RESP. EX. 25
I have just heard from Peter Young who wants to continue with his reconsideration. I tried to make it clear, without prejudging what the result would be, that this may be better withdrawn, but he wants to continue. I think he will revert to me if the reconsideration is rejected.

Regards

Sent from my iPad

----- Message from Chris LaHatte <chris.lahatte@icann.org> on Wed, 7 May 2014 15:46:54 -0700 -----

I had a useful discussion with Peter Young this morning, explaining that his reconsideration would need to be withdrawn if he was to progress any complaint to me. He will report to his board and get back to me, I think fairly quickly. I will let you know as soon as I hear from him.

Regards

Chris LaHatte

Ombudsman

Blog  https://omblog.icann.org/

Webpage http://www.icann.org/en/help/ombudsman

Confidentiality
All matters brought before the Ombudsman shall be treated as confidential. The Ombudsman shall also take all reasonable steps necessary to preserve the privacy of, and to avoid harm to, those parties not involved in the complaint being investigated by the Ombudsman. The Ombudsman shall only make inquiries about, or advise staff or Board members of the existence and identity of, a complainant in order to further the resolution of the complaint. The Ombudsman shall take all
reasonable steps necessary to ensure that if staff and Board members are made aware of the existence and identity of a complainant, they agree to maintain the confidential nature of such information, except as necessary to further the resolution of a complaint.
Hi Liz,

I did not take any steps at all after the draft report, and have not been asked to do so by any party. So I closed the file. After the NGPC rejected their complaint I think they decided not to continue with me, but I just never heard again. When I realised they had sought IRP that explained the lack of contact I think, as they had decided to review this differently. Does that help?

Regards

Chris LaHatte
Ombudsman

Confidentiality
All matters brought before the Ombudsman shall be treated as confidential. The Ombudsman shall also take all reasonable steps necessary to preserve the privacy of, and to avoid harm to, those parties not involved in the complaint being investigated by the Ombudsman. The Ombudsman shall only make inquiries about, or advise staff or Board members of the existence and identity of, a complainant in order to further the resolution of the complaint. The Ombudsman shall take all reasonable steps necessary to ensure that if staff and Board members are made aware of the existence and identity of a complainant, they agree to maintain the confidential nature of such information, except as necessary to further the resolution of a complaint.

From: Elizabeth Le
Sent: Tuesday, May 05, 2015 5:38 PM
To: Chris LaHatte
Cc: Amy Stathos
Subject: Famous Four / .sport

Hi Chris,

As you may be aware, Famous Four has initiated an IRP regarding .SPORT. I wanted to touch base on Famous Four’s complaint regarding .SPORT as I’m not sure that I have the most current information. I understand that in March of last year, you sent a draft report to Cherine, but that report was subsequently withdrawn pending a response from the ICC. Then, around April/May of last year, the Ombudsman investigation was placed on hold because Famous Four elected to pursue its reconsideration request. This request was considered and denied by the NGPC on 18 July 2014. Can you tell me what happened with the Famous Four complaint after the NGPC’s 18 July 2014 decision? Did you finalize your report? Please let me know.

Thanks,
Liz
----------------------
Liz Le
Senior Counsel
Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers
12025 Waterfront Drive, Suite 300
Los Angeles, CA  90094
Direct:  310.578.8902 | Fax:  310.823.8649
Email:  elizabeth.le@icann.org