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THE TRIBUNAL:
Pierre Bienvenu, pierre.bienvenu@nortonrosefulbright.com Richard Chernick, richard@richardchernick.com Catherine Kessedjian, ckarbitre@outlook.fr

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Mr. Livesay, good morning. Good morning, sir. I don't know where you're joining us from, but $I$ made the presumption that "good morning" would work.

THE WITNESS: Yes, it's morning. I am here in California.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Excellent. Sir, could I ask you to speak closer to your mic or to increase the volume of your mic?

THE WITNESS: Is that better? Can you hear me now better?

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: It is better, but we could do with a bit more volume.

THE WITNESS: Let me put the mic here in front of my face. How about that?

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Mr. Livesay, my name is Pierre Bienvenu. I chair the Panel. My colleagues are Catherine Kessedjian, who is joining us from Paris, and Mr. Richard Chernick, who is joining from Los Angeles.

You have, sir, filed in connection with this Independent Review Process a witness statement dated 1st June 2020, correct?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: And your statement ends with your swearing that the statements in your witness statement are true and correct?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: I would ask you, sir, in relation to the evidence that you will give to the Panel today, likewise, solemnly to affirm that it will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Thank you.
Mr. Johnston.
MR. JOHNSTON: Good morning, Mr. Livesay.
Have you recently had an opportunity to review your witness statement?

THE WITNESS: I have over the last few days.

MR. JOHNSTON: And are there any corrections you wish to make to it?

THE WITNESS: I think the only
clarification is there might be where I said not four --
(Discussion off the record.)
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Maybe, Mr. Livesay,
maybe you could put your mic on something else so it would be higher up. If you rest it on a book or binder or whatever, it will be closer to you.
(Discussion off the record.)

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: I believe

Mr. Johnston was asking if you had any corrections that you wish to make to your witness statement, and you were cut off in the course of your answer.

THE WITNESS: Right. I was simply stating there's a point where I said I may have talked to four or five of the potential set members, and I can confirm $I$ have only talked to four, not four or five. It is a clarification. I don't think it is inconsistent with the original statement.

MR. JOHNSTON: Mr. Chairman, we offer Mr. Livesay for cross-examination.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Thank you very much, Mr. Johnston.

Mr. Litwin, you ready to proceed with your cross-examination?

MR. LITWIN: I am, Mr. Chairman. Thank you very much.

BY MR. LITWIN
Q. Good morning, Mr. Livesay. My name is Ethan Litwin. I am from the law firm of Constantine Cannon. I understand that you have likely received a package from us, as has Mr. Johnston, and I would ask that you both open them now.
A. All right.
Q. Mr. Livesay, as you will see, in fact, if you just turn to your witness statement, which is behind Tab 1, you'll see that we've marked each page of the documents in that binder with a unique page number. When I direct your attention to these documents, $I$ will refer to that unique page number, okay?
A. The lower right-hand corner?
Q. Correct.
A. Okay.
Q. Now, there are a few documents that are not in the binder. Those will be on the screen. So I assume that you have been able to see on your screen the documents that Chuck has been pulling up this morning?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You're a little faint again, but I think I can make it out.
A. I think it is just because when I look away.
(Discussion off the record.)
Q. BY MR. LITWIN: All right. We are in business.

Mr. Livesay, can you please tell me, in addition to your witness statement, what other documents you reviewed to prepare for your testimony here today?
A. I reviewed some of the filings, I believe Afilias' filing from May, and then I also read through some of the filings afterward, including Afilias' response and some of the other papers, but largely just the filings over the last couple of months.
Q. Did you look at any of the exhibits that were referenced in those filings?
A. Exhibits -- I just read the filings mostly directly.
Q. Okay. Mr. Livesay, you were employed at VeriSign as a vice president and associate general counsel between 2014 and 2018; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you had previously worked at VeriSign in 2009-2010 as the vice president, strategy and management for VeriSign's digital certificate business; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And in 2010, you left VeriSign to join Symantec when it acquired VeriSign's certificate business; is that right?
A. Correct. I was sold off in that transaction, correct.
Q. Do you recall the month in 2014 when you returned to VeriSign?
A. I think I started early June, like the first week of June 2014.
Q. And what about the month in 2018 that you left?
A. I believe my last day was early May of 2018.
Q. And what was the reason for your departure in 2018?
A. I live in the Silicon Valley and VeriSign is in Reston, Virginia. I was commuting every other week for almost -- well, a long time. I got separated from my wife in 2017 and ultimately just had to return home.

And at that same time my mother was going through a severe decline, had to take over as her medical attorney-in-fact, and she went into hospice. So I had that kind of stuff.
Q. Understood, Mr. Livesay.
A. I also wanted to take care of some stuff.
Q. Did you sign any sort of termination agreement when you left VeriSign?
A. I'm sure I was exited as part of a reduction in force. I am sure there was some forms that I signed or whatnot.
Q. Did you sign anything related to providing VeriSign with assistance in matters relating to disputes concerning .WEB?
A. I don't recall anything like that as a part of my departure, no.
Q. Since you left VeriSign, where have you been employed?
A. Since leaving VeriSign, I am basically working as an independent attorney contractor, as you say, because $I$ was dealing with a lot of other family stuff at the time.
Q. Have you done any work for VeriSign since leaving in 2018?
A. No, not until they contacted me in early

May regarding this matter.
Q. In early May of?
A. This year.
Q. Of this year?
A. Yeah.
Q. Are you providing your testimony in this case pursuant to any contractual agreement with VeriSign?
A. No.
Q. Have you been compensated in any way for the assistance you have provided to VeriSign in connection with these disputes concerning .WEB?
A. Nope.
Q. Do you have any financial interest in the outcome of the .WEB dispute?
A. Nope.
Q. Okay. In 2014 you were asked to identify potential business opportunities for VeriSign in ICANN's new gTLD Program; is that right?
A. Yeah, towards the end of '14, yeah, I began -- I started middle of '14 I was doing some stuff having to do with strategy and the patent group stuff. Later in the fall $I$ kind of got into this program, yeah.
Q. Who gave you this assignment?
A. My boss at the time, Tom Indelicarto, and Jim Bidzos, the CEO.
Q. Mr. Bidzos personally instructed you to identify opportunities in the new gTLD Program?
A. I worked for two people at the company, my immediate boss and his boss. I do what they ask me to do.
Q. Well, my question is: Do you recall receiving this assignment from somebody?
A. You know, we had small discussions. I don't recall a specific -- I am not really sure what you're asking, because, like I said, I had discussions with these two executives, and I was asked to pursue and find opportunities in this area.
Q. Okay. That's fair enough.

Just for the court reporter, could you spell Indelicarto and Bidzos for her?
A. This is going to be good. Indelicarto, I-n-d-e-l-i-c-a-r-t-o, Indelicarto, I think.
Q. I think that's right.
A. Bidzos, B-i-d-z-o-s.
Q. Thank you. Did you report back to Mr. Indelicarto or Mr. Bidzos as you proceeded to work on this assignment?
A. Sure, absolutely.
Q. How often?
A. Probably weekly or biweekly as we progressed trying to investigate this area. Obviously -- go ahead. Sorry.
Q. In what form did you report back, was it in writing, email, memo, small meetings?
A. Most commonly small meetings talking about the development and progress of matters.
Q. Did you collaborate on this project with anyone else at VeriSign?
A. Not sure what you mean by "collaborate," depending on where in the project we were. Early on it was a very small group. As we got into later, working on the agreement became more involved. There were other attorneys involved in the drafting and that kind of stuff.
Q. So let's break this into the -- what I'll call the investigative stage and the contracting stage; is that fair, Mr. Livesay?
A. Within reason, yes, that's probably fair.
Q. Okay. So during the investigative stage, how big was the group you were working with?
A. It was pretty small. A little project group. I don't know entirely who else might have
been aware of the project outside of the few executives I mentioned. I am not telling anyone outside my -- those folks at that time.
Q. So outside of Mr. Bidzos and Mr. Indelicarto, is there anyone else who was working with you to identify opportunities in the new gTLD Program?
A. Well, certainly there was some people on the business side who were evaluating and making the decisions whether it makes sense for us to get into the gTLD market.
Q. Who were they -- I'm sorry.
A. I am not sure of everyone. I know I worked with a gentleman by the name of John Cochran at the time who was in the corporate strategy group. I think he rolled up through finance.

To be fair, though, there's a distinction, I think, between the business folks looking at whether it makes sense for us to go into this business and whether or not they were necessarily involved in the project of pursuing opportunities.

What I mean by that is there was a decision to potentially look at this opportunity, but the folks developing that intel maybe weren't necessarily aware of what $I$ was doing in trying to
pursue an actual agreement with a contention member.
Q. Okay. And what was Mr. Indelicarto's title?
A. He's general counsel.
Q. And Mr. Bidzos?
A. He's the chairman, CEO and whatever stuff you could put on there.
Q. Now, when you moved to the contracting time of this project, you mentioned that other lawyers were involved. Who were they?
A. Specifically a guy by the name of Kevin Ristau, R-a-s-t-a-u, I think it is, and Rob Wilson.
Q. And the Panel is familiar with a document called the Domain Acquisition Agreement, which is the agreement you signed with NDC. Did Mr. Ristau and Mr. Wilson draft that document?
A. They were definitely involved in the drafting of that document for sure.
Q. Were you involved in the drafting of that document?
A. Sure.
Q. I'm sorry, didn't hear that?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you work with Mr. David McAuley on
this project at all?
A. I don't recall that name, no, not on that project.
Q. Do you know Mr. McAuley?
A. The name sounds familiar. Maybe he's a VeriSign person, but it's been a while. I don't recall.
Q. That's the same exact answer he gave about you. He knew your name, but wasn't familiar. Now, you got this project in 2014, and that was after the new gTLD application window had closed, correct?
A. I believe the application window closed in '12, so yeah.
Q. Following the closure of the application window, VeriSign had raised concerns with ICANN about the risk of name collision; is that right?
A. I am not sure. I don't know. I think that's handled within another group within VeriSign.
Q. So are you aware that name collision concerns the risk that delegation of new gTLDs could interfere with the attempts to reach a private domain and instead would result in resolving to a public domain as well?
A. I thought you asked whether I was aware somebody had communicated about it. I thought that's what you asked. I am aware of the concept of name collision.
Q. Okay. And just to be clear that we understand what name "collision" is, so if there were a registry for, let's say, .HOME or .CORP, for example, a lot of people use those for their private Internets, right?
A. I don't know. That's not my expertise.
Q. Would it be fair to say through its lobbying efforts on name collision, VeriSign managed to at least preliminarily take close to 10 million domain names off the market in 2013?
A. I have no idea what you mean by VeriSign's lobbying, and $I$ was not with the company in 2013.
Q. In January of 2014, ICANN announced that it had received over 1,900 applications for new gTLDs.

