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The Basic Bargain

ICANN =
Internationalization of Policy Functions for DNS and IP Addressing systems + 
Private Sector (Non-governmental) Management
What does ICANN do?

Coordinates policies relating to the unique assignment of:

- Internet domain names
- Numerical IP Address
- Protocol Port and Parameter Numbers

Coordinates the DNS Root Server System
- through Root Server System Advisory Committee
Status Quo Ante

Most Internet DNS and IP Address coordination functions performed by, or on behalf of, the US government

- Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA)
  - Information Sciences Institute (ISI) of University of Southern California
  - Stanford Research Institute (SRI)
- National Science Foundation (NSF)
  - IBM, MCI, and Merit
  - AT&T, General Atomics, Network Solutions, Inc.
- National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)
- US Department of Energy
IANA
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Need for Change

- Globalization of Internet
- Commercialization of Internet
- Need for accountability
- Need for more formalized management structure
- Dissatisfaction with lack of competition
- Trademark/domain name conflicts
White Paper: new policy/management structure must promote 4 goals:

- Stability
- Competition
- Private, bottom-up coordination
- Representation
White Paper Implementation

- Internet community to form non-profit corporation meeting White Paper’s 4 criteria
- US Government (through Commerce Department) to transition centralized coordination functions
- Amendment of Network Solutions agreement to require competitive registrars in gTLD registries
- WIPO to recommend solutions for trademark/domain-name dilemma
“...[O]verall policy guidance and control of the TLDs and the Internet root server system should be vested in a single organization that is representative of Internet users around the globe.”

[63 Fed. Reg. 31741, 31749 (June 10, 1998)]
Status of Transition from USG

- 25 November, 1998 - ICANN recognized in MoU
- June, 1999 - Cooperative agreement among ICANN, US Government, root server operators
- 10 November, 1999
  - ICANN and Network Solutions sign gTLD registry and registrar agreements
  - DoC transfers root authority over gTLDs to ICANN
- 9 February, 2000
  - Contract with US Government to complete transfer of IANA functions
- 1 March, 2000
  - Agreement with IETF to continue IANA protocol numbering function
Remaining Transition Items

• Year 2000:
  – ccTLD registry agreements
  – IP Address registry agreements
  – Root server operator agreements

• September 30, 2000 - Target date for ICANN to settle all registry/registrar/root server relationships
Structure of ICANN
ICANN Staff

Current Staff:

- President and CEO (Mike Roberts)
- Vice President/General Counsel (Louis Touton)
- CFO/Policy Director (Andrew McLaughlin)
- IANA staff (2.5 full-time)
- Membership Project Manager (Jody Baram)
Geographic and Cultural Diversity

- Geographically diverse Board of Directors
  - Directors elected by Supporting Organizations
    - 4-Europe
    - 3-North America
    - 1-Latin America
    - 1-Asia/Pacific
  - At Large Directors - current
    - 4-North America
    - 3 Europe
    - 2-Asia Pacific
  - At Large Directors - future
    - 1 from each of 5 regions + 4 (to be determined)
Geographic and Cultural Diversity

- Geographically diverse Supporting Organization councils
- Geographically diverse ICANN meetings
  - Singapore
  - Berlin
  - Santiago
  - Los Angeles
  - Cairo
  - Yokohama (July 14-16, 2000)
- Future efforts: Multiple languages; staff diversity
Results of Cairo Meetings

- New top-level domain registries
  - Board set schedule in order to take action in July (Yokohama meeting)

- ccTLD delegation and administration policies
  - Board directed staff to work with ccTLDs to complete contracts

- At Large Membership & elections
  - 5 Directors to be elected by direct vote of the At Large members before November

- ICANN Budget for 2000-2001

- Independent Review Policy
Does ICANN regulate/govern?

• No: ICANN coordinates.
• But: technical coordination tasks sometimes require touching non-technical policy areas:
  – Data privacy protection
  – Intellectual property/trademark law
  – Competition law
Lessons from the Experiment?

• Private-sector self-regulation is possible
• Global consensus is difficult to define; even harder to achieve
  – Consensus can be achieved in the technical community from which ICANN was created, because you can test options and measure results
  – Consensus on policy questions is elusive, because you can’t rely on objective data to choose between values
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