Procedural Order No. 7
of April 29, 2022

issued by the Independent Review Panel composed of

Hon. William J. Cahill (Ret.)
JAMS
2 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1500
San Francisco, California 94111

Mr. Piotr Nowaczyk, Esq
Presiding Panelist
ul. Z. Słomińskiego 19, lok. 113
00-195 Warsaw
Poland

Hon. A. Howard Matz (Ret.)
BIRD, MARELLA, BOXER, WOLPERT, NESSIM,
DROOKS, LINCENBERG & RHOW, P.C.
1875 Century Park East, 23rd Floor
Los Angeles, California 90067-2561

in the matter before the International Centre for Dispute Resolution
(Case No. 01-19-0004-0808)

Mr. Tom Simotas
Manager at the International Centre for Dispute Resolution

Ms. Amelia Krajewska
Administrative Secretary to the Independent Review Panel

between

1. Fegistry, LLC
2. Radix Domain Solutions Pte. Ltd.
3. Domain Venture Partners PCC Limited

herein referred to as the Claimant
represented by

Maria Richmond, Esq.
Mike Rodenbaugh Esq.
548 Market Street
Box 55819
San Francisco, CA 94104

herein referred to as the Respondent
represented by

Jeffrey LeVee, Esq.
Kelly Ozurovich, Esq.
Amy Stathos, Esq.

Jones Day
555 South Flower Str., 50th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071

Amy Stathos, Esq.
ICANN
12025 Waterfront Drive, Suite 300
Los Angeles, CA 90094-2536
(1) By this Procedural Order No 7 the Independent Review Panel ("IRP") confirms that the conference call ("CC") between the IRP and the Parties was held on April 28, 2022, at 8 AM PST/PDT. The CC concerned the discovery disputes that remain unresolved as of the day of the CC. In particular, the reasons for refusing to produce documents in response to the requests for document production, as well the parties’ proposals to resolve those issues were discussed.

(2) As a result of the CC, the IRP imposes on the Parties the following obligations regarding the document production:

a) not later than on May 5, 2022 the Respondent is to propose in writing to the Claimant precise narrowing of each of the Claimant’s 12 requests for document production which the Respondent is willing to produce, if the Claimant further accepts it;

b) not later than on May 12, 2022 the Claimant is to serve on the Respondent the written response to the proposal formulated by the Respondent in accordance with point (2)(a) above, as well its declaration as to any documents that the Claimant is willing to produce in response to the Respondent’s requests;

c) not later than on May 17, 2022 the Parties are to meet and confer and discus the state of the document production after the exchange referred to in points (2)(a) and (b) above;

d) not later than May 19, 2022 the Parties are to file the join status report ("JSR") including potential outstanding differences between Parties on the document production. The JSR shall be not longer than 10 pages and shall be sent to Tom Simotas who will further circulate the JSR to the IRP;

(3) Moreover, as a result of the ICC, the IRP orders that:

a) during the same period as outlined in the point (2) above, that is no later than on May 19, 2022, the parties engage in discussions with respect to the Respondent’s contemplated motion to dismiss the Claimant’s claims that the Respondent believes are time barred based on the statute of limitations ("Respondent’s Motion").

The bases for the Respondent’s Motion shall be placed in writing and presented to the Claimant for its consideration and response before it is filed to this IRP. After this
exchange, the Parties will meet and confer as to whether the Parties can work out the understanding that can preclude the Respondent’s Motion at this time without prejudice to the Respondent’s right to subsequently file this motion.

In the JSR referred to in point (2)(d) above the Parties shall inform the Parties on the exchanges made between them with respect to the Respondent’s Motion;

b) the Respondent prepares the protective order draft and serve it on the Claimant.

(4) The next conference call between the IRP and the Parties will be held on May 23, 2022, at 8 AM PST/PDT.

Done in Warsaw, Poland

Respectfully yours

Piotr Nowaczyk
Presiding Panelist