Do you recall that?
A. I wasn't with the company at that time. You said January '14; is that right?
Q. Yes.
A. No. I joined in June of '14.
Q. Did you follow the progress of the new
gTLD Program during your time at Symantec?
A. No. Prior to joining VeriSign in 2014, I had never been a part of the DNS world. Prior to that, my history in security infrastructure had been on the encryption side and then on the certificate side. So me coming to VeriSign related to the naming business was a new industry to me.
Q. Okay. When you joined VeriSign in June of 2014, were you aware that ICANN had announced that it had received over 1,900 applications for new gTLDs?
A. I am aware that they received a lot of applications. That number sounds correct.
Q. And did you become aware in June of 2014, when you began work on this assignment -- scratch that.

When you returned to VeriSign, did you become aware that ICANN had announced that it was possible that the DNS would end up expanding by over 1,300 gTLDs; is that right?
A. Certainly as I looked into the gTLD program, I became aware of the large increase in number of TLDs that would become available potentially.
Q. And over the course of 2013 and 2014, are
you aware that quite a few articles had been published from the financial press raising concerns about the slowdown in the growth of the .COM registry?
A. I wasn't with the company in 2013.
Q. Well, in your discussions with Mr. Bidzos, the CEO, and Mr. Indelicarto, the general counsel, did they disclose to you that there had been concerns raised about the slowdown in the growth of the . COM registry?

MR. JOHNSTON: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to ask the witness to be conscious of the fact that that question specifically refers to conversations with Mr. Indelicarto, who is the general counsel of the company, and ask the witness, in the event of answering the question, it might divulge any attorney-client communications with Mr. Indelicarto, that he alert us so that doesn't happen. Thank you.

MR. LITWIN: If I might respond briefly, Mr. Chairman, I think we've established that the meetings between Mr. Livesay, Mr. Indelicarto and Mr. Bidzos concerned the business side of VeriSign. I am asking a business question. I am not asking for the witness to divulge any legal advice.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: I understand your point, and Mr. Johnston did not object to the question. He simply cautioned the witness not to disclose what could be privileged communications in the course of his answer.

Unless Mr. Johnston advises otherwise, I did not hear him object to the question.

MR. JOHNSTON: That's correct.
MR. LITWIN: Okay. Thank you,
Mr. Chairman.
Q. Mr. Livesay, I will echo Mr. Johnston's comment that at no time during my examination $I$ would ask you to reveal the substance of a privileged communication. And please tell me if my question, in your mind, elicits one.

My question is: Over the course of your discussions with Mr. Indelicarto and Mr. Bidzos concerning the -- finding opportunities for VeriSign in the new gTLD Program, did they reveal to you that during 2013 and 2014 there had been articles published in the financial press raising concerns about the slowdown in the growth of the . COM registry?
A. I don't recall having any specific discussions with Bidzos about that. I do know that
there has been obvious legal history and work around that topic, but $I$ am not a competition attorney. I am not involved in the running of .COM. That was a separate business unit, and I was really invoked to try to find ways that the company could simply have more opportunities at other domains to sell more domain.

The history of .COM was a separate running enterprise, not my forte.
Q. Now, in 2015, VeriSign sought to acquire the rights to the . WEB registry by concluding the DAA; is that correct?
A. I'm sorry, say that again?
Q. In 2015, VeriSign sought to acquire the rights to the .WEB registry by concluding the DAA with NDC; is that correct?
A. I don't know about the DAA, period. There are several steps in that agreement. The goal was hopefully finance or help NDC finance, win the auction, and if they became the registry, that they would seek to have it assigned to us.

So there were definitely some steps involved. I don't know if I would say -- use your description about finally signing.
Q. Well, let me rephrase it, Mr. Livesay.

Is it fair to say that the ultimate objective that VeriSign sought to achieve by entering into the DAA with NDC was the acquisition of the rights to the .WEB registry?
A. The goal was for us to become the operator of .WEB.
Q. And VeriSign has not signed any other deals to acquire other gTLDs; is that right?
A. Not that I am aware of. Not in the time that I was there.
Q. Were you aware, as you worked on this project during the end of 2014 and 2015, that the .COM Registry Agreement was due in the fall of 2016?
A. I don't recall being aware of that at the time, no.
Q. Is it fair to say that the .COM Registry Agreement is the single most important contract that VeriSign has?
A. I don't think I'd be a good judge of that.
Q. Well, .COM is responsible for over a billion dollars in revenue for VeriSign; isn't that right?
A. That's true. But you asked if that's the most important agreement. I don't know. I don't
run that business. I am not part of that business. I don't know.
Q. Would it be fair to say -- strike that.

In connection with your assignment in 2014 to identify potential business opportunities in the new gTLD Program, you state in your witness statement that you studied very closely the new gTLD application guidebook; is that correct?
A. I did, yep.
Q. And the auction rules?
A. When we got around to the auction, yep.
Q. And the other rules -- let me step back.

So when you say when you got around to the auction, does that mean that you studied those rules in the run-up to the auction in 2016?
A. At some point $I$ would have been reading the auction rules and become aware of them. I don't recall exactly when, but yep.
Q. Well, was that before or after you executed the DAA -- or VeriSign executed the DAA in August of 2015?
A. I don't recall reviewing auction or bidding agreements prior to signing the DAA, but I don't know. I don't recall it.
Q. And did you study the other body of rules
that comprise the relevant rules that govern the new gTLD Program?
A. Like what?
Q. Well, you mentioned -- let's look at your witness statement. If you can turn to Tab 1 in your binder, and $I$ would direct your attention to Paragraph 5, you write, "I studied very closely the new gTLD Application Guidebook published by ICANN, the Auction Rules, and other information regarding the new gTLD Program on ICANN's website to familiarize myself with the rules applicable to the Program."

So I guess my question is, Mr. Livesay: Other than the guidebook and the auction rules, what other rules did you review?
A. You know, I think generally I am referring to -- the ICANN website has a lot of information on it. Anything I could read, I did. That's where I found information about, say, applicants, what they had done, where they are located. I think that end there is saying I used the ICANN website as the primary source of information for how the program is run and the applicants and the contention sets.
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information

Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. I would now like to refer to you Tab 4 in your binder.
A. You know, I am just looking at this side of the paper. That's why I'm looking down.
Q. Okay. That's fair. I am going to be largely doing the same thing over here.

Chuck will put things up on the screen in case it is unclear.

So these are some significant excerpts from the new gTLD guidebook, and I will just represent to you that we've included the entire module where we have accepted the module, but we do have the entire version available electronically.

I would like to direct your attention to Page 95. And on Page 95 you will see Rule 4.1.3, which you discuss in your witness statement.

This section is entitled "Self-Resolution of String Contention."

Do you see that, sir?
A. Yep.
Q. Now, it provides that, "Applicants that
are identified as being in contention are encouraged to reach settlement or agreement among themselves that resolves the contention."

It goes on to say, "Applicants may resolve string contention in a manner whereby one or more applicants withdraw their applications."

It goes on to say, "It is understood that applicants may seek to establish joint ventures in their efforts to resolve string contention," and then concludes, it says, "Accordingly," and I would interpret that as "however," given how we have gone through this, that, "new joint ventures must take place in a manner that does not materially change the application, to avoid being subject to reevaluation."

Do you see that, sir?
A. Yep.
Q. So it's fair to say that ICANN encourages applicants to resolve contention sets among themselves before an ICANN auction; is that fair?
A. That's fair.
Q. And one of the ways in which ICANN envisioned that this may happen was by establishing joint ventures among themselves; is that right?
A. It says it right there, correct.
Q. But ICANN cautions applicants that in creating joint ventures, they shouldn't do so in a manner that would require reevaluation under the rules, right?
A. That's what it says.
Q. Okay. If you could please turn back to Page 32 of Tab 4, you will see Rule 1.2.7 there.

Do you see that, sir?
A. What page number are we on?
Q. Page 32 of Tab 4.
A. All right. Yep.
Q. And what Section 1.2.7 provides, it says, "Notice of Changes to Information. If at any time during the evaluation process information previously submitted by an applicant becomes untrue or inaccurate, the applicant must promptly notify ICANN via submission of the appropriate forms."

And then at the bottom, it says that, "ICANN reserves the right" -- I guess it is in the middle, rather -- "reserves the right to require a re-evaluation of the application in the event of a material change"; is that right?
A. That's what it says.
Q. Now, you can turn back to Page 95 if you want, where Rule 4.1.3 is, but is it fair to say
that the lesson you drew from reviewing Rule 4.1.3 is that when applicants were seeking to resolve contention among themselves, ICANN's primary concern was that they did so in a way that would not require reevaluation and thus not cause delay in the resolution of the contention set; is that fair?
A. It seems to be that they knew or were expecting that people would resolve contention sets through various agreements and simply wanted to ensure that -- to try and do it in a way that did not trigger reevaluation. I agree with that statement.

