based on his knowledge	
19			and experience	
19			demonstrated at ¶ 1, regarding the	
20			development of the	
21			New gTLD Applicant	
			Guidebook, and the	
22			considerations behind including the Covenant	
23			Not To Sue in Module	
24			6 of the Guidebook.	
			TY DOA learnet	
25			Hearsay: DCA does not identify which portion	
26			of Mr. Espinola's	
27			testimony it considers	
27			hearsay; however, as	
28			described above, Mr.	
	NAT 1500005555 1	4		

NAI-1502335565v1

1		Espinola's testimony is
2		based on his personal knowledge.
3		kilowicuge.
4	Details December 21, 2016	James Day
5	Dated: December 21, 2016	Jones Day
6		0 10
7		By: Erin L. Burke
8		Attorneys for Defendant
9		Attorneys for Defendant INTERNET CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		·
27		
28		
:	NAI-1502335565v1	5

ICANN'S RESPONSES TO DCA'S OBJECTIONS TO THE DECLARATION OF K. ESPINOLA

Proof of Service