
10/31/2019 Flights




21h 20m


1 stop

9:00 AM - 4:20 PM⁺¹


\$1,118

10/31/2019 Flights






11/5/2019 Flights




145 longer or more expensive flights


11/5/2019 Flights



Displayed currencies may differ from the currencies used to purchase flights. Learn more.



11/5/2019 Flights



1h 30m BOS


LAX-DCA

JetBlue


round trip

Sign in






11/5/2019 Flights



Displayed currencies may differ from the currencies used to purchase flights. Learn more.



From:

Sara Colón

Sent:

Friday, May 6, 2016 8:09 PM

To:

Charlotte S Wasserstein

Cc:

Jeffrey LeVee; Rachel Gezerseh; Ethan Brown

Subject:

Re: DCA v. ICANN, notice of deposition

Counsel,

We had our 26(f) initial conference on April 21, 2016 and therefore our 30(b)(6) notice date is proper. However, if none of your designees are available on this date let us know and we will work with you to schedule another date.

I am available to meet and confer in person regarding the 30(b)(6) notice and your possible motion for a protective order on Wednesday May 18, 2016 at any time between 11 a.m. and 3 p.m., Thursday May 19, 2016 at any time between 11 a.m. and 3 p.m., or Friday May 20, 2016 at 2 p.m.

We consent to electronic service, as long as all of the following people are served: ethan@bnsklaw.com; sara@bnsklaw.com; kete@bnsklaw.com.

Best, Sara

From: Charlotte S Wasserstein <cswasserstein@jonesday.com>

Sent: Friday, May 6, 2016 11:53 AM

To: Ethan Brown; Sara Colón **Cc:** Jeffrey LeVee; Rachel Gezerseh

Subject: DCA v. ICANN, notice of deposition

Counsel:

Enclosed please find ICANN's objections and responses to the notice of 30(b)(6) deposition you recently served upon ICANN, identifying 48 topics for examination. We have also sent you a hard copy by mail.

As an initial matter, the noticed deposition date is June 3, 2016, which falls before the parties' June 6, 2016 Rule 26(f) conference, in contravention of the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(a)(2)(A)(iii); Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(d)(1). Once we have met and conferred regarding the topics of examination, we can arrange a mutually acceptable date after the Rule 26(f) conference for the deposition to take place.

As set forth in more detail in ICANN's objections, a number of the topics are significantly overbroad, or seek privileged or irrelevant information. Moreover, the breadth of topics this deposition notice seeks to cover would render the deposition unfeasible in light of the seven hour limit, especially given that ICANN would need to designate a substantial number of witnesses to cover all of the topics currently requested.

We would like to set up a time at your earliest convenience to meet and confer (in person, per C.D. Cal. L. R. 37-1) regarding these issues. In the event that we do not agree on a narrowed set of deposition topics during the meet and confer session, we intend to file an ex parte application for a protective order with the Court. However, we would like to avoid that motion practice and instead reach a compromise solution. Please advise as to your availability next week for

an in person meeting, perhaps by suggesting two or three times that would work for you.

Separately, moving forward, we would like to reach an agreement that email service is to effectuate proper service for all documents in this matter. Please let me know whether you agree to accept email service.

Best,

Charlotte Wasserstein Associate

JONES DAY® - One Firm Worldwide^{s™}

555 S. Flower Street Fiftieth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-2542 Office +1.213.243.2489

========

This e-mail (including any attachments) may contain information that is private, confidential, or protected by attorney-client or other privilege. If you received this e-mail in error, please delete it from your system without copying it and notify sender by reply e-mail, so that our records can be corrected.

========

From:

Sara Colón

Sent:

Thursday, December 8, 2016 1:48 PM

To:

Rachel Gezerseh

Cc:

Jeffrey LeVee; cswasserstein@jonesday.com; apushinsky@jonesday.com; Ethan Brown; Kete Barnes

Subject:

RE: DCA v. ICANN - Electronic Service

Confirmed.

From: Rachel Gezerseh [mailto:rgezerseh@jonesday.com]

Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 10:46 AM

To: Sara Colón <sara@bnsklaw.com>

Cc: Jeffrey LeVee <jlevee@JonesDay.com>; cswasserstein@jonesday.com; apushinsky@jonesday.com; Ethan Brown

<ethan@bnsklaw.com>; Kete Barnes <kete@bnsklaw.com>

Subject: Re: DCA v. ICANN - Electronic Service

Sara,

Can you please confirm that our electronic service agreement remains intact? Our plan for the filing tomorrow is to serve you by email and then a hard copy by FedEx, in lieu of personal service. Please let us know. Thank you!

Rachel Tessa Gezerseh (bio)
JONES DAY® - One Firm Worldwide™

555 South Flower Street Fiftieth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 Office +1.213.243.2226 Facsimile +1.213.243.2539

From: Kete Barnes < kete@bnsklaw.com >

To: Rachel Gezerseh rgezerseh@jonesday.com

Cc: Jeffrey LeVee < jlevee@JonesDay.com >, "cswasserstein@jonesday.com" < cswasserstein@jonesday.com >, "apushinsky@jonesday.com"

">, Sara Colón ">, Ethan Brown ">, Ethan Brown <a href="mailto

Date: 11/08/2016 12:59 PM

Subject: DCA v. ICANN - Notice of Appearance and CMC

Rachel,

Attached is DCA's notice of appearance and CMC. The notice is being filed today and a courtesy copy will be delivered to Department 72. I assume that our electronic service agreement remains intact, please let me know if otherwise.

Sincerely,

Kete Barnes

Kete Barnes – *Associate* Direct: (310) 905-3495

BROWN NERI SMITH & KHAN LLP

11766 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1670, Los Angeles, CA 90025



This email may contain material that is confidential, privileged and/or attorney work product for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

[attachment "2016108 - DCA Notice of Appearance & CMC.pdf" deleted by Rachel Gezerseh/JonesDay]

=======

This e-mail (including any attachments) may contain information that is private, confidential, or protected by attorney-client or other privilege. If you received this e-mail in error, please delete it from your system without copying it and notify sender by reply e-mail, so that our records can be corrected.

========

From:

David Kesselman < dkesselman@kbslaw.com>

Sent:

Thursday, December 8, 2016 3:57 PM

To:

Kete Barnes

Cc: Subject: Amy Brantly; Kara McDonald; Sara Colón; Rachel Gezerseh RE: DCA v. ICANN, et al. - Electronic Service Agreement

Kete,

Yes, electronic service works for us. We'll plan to serve our papers tomorrow electronically. Thanks.

Regards,

David

David W. Kesselman, Esq.

Kesselman Brantly Stockinger LLP

1230 Rosecrans Ave, Suite 690

Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

(310) 307-4556

(310) 307-4570 fax

dkesselman@kbslaw.com

www.kbslaw.com

From: Kete Barnes [mailto:kete@bnsklaw.com] Sent: Thursday, December 08, 2016 12:54 PM

To: David Kesselman

Cc: Amy Brantly; Kara McDonald; Sara Colón; Rachel Gezerseh **Subject:** DCA v. ICANN, et al. - Electronic Service Agreement

Dave,

We have an electronic service agreement with ICANN's attorneys but I could not remember if your office was also part of it. Are you willing to accept electronic service or would you rather have documents served by normal methods? Please let me know when you get a chance.

Sincerely, Kete Barnes

Kete Barnes – Associate Direct: (310) 905-3495

BROWN NERI SMITH & KHAN LLP

11766 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1670, Los Angeles, CA 90025



This email may contain material that is confidential, privileged and/or attorney work product for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.