That seemed to be what they were encouraging and were also aware and wanted to be clear, don't do anything that actually changes the organizational function. I think they say -- I don't recall where, but having an entity acquire an applicant might require reevaluation. So they gave some examples, I believe, about things you could or shouldn't do. It seemed to be that's what they were looking for in the guidebook.
Q. Now, of course, you were aware at the time that VeriSign was not an applicant for .WEB; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, Section 1.2.7 requires applicants to notify changes in their application via submission of the appropriate forms, correct?
A. No. It says a material change to the applicant or that becomes untrue or inaccurate. I don't believe anything in the application of NU DOT CO changed.
Q. Let's just keep it general for now, Mr. Livesay. I will agree with you that where -and $I$ believe this is what you're saying, but if you would confirm that Section 1.2 .7 provides that where a -- where information in the application that had been previously submitted by the applicant becomes untrue or inaccurate, that applicant must promptly notify ICANN via submission of the appropriate forms?
A. Correct. If something's untrue or inaccurate, the applicant needs to do that.
Q. Now, those forms were analyzed pursuant to ICANN's change request criteria, correct?
A. I don't know what form you're talking about.
Q. You did not familiarize yourself with the ICANN application portal?
A. We weren't making any changes to an application requiring submission of a form. It sounds like you jumped over something in this last question, that's all.
Q. So Section 1.2 .7 says if an application previously submitted has information in it that becomes untrue or inaccurate, the applicant must promptly notify ICANN, correct?
A. Yeah. And you had asked me whether or not I looked at the form, and I said no, because we didn't do anything that changed the applicant that made it untrue or inaccurate.
Q. Okay. Right now I am just trying to inquire, Mr. Livesay, into your review of the ICANN rules and procedures governing the new gTLD Program. We'll come back to the particular transaction in a minute.

Chuck, can you put up Exhibit C-56, please.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Is that in the binder, Mr. Litwin?

MR. LITWIN: It is not. I apologize, Mr. Chairman. There's a handful of documents that are not in the binder.

Chuck, if you could just blow up -- yeah,
that part. That would be great.
Q. This is a document from ICANN's website called the "New gTLD Application Change Request Process and Criteria."

Have you seen this document before?
A. Doesn't look familiar to me, nope.
Q. So when you say that you carefully studied the rules and procedures governing the new gTLD Program, you did not review the change request process?
A. I didn't say that. I am saying it doesn't look familiar. Right now I can't see the document on the screen because you have this thing blown up in front of it.

MR. LITWIN: Chuck, can you please take that off. Is there any way to blow up the whole document, or at least the first page of it?

THE WITNESS: Your question was did $I$ review this when I reviewed the guidelines?
Q. BY MR. LITWIN: Correct.
A. When I went through the guidelines, I looked for things that seemed relevant, and when $I$ got to something like this, which said "Change Request Process," I look at what the requirement is, doesn't apply, so $I$ move on.
Q. Okay. So is it fair to say you did not discuss the change request criteria with NDC?
A. Nope.
Q. Is it also fair to say in your work on the DAA you did not consult with ICANN regarding the applicability of the change request criteria?
A. Say that again?
Q. And is it fair to say that in connection with your work on the DAA, you did not consult with ICANN regarding the applicability of the change request criteria?
A. Correct. I didn't contact ICANN in this regard, no.
Q. And it is true, Mr. Livesay, that NDC, in fact, never filed a change request with ICANN; is that right?
A. As far as I am aware.
Q. Okay. Now, directing your attention to the first page and to the section called change request overview, you can see that the document quotes that part of 1.2.7 that we just reviewed, that when, "any time during the evaluation process information previously submitted by the applicant becomes untrue or inaccurate, the applicant must promptly notify ICANN via submission of the
appropriate forms."
Do you see that, sir?
A. I see that, yep.
Q. And ICANN notes that the Application Change Request process was, in fact, created "in order to allow applicants to notify ICANN of changes to application materials."

Do you see that at the bottom of that?
A. Yep.
Q. Now, if we can look at the next section, it identifies seven criteria, and it is on the bottom of this first page and the top of the next page. I will just wait a second for Chuck to blow that up for you.

And the seven criterion are, one, explanation; two, evidence that the original submission was in error; three, other parties affected; four, precedents; five, fairness to applicants; six, materiality; and seven, timing, correct?
A. That's what it says.
Q. Now, ICANN states right below this -- and Chuck, if you could blow that up -- that, "These criteria were carefully developed to enable applicants to make necessary changes to their
applications while ensuring a fair and equitable process for all applications."

Do you see that, sir?
A. I see where that's written, yeah.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: "For all

```
applicants," not "for all applications."
```

MR. LITWIN: "For all applicants." Sorry. I misspoke, Mr. Chairman.
Q. Let's move down to the next section, which goes through these criterion in more detail.

So the first -- maybe just -- yeah, pull up that whole box so we don't have to keep doing it. That's great.

So the first criterion is explanation. This is, as ICANN says here, simply an opportunity to allow the applicant to provide an explanation for the change.
A. If you weren't making a change, this wouldn't apply, correct?
Q. Excuse me?
A. Since we didn't make a change, this wouldn't apply, we didn't need to provide an explanation if the change hadn't been made, correct?
Q. What I am doing, sir, is just going
through the document so that we understand what ICANN provided as their criterion. We'll come back and look at the NDC application.
A. Right. When you read this, if you step into these seven criteria on the presumption that a change has been made and an application for a change has been made, I agree these are all written, but we didn't request a change because an applicant -- and NDC's application wasn't altered.
Q. I understand that. I understand that that is what you have testified to here today, Mr. Livesay.

What I am trying just to establish is that in the event that a change request had been submitted, these are the criterion that ICANN would have looked at, correct?
A. That seems to be the case. It is right there in black and white.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Mr. Litwin, this is Pierre Bienvenu. Could I ask your colleague Chuck to blow the introductory paragraph to the text that we are looking at now. Thank you. This puts the subparagraphs in context. Please continue with your questions.

MR. LITWIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Q. So in the event -- and I'll phrase it like that so it is clear, Mr. Livesay. In the event that a change request was submitted to ICANN or -I'll use the subjunctive -- were to be submitted to ICANN, ICANN would first look at the explanation.

But is it fair to say that because this is simply an opportunity to allow the applicant to provide an explanation for the change, the criterion is always satisfied and does not bear as much weight as the others; is that fair, Mr. Livesay?
A. I have no way of understanding of how ICANN would weigh these in your hypothetical. You are presenting a hypothetical to which you want a hypothetical answer. I don't know.
Q. So what this says, and $I$ will quote, it says, "As such, this criterion is always met and does not bear as much weight as the other criteria."

```
            Is that what it says, sir?
```

A. That's what it says.
Q. So turning next to evidence that the original submission was an error. You know, I think we can agree that even if NDC had submitted a change request, which you testified they did not,
to your knowledge, this would not apply, in any event, correct?
A. I don't know. I don't know. You are creating a hypothetical which you want me to create an answer to. I don't know. They did not submit a change request because no change was made, and now you're asking me to apply these rules that ICANN would in your hypothetical.
Q. Well, fair enough, Mr. Livesay. In the event that a change request is submitted --
A. This is a hypothetical question?
Q. Yes. In the event that a change request were submitted to ICANN and it does not concern an error in the original submission, but rather a changed circumstance, this criterion would not apply; is that correct?
A. I am not really familiar with how ICANN applies these rules. You're reading the words the same as I am right now.
Q. Let's skip down to "Precedents" and look at that one. Here ICANN notes that if a change request would create a new precedent, that change request would be unlikely to be approved; is that fair?
A. I am reading the same words you are.
Q. Well, is it fair, Mr. Livesay, based on your reading of the same words that $I$ am, that if $a$ change request were to create a new precedent, that change would be unlikely to be approved?
A. That's what the words say. How ICANN interprets it, I don't know.
Q. Now, going back to the "Other third parties affected" criterion, this criterion evaluates whether a change request materially impacts other third parties, particularly other applicants; is that correct?
A. That's what it says.
Q. And, in fact, it says that in cases where a change to application material has the potential to materially impact the status of another applicant's application, this criterion is heavily weighted; is that correct, sir?
A. You read the line.
Q. Now, closely related to the "Other third parties affected" criterion is the "Fairness to applicants" criterion. Here ICANN notes that it will evaluate change requests to determine whether granting the request, quote, "would put the applicant in a position of advantage or disadvantage compared to the other applicants,"
correct?
A. That is what it says.
Q. And ICANN further states that, quote, "if a change request is found to materially impact other third parties, it will likely be found to cause issues of unfairness," right?
A. That's what it says.
Q. In other words, if granting the change would be unfair to other applicants, this criterion would weigh against granting the change, correct?
A. I don't know if your rewording is accurate or the way ICANN would read it. I go with the words that are on the page.
Q. The next criterion is "Materiality," which notes that ICANN will consider whether a change request will impact competing applications, correct?
A. That's what it says.
Q. So if a change request would impact other members of a contention set, that would satisfy the materiality criterion, correct?
A. I mean, I am just reading the words here. I am not really sure what you're trying to read differently.
Q. I am not trying to read anything
differently, Mr. Livesay. I am just asking that this "Materiality" criterion provides that if a change request would impact other members of a contention set -- and you can see the word "contention set" in Line 2?
A. Yep.
Q. Do you see that?
A. Yeah.
Q. I'm sorry, are you saying "yes" or "yep"?
A. Yes, I see where you have highlighted.
Q. Then the "Materiality" criterion would be satisfied; isn't that correct?
A. I don't see the word "satisfied" in there.
Q. Well, you understand that these criterion are used by ICANN to determine whether or not to approve a change request; is that right?
A. That's why I defer to how ICANN interprets something. You are providing interpretations of your reading, and $I$ would have to defer to ICANN's interpretation. You are providing hypotheticals for a situation $I$ don't believe we are in.
Q. I am just reading the rules.
A. You are reading them and then asking me to affirm your ultimate reading where you change a few words. You can read them, and $I$ will affirm the
words on the page are what they are, but $I$ have no reason to take an interpretation because this isn't a world -- a situation we were in. I will defer to ICANN. How can I put my mind in what ICANN would use in the seven criterion?
Q. Is it fair to say, Mr. Livesay, as you conducted your review of the rules in the guidebook, for example, you just looked at the plain language of the rule and just applied that in terms of your thinking about how to structure a transaction?
A. Certainly not. I am not really sure where you get that interpretation.
Q. Well, what I am asking --

MR. JOHNSTON: I would ask Mr. Litwin to allow the witness to finish his answer before interrupting with another question.

MR. LITWIN: I apologize. I thought he was done.
Q. Please continue, Mr. Livesay.
A. I don't remember what the question was. Where were we?
Q. Let me go back, because I think it was a poorly-phrased question, and allow me to rephrase it for you.

In reviewing these change request criterion, you say -- well, you agree that that's what it says, but, you know, if you're trying to interpret it, it is really ICANN's job to interpret it; is that right?
A. You presented on the screen right now the seven criteria after a change request was submitted and what ICANN would use to evaluate. This isn't the standard for how you get into a change request. This is once it is already there.

You asked previously did I look at the rule and just decide there not to go through a change request. No, there's a lot of factors. There's a lot of rules.

I looked at other transactions going on in the market. I saw disclosures of different companies having funded other activities of other applicants. I see elsewhere in the guidebook where it encourages parties to resolve without changing their application so as to not delay or have the string -- I guess "delay" is the right word, or put on hold. So there's a lot of factors that went into this.

But at the end of the day, the path we took is we are not looking to become the applicant.

We are looking to become the registry of this domain and to try to help fund NDC to win the auction. And if they ended up winning and we successfully signed a Registry Agreement, they would then apply to have it assigned to us, and we would be evaluated at that time.

So I don't think there's anything -- we were following -- we had a lot of different things, both through what we see in the marketplace and what the guidebook suggests, and we think we did it correctly.
Q. So, Mr. Livesay, I am not trying to imply here that NDC submitted a change request. I think we have established that NDC did not submit a change request.

What I am trying to do is to progress through a set of ICANN rules that inform how ICANN would consider a change request and asking you what your view of the rule is outside of what may or may not have happened regarding NDC.
A. And $I$ have told you before, it is hard to give you hypothetical answers to hypothetical questions. So you just read one rule, and did it go this way, no, it is not that.

Like I said, the way we approached this is
we are reading the rules. We are looking at activities in the marketplace. We are looking at what other strings and how other contention sets get resolved. We look at other information in the guidebook itself that suggests, recommends parties reorganize themselves in a way that doesn't require reevaluation, and we think we did that correctly.
Q. Mr. Livesay, is it fair to say that this document that we are looking at now, Exhibit C-56, concerns how ICANN evaluates change requests?
A. That is exactly what it says.
Q. And is it also fair that this document informs whether or not a change request should be filed?
A. That doesn't tell me that, no.
Q. So the description that ICANN provides here about how it goes about evaluating and the things it considers in evaluating a change request has no bearing whatsoever to the decision on whether or not to file a change request?
A. As I look at the document, there's a criteria for filing the change request, which we did not think applied, and these standards here, as I read them, are once you're in that realm, this is how those change requests would be addressed. It
would seem unusual to think that the change request criteria are how you get into the change request criteria, seems circular the way you have described it.
Q. So the rule -- if we can turn back to the first page of this document, $\mathrm{C}-56$, ICANN quotes the rule from the applicant guidebook?
A. That's right.
Q. That says if any information previously submitted by an applicant becomes untrue or inaccurate, that applicant is obligated to promptly notify ICANN, correct?
A. That's what it says.
Q. And turning through this document, it does suggest that, well, in determining whether or not Rule 1.2.7 applies, whether those changes would be unfair to applicants, whether those changes would create new precedents, whether those --
A. You are jumping again. Those changes, if there are no changes, you can't bootstrap yourself into the criteria. There were no material changes that made the application untrue and inaccurate.
Q. Okay. We'll come back to that. We'll come --

MR. JOHNSTON: Stop interrupting.

THE WITNESS: I am confused at what you're asking at this point, $I$ guess.

MR. JOHNSTON: Your Honor, Mr. Chair, I object to this line of questioning. We have been spending a lot of time on this document, and virtually every question posed lacked foundation and most just asked the witness to read the document.

If Mr. Litwin wants to make these arguments in closing argument, that's appropriate. But to spend all this time with the witness asking questions that lack foundation is not appropriate.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Your objection is noted, Mr. Johnston.

As to the question of foundation, Mr. Livesay, may I ask you just to clarify your evidence as regards the knowledge that you had when you familiarized yourself with the guidebook of the requirement to notify ICANN of changes in an application.

I am looking at Page 32 of the rough transcript, and Mr. Litwin, having displayed the document we have been talking about, said, "This is a document from ICANN's website called the 'New gTLD Application Change Request Process and

Criteria.' Have you seen this document before?"
Your answer was, "It doesn't look familiar to me, nope.
"Question: So when you say that you carefully studied the rules and procedures governing the new gTLD Program, you did not review the change request process?
"Answer: I didn't say that. I am saying it doesn't look familiar. Right now I can't see the document on the screen because you have got -you have this thing blown up in front of it."

And then we went on.
Let me ask you this, Mr. Livesay: Was it a concern to you, as you were considering on behalf of VeriSign the potential of striking a deal with NDC, that the agreement not trigger a notice of change to information under Section 1.2.7 of the guidebook?

I'm sorry, please -(Discussion off the record.)

THE WITNESS: I said that's correct, we were looking for --
(Discussion off the record.)
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Shall I repeat my question?
(Discussion off the record.)
THE WITNESS: Please repeat the question.
(Discussion off the record.)
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Okay. So I am going to read it, Mr. Livesay, so I don't interpret it.
"Was it a concern to you, as you were considering on behalf of VeriSign the potential of striking a deal with NDC, that the agreement not trigger a notice of change to information under Section 1.2.7 of the guidebook?"

THE WITNESS: That is correct. It was a concern --
(Discussion off the record.)
THE WITNESS: So yes, it was a concern that we not trigger or do anything to change the application that would trigger a reevaluation because we knew that that -- couple of things. One, the guidebook suggests, one, to try and resolve things without triggering reevaluation.

Two, if it did trigger reevaluation, that might actually delay the string in getting resolution. So yeah, it was a concern of ours to not trigger that.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Excellent.
Now, given that this was a concern, as you
sit here today, do you recall looking at the form on which you were questioned in the past 15 minutes entitled "New gTLD Application Change Request Process and Criteria," do you recall looking at that?

THE WITNESS: I recall only the portion -the reference to 1.2.7. I don't recall specifically the other, but this was a long time ago, five or more years, and the guidebook is a long document.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Very well. Very well. I am sorry for the interruption, Mr. Litwin. Please proceed.

MR. LITWIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Q. I just have two more questions on this document, Mr. Livesay. If you look at the next page, Page 3 of this document, is it your understanding that where change requests were submitted to ICANN, they were posted on ICANN's website?
A. Are you asking if I'm aware whether they were?
Q. Yes.
A. I don't recall one way or the other. I decline whether I knew that or not.

MR. LITWIN: Mr. Chairman, perhaps this is a good time to take our first break today. I am at a good breaking point in my outline.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Very well.
Mr. Livesay, we are going to break for 15 minutes. I am required by our sequestration order to ask that you not discuss your evidence during the break.

THE WITNESS: That's good.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Thank you very much, sir. So we will resume in 15 minutes, and you'll be brought virtually to a separate room.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Thank you.
(Whereupon a recess was taken.)

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Thank you very much.
Mr. Johnston, you are there?
MR. JOHNSTON: Yes, I am.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Mr. Litwin, and is Mr. Livesay back with us?

MR. ENGLISH: No, he's in the waiting room.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Okay. So you may bring him back.

You ready to proceed, Mr. Litwin?

MR. LITWIN: I am, Mr. Chairman.
MR. ENGLISH: Okay. Mr. Livesay has joined the meeting, and if he could unmute himself. THE WITNESS: You can hear me all right with the new microphone?

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: We can hear you. MR. LITWIN: Much better.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Thank you very much. So, Mr. Livesay, under the same solemn affirmation, Mr. Litwin, please proceed.
Q. BY MR. LITWIN: Mr. Livesay, I just wanted to ask you one last question about -- and just to clarify your earlier testimony, about the change request criterion document that we have been reviewing, Exhibit C-56, I think what you said, that it did not matter what you or VeriSign think about the rules set forth in here, I think your testimony was it's what ICANN thinks that matters; is that a fair statement?
A. You read the provisions and then you rephrased them and asked me if your rephrasing was fair. I simply said I defer to ICANN how they would interpret the plain language of these provisions.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

So moving on, I would refer you back to your witness statement and Paragraph 5. As you recall from before the break, we left off with the provision in the change request criterion document that says that change requests would be posted to ICANN's website.

And in response to the Chairman's question, you said that you had studied the rules to ensure that there were no changes that needed to be reported to ICANN.

Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A.

Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. Okay. Now, let's turn back to Section 4.1.3 of the AGB. So that's Tab 4 at Page 95.

Are you there, sir?
A. Is that in what you sent me or is this another document that's not in the binder you sent?
Q. No, it is there. It is Tab 4, Page 95.
A. Oh, 95, okay. Got it here.
Q. This rule is titled "Self-Resolution of String Contention" and only concerns transactions among contention set members themselves; is that correct?
A. It appears to be the case, yeah.
Q. Okay. Please turn to Page 124 of this document behind Tab 4, and I direct your attention to what is the last line of Paragraph 10 of Module 6, the terms and conditions.
A. Yep.
Q. What it says here is that, "Applicant may not resell, assign, or transfer any of applicant's rights or obligations in connection with the application."

Now, this provision is not limited to transactions among contention set members, correct?
A. I am not sure -- say that again.
Q. So where this provision says, "Applicant may not resell, assign, or transfer any of applicant's rights or obligations in connection with the application," my question to you, sir, is that this provision is not limited to transactions among contention set members?
A. As I read the sentence, it applies to applicant. So I am not really sure what you're
saying about other contention sets. As I read this, it is a restriction on an applicant.
Q. It is a restriction on an applicant that provides that the, "Applicant may not resell, assign, or transfer any of applicant's rights or obligations in connection with the application" to any third party, correct?
A. I guess. It doesn't say that limitation. The limitation is on the applicant.
Q. I --
A. You're asking me to read something in there that's not there. I mean, maybe you are -- I am not really sure what you're asking me to read into that. It says, "Applicant may not resell, assign, or transfer any of the applicant's rights or obligations." That seems very straightforward.
Q. Any -- sorry, Mr. Chairman.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: First of all, can we, just in fairness to the witness, go to Page 120 of that document, just to situate that provision. So this is part of the terms and conditions of Module 6.

You are familiar with that document?
THE WITNESS: I recall reviewing it at great length back in the day. I did not review it
again in advance of this testimony.
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Right. Now, focusing back on the text on which Mr. Litwin drew your attention --

THE WITNESS: Yep.
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: -- do you understand that provision as targeting transactions within a contention set or as targeting transactions generally, whether they involve contention set members or not? I think that's the question that is being asked of you.

THE WITNESS: I see. I don't read that sentence that's highlighted as limited to just within a contention set. It seems to apply to an applicant both inside and outside a contention set. The applicant cannot resell, assign or transfer in and outside of a contention set. That's the way I read it. Is that the clarification you were asking for?

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: I was just trying to rephrase the question that was asked of you.

THE WITNESS: Got it.
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Back to you, Mr. Litwin.

MR. LITWIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Q. In addition to your review of the guidebook and other rules governing the new gTLD Program, Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q.
A. In the sense -- how do you mean, special-purpose vehicles -- go ahead. I am listening.
Q. Perhaps I should just orient you to your witness statement, sir. It is behind Tab 1. If you look at Page 5, Paragraph 9.
A. Oh, correct, right, in terms of special. Like in this example I found that sometimes an entity would have a shell company for each individual company, sometimes held by a parent, or sometimes all the applications were held by one entity, such as the way Gooqle did it with

Redacted - Third-Party Designated Charleston Road Registry. Confidential Information
Q. And we can look down at Paragraph 10, where you continue your discussion about the special purpose entities. You write, "For example, Google is identified as the owner of Charleston Road Registry, Inc.," correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And when you say "is identified," you mean identified in the application, correct?
A. Correct. I have not looked at it, but if I recall correctly, you can look at the applications and it will show for each string who the applicant is.

In this case it would show up as Charleston Road Registry. If you then click on it, it will show you the public portion of the application, which would then show who the actual party is, or the contact, $I$ should say.

For instance, if I recall, if I looked up this, it would have said -- on the applicant it would have said Charleston Road Registry, but it would have a contact name, and that contact name I think was a Google address, for example, email, that is.
Q. Yes. In fact, in Section 11 Google is identified in each of Charleston Road Registry's
applications as the owner of Charleston Road Registry.

Do you recall that?
A. I believe so, yeah. Let me see where you're highlighting. Yep.
Q. Now, you also go on to write that, "In other instances, the requirement for a disclosure of the real party in interest was avoided by forming another entity to be the parent of the application, so the real parties in interest were not disclosed as part of the parent entity in the application." And you give an example. You say "Donuts formed 'Covered TLD, LLC,' for example, and made that entity the disclosed parent on many of its applications."
A. Correct.
Q. You see that, sir?
A. Yep.
Q. And in Paragraph 9 you refer to Ruby Glen LLC as a Donuts applicant entity, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So what you're saying is that the application would have been made on behalf of Ruby Glen, and when you look at the ownership information, it would say, "Covered TLD LLC,"
another shell, in your words, correct?
A. I believe that's correct.
Q. Now, are you aware that the primary contact listed at Section 6 of Ruby Glen's applications was identified as an executive vice president of Donuts?
A. I believe I may recall it might have been a Donuts address, perhaps, the email, perhaps, I think you're talking about.
Q. Well, they give his title as the executive vice president of Donuts, and as you say, there was a Donuts email address associated with that contact person. Does that sound familiar?
A. I don't recall seeing his title on the application, but likely seeing the email.
Q. Do you also recall that at Section $11(\mathrm{~b})$, Ruby Glen identified Donuts' CEO and the chairman of Donuts' Board of Directors as the two people who had legal and executive responsibility for Ruby Glen?
A. I'm sure at some point I looked at who the individuals listed in the application were. I don't recall specifically their names now.
Q. So it wasn't exactly a secret that Ruby Glen was a Donuts special purpose entity, correct?
A. I don't think it was a secret, no.
Q. In the course of your research you learned about an arrangement between Donuts and Demand Media, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. If you could take a look at Page 18 of Tab 1. This is Exhibit A to your witness statement, a press release by Demand Media. I am just going to read what it says in the fourth paragraph.

It says, "As part of this initiative,
Demand Media has applied for 26 names on a stand-alone basis. In addition, Demand Media has entered into a strategic arrangement with Donuts, an Internet domain registry founded by industry veterans, through which it" -- meaning Demand Media -- "may acquire rights in certain gTLDs after they have been awarded to Donuts by ICANN. These rights are shared equally with Donuts and are associated with 107 gTLDs for which Donuts is the applicant."

Do you see that?
A. I am reading along with you, yes.
Q. And this is one of the examples that informed your research in advance of negotiating the DAA, correct?
A. It was an example, yes.
Q. Now, if you look at the date of the press release, you'll see it's from June 11th, 2012. Do you see that?
A. Yep.
Q. So that was -- the press release was issued shortly after the application window had closed in April of 2012, as you testified earlier, correct?
A. The dates look correct.
Q. And, therefore, this press release was issued during the period for public comment and evaluation by ICANN, correct?
A. That would be the case, yeah.
Q. Are you aware that Demand Media was disclosed as Donuts's, quote, "partner in these 107 applications"?
A. I am not aware that they were listed as a co-owner or partner, no.
Q. Are you aware that the public portions of these applications are available on ICANN's website?
A. The public portion of the applications would naturally be available on ICANN's website.
Q. Did you review these 107 applications by

Donuts that you refer to at Paragraph 8 of your witness statement?
A. I do not recall looking at all those applications, no.
Q. So, for example, if I represented to you that Demand Media is listed as Donuts's partner in its applications for .CITY, .ASSOCIATES, .CAMERA, . CHURCH, . CLOTHING, .COACH, .ECO, .ENERGY, .HELP, .INVESTMENTS, .SALON, .SINGLES, .VENTURE and .VOYAGE, among others, would you have any knowledge as to whether or not Demand Media is, in fact, listed as Donuts' partner in those applications?

MR. JOHNSTON: I'll object on grounds of lack of foundation. Perhaps counsel could put just one of those in front of the witness.

MR. LITWIN: Well, I am asking him for his knowledge about this. I don't believe these are in the record. I'd be happy to show him one if you would consent to that.

MR. JOHNSTON: I would consent to showing him the limited part you're representing to him is in the application.

MR. LITWIN: Very good.
For my team that's on the phone, can you send to Chuck the .CITY application, please.

Chuck, let me know when you get it.
I have just been told. CITY is on the record, and they are pulling it up right now.

Chuck, when you get that, if you can just put it up on the screen for everyone to see, please.

MR. JOHNSTON: I'm sorry to have provoked this delay. I had a specific reason, which I won't explain with the witness on camera, but I had a specific reason for wanting the witness to see the application as opposed to rely on the representation as made.

Again, I am sorry for the delay.
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: That's fine. Let's see if we can get the document up quickly, otherwise we can put this in abeyance and come back to it.

MR. LITWIN: There we go. Actually, while we go through this, if you can just stop right there, Chuck, don't move any further. If you can blow up the full legal name at one, please?

MR. VAUGHAN: I don't have the ability to blow anything up on this.

MR. LITWIN: Got it.
Q. Can you see that, Mr. Livesay?
A. I see it says, "Snow Sky, LLC."

MR. LITWIN: If we can go down to 6, please, Chuck.
Q. You'll see the gentleman there is identified as the executive vice president of Donuts?
A. Yep, yep.
Q. And under 6(f), that's the Donuts email address that you recall.

Do you see that, sir?
A. Yep, yep.
Q. Now, if you can go down to Paragraph 23. Boy, this is incredibly small on my computer. What it says in the second paragraph there is, "The following response describes our registry services as implemented by Donuts and our partners. Such partners include Demand Media Europe Limited for back-end registry services."

Do you see that, sir?
A. I see that.
Q. So Demand Media was disclosed in the .CITY application submitted by Donuts to ICANN. So there was no secret that Donuts and Demand Media had a partnership, correct?
A. Well, I think the word "partnership" goes
to what you mean by partnership. In the press release it doesn't describe the nature of that partnership. In this it seems to limit Demand Media, at least in the application, to being a BERS provider, not necessarily a co-owner of the application. Maybe you need to describe what "partner" means in the relationship of the press release.

When $I$ read this, it looks like Demand Media is simply, at the stage that this is made, not represented as a co-owner, but a back-end registry provider, which is a different matter, at least as I read it.
Q. So let me see if $I$ can break this down a little bit.

In Paragraph 23 of the .CITY application, Demand Media is identified as a partner for Donuts to provide back-end registry services, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So there was no secret that Demand Media had at least some role here as a back-end registry service provider associated with the . CITY application, correct?
A. It appears in the .CITY application they are the BERS, back-end provider. That doesn't
represent them as a co-owner or having an interest in possibly obtaining the domain after its delegation. It doesn't suggest they have any of that kind of right in it.
Q. In the application --
A. In the public portion that you are having me read, $I$ am only saying that it lists them only as a BERS provider, not a co-owner.
Q. Sir - -
A. Which is what you mean to imply.
Q. Sir, $I$ am not implying anything, and I would appreciate it if you would let me finish my question --
A. Go ahead.
Q. -- as well as I will let you finish your answer.

My question is simply that Demand Media is identified as a partner for Donuts at Paragraph 23 of the . CITY application for the purpose of providing back-end registry services, correct?
A. They are identified as the back-end registry service provider for this application.
Q. So there was no secret that Demand Media was involved with Donuts in at least some capacity in its application itself, correct?
A. As a back-end registry provider. I don't see that as an owner.
Q. Now, we also looked at the press release that was issued on June 11th, 2012, where Demand Media publicly disclosed that its relationship with Donuts was broader; is that correct?
A. I don't know what you mean by "broader." If you mean -- as $I$ read the article, it seems to state that they had an arrangement whereby Donuts would obtain certain TLDs and in some situations postdelegation request assignment and transfer for Demand Media, up to 107 of them. It looks like you pointed me to one in which Demand Media is listed as the BERS provider, okay.
Q. Okay. All I am saying, Mr. Livesay, is that Demand Media was identified as having some role in all of the 107 applications of which I am showing you one?
A. And I am only able to confirm the one. The one you're showing me shows them as a BERS provider, nothing more.
Q. I will represent to you, sir, that the same language is in each of those 107 different applications.
A. Based on the --

MR. JOHNSTON: Excuse me, Mr. Livesay. Objection; lack of foundation. ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Before I address the objection, it is very important for us, in order to have a clean record, that only one person speak at a time. I understand it is difficult, especially when we are proceeding by remote video, but let the question be asked and then proceed with your answer. And Mr. Litwin will not cut you off. He will let you finish your answer.

Now, what is the nature of your objection, Mr. Johnston? Lack of foundation as to what?

MR. JOHNSTON: Well, counsel was
representing what was present in 107 applications the witness said he wasn't familiar with. The question was only, "Take my representation; is that true," as I heard the question. I think that's pretty obviously a question that has no foundation in the witness' knowledge.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Mr. Litwin?
MR. LITWIN: I can rephrase.
Q. Is it fair to say, Mr. Livesay, that

Demand Media was disclosed as a partner of Donuts for the purposes of back-end registry services in its application submitted to ICANN?

```
A. The one you have shown me, it looks like their limited nature as a partner is that of being a BERS provider.
Q. Is it also fair that Demand Media issued a public press release during the comment period and the time at which ICANN was evaluating the application to disclose its broader role regarding those applications?
A. From the time and the dates of things, that appears to be the case, yeah.
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agreement would have provided --
A. It is not an agreement, and so it is hypothetical. Would have provided. This is a first draft of something --

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Mr. Livesay.
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: I'm sorry, I have to -- I instruct you again to not cut off Mr. Litwin in the middle of a question because we are not going to get a clean record.

THE WITNESS: I am trying to -- sometimes I think he's finished with a statement or a question, and I am making a presumption -- I will try to stop and hold back.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Don't take this as a reproach, Mr. Livesay, but just as a direction so that in everybody's interest, we have a clean record.

THE WITNESS: Understood.
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Very well.
So -- well, do you want to finish what you were saying, Mr. Livesay, and then Mr. Litwin.

THE WITNESS: We can go back -- I am fine with him asking or reasking questions. That's fine.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Mr. Litwin.

MR. LITWIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
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Q. Mr. Livesay, when we were talking about the change request criteria, you noted that you had received draft agreements and these were, in your view, precedents for the DAA.

Do you recall that testimony, sir?
A. Right. These were some examples of that, yeah.
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
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MR. LITWIN: Excuse me for one minute. I just need to look at the transcript for a second. Q. You testified a moment ago, and I am referring to Page 81, line -- Lines $17,18,19,20$ and 21, you say, "To be honest, I don't recall reviewing this document at depth really at the time, because it presented a situation, in my view, and the way they presented it, is we would buy the entity."

So I'm a little confused because I think you just said that you did review the document at the time. So which is it?
A. First of all, like I said, I did review it at the time. But at a basic level I saw that it was trying to set up an acquisition of the entity. I am sure my recollection back then is better now, but $I$ did not rereview or reexamine the documents in preparation for this, is my point. I can assure you I had a much better understanding of all this five years ago than $I$ do right now.
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ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Mr. Livesay, I'm sorry to interrupt.

THE WITNESS: He asked me a question, and I am trying to answer it and then he jumps in and tries to tell me to correct it. If he doesn't like my answer, he can not like my answer. That's fine.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Mr. Litwin, you are not there to argue with the witness.

MR. LITWIN: Understood, your Honor.
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: I would ask both of you to sit back for a moment.

And, Mr. Livesay, let the questions come and answer them in the best of your ability.

And please, I am addressing this to both of you, don't cut each other off. It just creates an unworkable record.

Mr. Litwin, please pose your question.
Q. BY MR. LITWIN: Mr. Livesay, I am going to try and lay some foundation for what I'm asking you here. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
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A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information You see, this is my difficulty, Mr. Head of the Tribunal, is he's quoting it and adding different language as he's reading it, and I am left trying to figure out is he asking for me to affirm his interpretation of it or my reading of it when $I$ have not read these details.
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And if Mr. Litwin wants to read it and ask if $I$ can confirm what it says, $I$ can do that. If he's going to read it and add different words in, how am I supposed to respond?

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: So I may suggest, Mr. Livesay, that you take a minute to look at the language on which you are questioned and perhaps refer back to terms that are defined in that language. And once you have familiarized yourself with that language, then Mr. Litwin can ask his question. All he can ask for is your understanding
of that document as you sit here today and read the language. Fair enough?

THE WITNESS: Well, I don't know. Is the Tribunal willing to give me an hour to look at a document that I haven't looked at in five years?

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: You think you need an hour?

THE WITNESS: I assure you that when we went through this in 2015, it was a lot more than a few hours to look at these documents and settle this out. I am perfectly fine reviewing these documents that never iterated, we didn't sign, but if he's going to ask me to interpret documents that have defined terms, I tend to read documents thoroughly.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Mr. Livesay, you chose to append this document to your witness statement.

THE WITNESS: I did. And I appended it as an example of something $I$ received. If he's going to ask me to read it and interpret it as an attorney, I should do that.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: You appended it in order to make a point, and you are being questioned about your evidence.

THE WITNESS: Fair enough.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: I think it is a fair
line of inquiry for Mr. Litwin in order to understand your evidence.

THE WITNESS: Fair enough.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Now, I fully
understand your concern that you don't want to be trapped into giving a legal interpretation to a document you have not recently reviewed. We appreciate that, and we are sensitive to that.

Now you're being questioned on one subparagraph of the agreement. I take your point that they are defined terms, but please take the time to read that one paragraph. If you want to refer to the defined terms, do that, and then we'll see the question and we'll step in if we find the answer -- the question puts you in an unfair position, but $I$ don't think that it does. If you take the time to review that paragraph, review the defined terms, you should be able to answer his question.

THE WITNESS: Fair enough.

I think it is back to you, Mr. Litwin, to pick up wherever I interrupted.

MR. LITWIN: Thank you.
Q. Mr. Livesay, I just wanted to ask a couple of questions. You executed your witness statement on June 1st of this year, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And did you review the attachments to your witness statement when you signed it or before you -- in the preparation of your witness statement?
A. I reviewed that it was the document that $I$ received. I did not go through and reread the document.
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Q. Are you aware that Dot Tech the entity did, in fact, prevail at the ICANN auction for .TECH the gTLD?
A. I believe I may have heard that, yeah.
Q. Are you also aware that Dot Tech the entity submitted a revised application after the auction identifying Radix as the new owner of the applicant Dot Tech the entity?
A. I don't have any specific memory of that, but sounds accurate, I guess.
Q. And are you aware that as a result of submitting that revised application, ICANN commenced a reevaluation of that application?
A. I was not aware of that, that $I$ can recall.
Q. Are you aware that Dot Tech the entity, in fact, submitted a further revised application in response to a change request that it had submitted to ICANN?
A. Nope, not aware of that.
Q. You also refer in your witness statement to a transaction between Automattic and Primer Nivel regarding . BLOG; is that correct?
A. I think I refer to maybe a press release or something about that, yeah.
Q. Now, you state that in May 2016 it was reported that Primer Nivel's bid for . BLOG had been, quote, "financed by Automattic," correct?
A. I think I'm citing a news source about that, yeah.
Q. So the answer to my question is yes?
A. Correct.
Q. And those reports postdate your August 2015 Domain Acquisition Agreement with NDC, correct?
A. I'd have to relook at the dates. Do we have that as an attachment?
Q. Yes. It is an attachment to your witness statement, sir.
A. Let me make sure I am remembering the correct press releases here.
Q. They begin, sir, at Exhibit E, which is on Page 95 of Tab 1, and continue on to Page 111.
A. Yeah. So your question is what?
Q. Let me ask my question again.
A. Yeah.
Q. These reports regarding .BLOG postdate the August 2015 DAA, correct?
A. Yes. That appears to be the case, correct.
Q. So it's fair to say that you did not discover information concerning the Automattic-Primer Nivel transaction as part of your research prior to the execution of the DAA, correct?
A. That would seem to be the case, yeah.
Q. Therefore, it's also fair to say that you were not relying on the Automattic-Primer Nivel transaction as a precedent for the DAA, correct?
A. Certainly not in advance of the DAA, but it certainly seemed to give some credibility heading up to the auction.
Q. Now, .BLOG was auctioned in February of 2015, correct?
A. I believe that sounds right.
Q. And in March of 2014, Primer Nivel had submitted a change request to ICANN regarding Paragraph 11 of its application, correct?
A. I am not aware that that's the case.
Q. I direct your attention to Page 96 of Exhibit E, and at the bottom, last paragraph, it says, "ICANN processed the change request to the Question 11 answer in March of 2014."

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. And, in fact, Question 11 asks about ownership information, correct?
A. I believe that's correct.
Q. And, in fact, in Section 11 is where Ruby Glen disclosed that Donuts' CEO and chairman had legal or executive authority over it, right?
A. I'm sorry, what's the reference to Donuts? What?
Q. Sorry. I'll move on. I was trying to refer to something earlier in the testimony, but it is not important.

At the .BLOG auction, the winning bidder was a company called Knock Knock Whois There LLC, correct?
A. Sounds correct.
Q. And that entity was controlled by Automattic, correct?
A. I believe that's the case.
Q. And you don't know any of the details about how Automattic and the Primer Nivel deal was structured, do you?
A. No, I don't have any window into that.
Q. Now, finally, sir, I'll represent to you in his opening statement Mr. Johnston, counsel for VeriSign, referred to several transactions that
were entered into by Afilias, these concerned .MEET, .PROMO, .ARCHI, .SKI and .BIO. And for each of these gTLDs, isn't it true that Afilias entered into an agreement to acquire these Registry Agreements after those Registry Agreements had been fully executed?
A. I don't -- you had a list there. I don't recall any of those specifically. Was that a list of TLDs that had changed hands when?
Q. Correct. So this is .MEET, .PROMO, .ARCHI, .SKI and .BIO.

Sitting here today, do you have any information to suggest that any of those deals were struck prior to the Registry Agreement being fully executed between the registry operator and ICANN.
A. I don't have any special information on that, no.

MR. LITWIN: Okay. Mr. Chairman, I think it is a good opportunity to take a second break.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Very well.
Can you give us -- without holding you to it, but can you give us a sense of how much longer you plan to go?

MR. LITWIN: It's a little difficult to say, Mr. Chairman. I would have thought I would
have gone through the first part a bit faster than I did. I estimate I have about an hour and a half left, maybe a little bit more. Depends how quickly we can move through these subjects.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Very well. So let's take a second break now.

So, Mr. Livesay, with the same instructions, you'll be brought to another room. Thank you for your cooperation, and we resume in 15 minutes.

THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you.
(Whereupon a recess was taken.)
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Thank you,
Mr. Livesay. So under the same solemn affirmation, we continue with your cross-examination.

THE WITNESS: True, correct.
MR. LITWIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Q. Mr. Livesay, I would like to direct your attention to Paragraph 18 of your witness statement that appears on Pages 7 and 8, and there you write, "The DAA is a conditional agreement pursuant to which VeriSign agreed to provide the funds to NDC to participate in the auction for the .WEB gTLD.
"In the event NDC prevailed at the auction and entered into a Registry Agreement with .WEB

```
with ICANN -- upon application to ICANN and with
ICANN's consent -- NDC would assign the .WEB
Registry Agreement to VeriSign."
    Sitting here today, do you still agree
with that statement?
```

A. Yes.
Q. And looking at Paragraph 20, further down the page, you write, "The DAA is compliant with all terms of the Guidebook and consistent with transactions by others with respect to the new gTLD Program."

You close that paragraph by saying, "The structure of the agreement was also consistent with industry practices in the secondary market for new gTLD applications of which $I$ became aware in my research of the new gTLD Program, as explained above and further documented below."

Sitting here today, do you agree with those statements?
A. I do, yes.
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information

A
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financing an opportunity.
Q. Did VeriSign provide financing to NDC?
A. We provided the funds so they could participate in an auction. How you define "finance," I am not sure. We did not finance their entity. We financed their bid in the auction, which I think are two different things.
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Q. You say that, "The DAA is a conditional agreement pursuant to which VeriSign agreed to provide the funds to NDC to participate in the auction for the .WEB gTLD," correct?
A. Correct.
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Q. Well, let's talk about -- let's step back and talk generally, Mr. Livesay.

In a financing arrangement, generally the entity that provides the financing defines the principal amount of that financing.
A. So let me correct again. I did not say this is a financing. I said elements analogous to financing in the following sentence, we are providing a lot of funds for a third party we are arm's length with who $I$ don't know very well. I like Jose, seems like a trustworthy guy, but when I say it is analogous to a financing, I mean from the standpoint, whether it is a home financing or a business financing or a small loan, an unsecured financing, you might look for ways to secure your
interest in that money so it is not misused, used for things it was not intended to, making sure it is returned if something goes awry.

So when $I$ say "analogous to a financing," I mean from the standpoint of putting protections into the one providing the funds. I did not mean to suggest it was a financing with a fixed principal or interest rate or this or that.

That's why $I$ am trying to make sure you don't step over the word "analogous" and start going into financing, because it is not that. It is analogous to that from the sense of providing protections for the funds we were providing.
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Q. So, Mr. Livesay, you testified earlier that VeriSign funded the $\$ 135$ million that was eventually paid as the winning bid at the .WEB auction, correct?
A. Correct.
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A. Correct.
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Q. And are you aware, sir, that in a financing agreement, when a financier secures a security interest, that is limited to the amount of investment that they have made, the amount of funding they have provided; isn't that true?
A. I wouldn't know because this isn't a financing agreement in the common sense. Even in the highlighted part, it says it serves like a security interest. I am not saying it is a security interest in the terms that you would have, like, mortgage interest, for instance. We don't have any -- we are trying to, like I said, analogize, when you put a lot of money on the table, how do you ensure that those moneys are used the way you and this other third party agreed.

Like I said, as much as I like Jose, they were a new party to us. They were working in the
secondary market of TLDs. They had been in private auction along with all of these folks in this cohort.

To me, as I am looking at this, it looks a bit swampy, and I am thinking, how would we go about preserving our interests so we don't get hosed one way or another. And so we started looking at ways to do that.

Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A.
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Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information

In fact, you talked about a mortgage. So maybe we could use that as a paradigm to compare
how this worked here.

In a mortgage, the borrower wants to buy some real estate, and the bank loans, let's say, $\$ 500,000$ to the borrower to enable them to do that. And in exchange, they take a security interest in the property; is that your understanding of how a mortgage works?
A. Yeah, that's why I think comparing this to a mortgage is totally inappropriate. Because the thing about mortgages is, you're right, the lender actually has an interest that's filed in states with the Secretary of State or whoever, regarding the particular property.

Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. Right.
A. I don't think a mortgage is a fair comparison because of that.
Q. I agree with you, Mr. Livesay. In fact,
when a bank has to foreclose, it recoups its security interest up to the amount, in my example, of the $\$ 500,000$ principal. Anything that the auction of the property achieves above that goes to the borrower, because the borrower is the owner. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
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Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A.
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Q. I'm sorry, you're using the term
"nth-order possibility"?
A. Yeah.
Q. What does that mean?
A. Another word for saying seems like a very

```
remote possibility, right? You look at a tree of
potential outcomes. We simply ran through a lot of
them, some seemed a lot more remote than others, so
we tried to develop an outcome for it. Some of
them, we just said, "This seems like the way," and
we shook hands and signed the deal.
```
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Q. Now, the .WEB auction was comprised of several rounds over two days; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. Now, each round of this auction had a start-of-round price and an end-of-round price; is that correct?
A. That sounds correct, yeah.
Q. So as Mr. Rasco explained it on Friday, if bidders did not want to continue bidding, they put in a bid at the start-of-round price, correct, and that would be treated as an exit-round bid?
A. I believe so.

Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. So if a bidder wanted to continue to the
next round, they submitted the end-of-round price, which was the top price in that range, to ensure that they continued to the next round; is that right?
A. That's my recollection, correct.
Q. And, of course, they could bid anything between the start- and the end-of-round price, right?
A. That's my understanding, or recollection, yeah.
Q. So let's see how that worked in practice. I will represent to you that during the sixteenth round of the .WEB auction the start-of-round price was $\$ 57.5$ million and the end-of-round price was 71.9 million, okay?
A. Okay.
Q. Now, if that is correct --

Actually, Chuck, why don't you put up Exhibit R-10, please. If you could just highlight the sixteenth round.
A. This is not in the binder?
Q. It is not.
A. I will just look at the screen, then.
Q. If you just highlight the row information and then the sixteenth row, please. So there you
see, sir, Round 16 , the start-of-round price was 57.5 million and the end-of-round price was 71.9 million, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, NDC entered a bid of -- I'm sorry, did someone say something? I'm sorry.

NDC entered a bid of 71.9 million, correct?
A. I would assume so if we went to the next round.
Q. Well, you testified that the final bid you submitted was 142 million?
A. I know. I know. I am just saying you're providing me this. I am assuming this is the accurate document, right? Naturally, to get to the next round, I have to assume we bid at the end-of-round price. I don't have any specific recollection of the start-of-round price and the end-of-round price. I am taking you at your word that these are the actual amounts.
Q. From the ICANN website I represent to you it is a fair and accurate information of the information related to the .WEB auction.
A. From that standpoint, I would say we must have entered the end-of-round price if we got to
the next round.
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. Now, I would like you to assume a situation where Mr. Rasco believed that .WEB was not worth more than $\$ 65$ million.

Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A. I don't know. I have no way to assume what Mr. Rasco is thinking or why he would think like that. So you're creating a hypothetical, but go ahead.
Q. I am asking you to assume that that factual situation took place.
A. However improbable, but okay. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q.
A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. And Mr. Rasco, I think you said it is highly implausible, or words to that effect, because, in fact, as we established earlier, Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
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Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. I will move on, Mr. Livesay.

Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A.

Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A.

Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. Are you aware that Afilias has claimed in this IRP that NDC was obligated to disclose the existence and terms of the DAA to ICANN upon the execution of the DAA?
A. I am aware that Afilias has claimed that, yes.
Q. Now, the DAA provided that the existence and terms of the agreement were confidential, right?
A. Correct.
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
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A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q.
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A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. I am just wondering, is that a typo, should it be October 20th, 2016?
A. No, I don't think it is a typo. I don't recall -- there was a reason for that date. I believe it was on -- I don't remember. I don't remember, but there was a reason for that date. I don't recall what it is now.
Q. Okay. If you turn to Page 78, you will see that the DAA was executed on October -- excuse me, on August 25th, 2015, but NDC did not disclose the existence or terms of the DAA to ICANN in 2015, did it?
A. 2015, I don't believe that they did, but I believe -- pretty sure we provided a copy, but I don't know about NU DOT CO.
Q. You provided -- sorry.
A. I said I don't recall whether NU DOT CO provided them a copy in 2015.
Q. Did VeriSign provide ICANN with a copy of the DAA in 2015?
A. I believe -- I am pretty sure that they provided them a copy not too long after the auction, but it's been a while. Whether it was '15 or '16, I thought it was '15, but that's my recollection. That could be off.
Q. Maybe I can help you with the dates. The ICANN auction for .WEB took place in July of 2016. So did VeriSign disclose --
A. Okay. Fair enough. It would have been after the auction. So that's correct.
Q. Okay.
A. My years are flipping in my head right now. Sorry about that.
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A.

Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A.

Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information

Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. So your view was that -- strike that. I am going to move on. I'd like to direct your attention to your witness statement where you write that, Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information

## Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information

 ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Which paragraph?Q. BY MR. LITWIN: Do you agree with that statement?

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Which paragraph?
MR. LITWIN: If you just give me a second,
Mr. Chairman.
MR. VAUGHAN: It is on Page 8.
MR. LITWIN: Yes, Page 8 at Paragraph 21.
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: I am reading that.
Q. BY MR. LITWIN: Now, this is a representation that NDC made to VeriSign in the context of a contract, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. It is fair to say that just because a party represents something is true in an agreement, that does not, in fact, prove that it is true, right?
A. That's the nature of contracts, right.
Q. It is, indeed. That's why we have misrepresentation suits, right.
A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. In fact, that's what VeriSign requested

```
NDC to do in July of 2016, correct?
```

    A. Correct.
    Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
    A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
    Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
    A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
    Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
    A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
    Q. Now, this confirmation was signed two days
    prior to the .WEB auction; is that right?
A. I think the auction started on the 27 th, so maybe one day before.
Q. I'm sorry, one day before.
A. Two days before conclusion. So you win that one. I'm with you on that one.
Q. There you go. Okay.

Now, following execution of this confirmation of understanding, NDC did not disclose the DAA to ICANN prior to the .WEB auction, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. In fact, NDC never disclosed the DAA to ICANN, right? It was only after Afilias had complained to ICANN, after ICANN's external counsel had called VeriSign's external counsel, did VeriSign cause its external counsel to produce the DAA, correct?
A. That's how I understand it was delivered to them, yes.
Q. And when the DAA was finally disclosed, VeriSign designated it as confidential, which precluded ICANN from even informing Afilias or anyone else that it received the agreement between VeriSign and NDC, correct?

MR. JOHNSTON: Excuse me. I'd like to just caution the witness not to disclose communications with counsel or information he only possesses because of a communication with counsel.

MR. LITWIN: I will accept a yes-or-no answer to my question.

THE WITNESS: Could you restate it real quick?
Q. BY MR. LITWIN: Sure. And when the DAA was finally disclosed, VeriSign designated it as confidential, which precluded ICANN from even informing Afilias or anyone else that it had received the agreement between VeriSign and NDC, correct?
A. I can only confirm having been informed that a copy was sent to them from our outside counsel. Anything beyond that, I wasn't involved.
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. Okay. Let me step back. Is it fair to
say -- is it fair to say that in agreements, there are certain things that are confidential and certain things that are not?
A. I guess it would vary on the agreement. Some make all the terms confidential, some make some terms confidential. I think it would vary on the agreement.
Q. So is your testimony here that VeriSign considered the entirety of the DAA to be confidential?
A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. I'd like to direct your attention to Page 15 of your witness statement, and there to Paragraph 38.

There you write, "I was responsible for this transaction. I did not have communications with ICANN before or following the auction process.

Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information

Do you see that, sir?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. Okay. I'd like to place this with the context of some of the context that we heard previously. Are you aware that Mr. Rasco called Ms. Willett of ICANN on July 31st and told her that someone from VeriSign would be reaching out to call Mr. Atallah at ICANN?
A. I may have been told that at the time. I don't recall specifically.
Q.

Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
A. I'm sorry, I don't know. MR. De GRAMONT: I think you said, "Someone did, in fact, call VeriSign." MR. LITWIN: I'm sorry. Let me rephrase.
Q. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
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A. Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
Q. Well, I can refer you, sir, to Tab 10 of your binder.
A. There it is.
Q. Does that help refresh your recollection that the DAA was produced on August 23rd?
A. It is not refreshing my recollection because I don't think I have ever actually seen this document. I only know that it was sent. I don't know the context. This is the first time I recall seeing this particular letter.
Q. And the DAA was only produced after Afilias had complained to ICANN; isn't that right, as you've said earlier?
A. I mean, sadly, Afilias had already been complaining since before the auction. So everything happens after Afilias starts complaining, right.
Q. Mr. Livesay, what evidence do you have that Afilias made any complaints before the .WEB auction?
A. I am not following your question about -you asked about whether I knew when this -- when the letter and the DAA went from our counsel to ICANN's counsel, and then you said -- then you asked, "Was this after or before Afilias" something or other.

So I am trying to make sense of your question.
Q. My question was --
A. Yep.
Q. -- that the DAA was finally produced to ICANN only after Afilias had complained following the conclusion of the .WEB auction?
A. That $I$ can't be sure because I don't know when Afilias first complained. I am not certain if you mean when they made their first complaint to ICANN or -- I don't know.

MR. LITWIN: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to take a few minutes to confer with my colleagues, please.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Very well.
(Whereupon a recess was taken.)
MR. LITWIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: Just a minute. Mr. Chernick is not back.

MR. LITWIN: Oh, I see him now. May I proceed, Mr. Chairman?

ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: Indeed, he's back.
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Yes, go ahead.
Q. BY MR. LITWIN: Mr. Livesay, right before we went to break -- and I am going to read the question and answer back to you -- I asked, "And the DAA was only produced after Afilias had
complained to ICANN; isn't that right?"
You responded, "I mean, sadly Afilias had been complaining since before the auction."

Do you know how -- what the -- when
Afilias first complained to ICANN?
A. I don't. In fact, even when $I$ say "before the auction," I may be confusing it with some of the activities of Donuts, who $I$ believe filed some case in trying to prevent the auction. I might have been misspeaking about who was complaining.

The question about when did Afilias complain, I don't know specifically when they made any first formal complaint to ICANN. I don't know what date that would be.
Q. Okay. But it's fair to say that you were aware that complaints were made to ICANN regarding the .WEB auction prior to the .WEB auction taking place, correct?
A. There was definitely stuff circulating in the swamp about that, yeah.

MR. LITWIN: Okay. Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions. Thank you.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Thank you very much, Mr. Litwin.

Do my colleagues have questions for

Mr. Livesay?
ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: I may have some.
Do you have any questions, Mr. Chairman?
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: I have a few questions, yes.

ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: Perhaps you can go ahead, and then $I$ can ask if there are some unanswered of my questions.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Very well.
Mr. Chernick?
ARBITRATOR CHERNICK: I do not. Thank you.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Thank you.
Mr. Livesay, were you and the executives you were working with on this initiative surprised by the amount that NDC had to bid to win the auction for .WEB?

THE WITNESS: I don't know if "surprised" is the right word. I think we had been watching a lot of TLDs go for higher prices right before then, and I may get the numbers wrong, but I think. APP went for 25, if I recall, something like that. We were just watching this and looking and saying, well, .WEB may have more potential than .APP. Maybe . WEB's broader, maybe it goes for more than
that. 135, yeah, maybe higher than $I$ thought, but, yeah, not crazily surprised, I guess.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: When you say "higher prices," you mean increasingly high prices? Nothing was higher than what was bid for .WEB, as we understand.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I am not aware of anything higher than .WEB. I am simply saying we had seen some TLDs going for tens of million dollars, at least in that area.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU:
Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information

THE WITNESS:
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ARBITRATOR BIENVENU:
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THE WITNESS: Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: I think you mentioned at the beginning of your evidence, but $I$ could be wrong, but $I$ think you mentioned that among the documents that you reviewed for the preparation of your testimony today were the filings that the parties made in the IRP; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Some of them. I don't believe all of them. I read Afilias' document
from -- I think it was May, in which $I$ then -- that was kind of some of the background of creating my written testimony. And then $I$ read the filings that came in after that.

MR. BIENVENU: Oh, you did. So I was going to ask you a question about --

THE WITNESS: Let me clarify. When I say "read," I just breezed through to kind of understand what was going on. I wasn't trying to take up any of the legal arguments. I just want to give you a heads-up on that.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: I would just invite you to comment on a paragraph from the rejoinder memorial of ICANN. This is not something you would have reviewed before signing your witness statement because it was filed on the same day as your witness statement. It was filed on June 1st. But perhaps you have read it since.

THE WITNESS: Do you have it there to show?

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Yes. Perhaps somebody could display on the screen the first page. It is called "ICANN's Rejoinder Memorial." Mr. Litwin, is Chuck available?

MR. LITWIN: Do you have a copy of the
rejoinder? My team is sending it to him right now. I would send my copy, but it has quite a bit of handwritten notes on it.

MR. VAUGHAN: All $I$ need is an exhibit number.

MR. LITWIN: It is not an exhibit. It is a pleading. So someone is going to have to send it to you.

MR. JOHNSTON: Or, Mr. Chairman, if it is short enough and integrated itself, you might read it to the witness. He might be able to answer the question without actually seeing it. If he needs to see it, he can ask.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: I'd like to invite him to comment on three sentences in the middle of a paragraph, and $I$ think it would be more fair if a witness could see the whole paragraph. So I would prefer -- I don't want to read the whole paragraph. Let's see if we can display it.

MR. LITWIN: It will be only one more minute, Mr. Chairman.
(Discussion off the record.)
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: The cover doesn't look like my cover. Is this the one dated June 1st?

MR. LITWIN: I believe it is.
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Okay. Very well. So this is the document, Mr. Livesay. Do you remember seeing this document?

THE WITNESS: Not necessarily by the pleading cover. I definitely read one of ICANN's -- I don't know if it was this one because I read one that must have been filed later than this because it had my name in it. I don't know if I read this ICANN paper.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Anyway, the paragraph on which $I$ would like to invite you to comment is Paragraph 82, if Chuck would display that.

Mr. Livesay, you are welcome to read the whole paragraph. My questions will concern the third, fourth and fifth sentence in that paragraph.

THE WITNESS: All right. Paragraph 82, just give me a second to read it.

Okay. I have read it. What's the questions?

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: So I'd like you to comment on the statement, the fourth line, "Determining that NDC violated the Guidebook is not a simple analysis that is answered on the face of
the Guidebook. There is no Guidebook provision that squarely addresses an arrangement like the DAA."

So I stop there for a minute. Do you agree with these statements?

THE WITNESS: As to the first highlighted one, whether it is easy or difficult to determine if it's been violated, I mean, that's ICANN's perspective. I think they may be using some information I'm not aware of.

Because, again, I don't believe that what we did changed the ownership or would have required any type of request for reevaluation. So I don't know that $I$ necessarily agree that it is not a simple analysis.

And then the second statement, I think that's probably true. There is no guidebook that squarely addresses this anymore than there's one that squarely addresses the way Google constructed its document or the way that -- I forget -- the Dot Tech, that's not expressly addressed either, I don't think.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: And what about the next sentence, "A true determination of whether there was a breach of the Guidebook requires an
in-depth analysis and interpretation of the Guidebook provisions at issue, their drafting history to the extent it exists, how ICANN has handled similar situations, and the terms of the DAA."

THE WITNESS: I think it is certainly fair to say that some analysis needs to be had between the guidebook and the DAA. How in-depth that is, I think, is a matter of opinion, I suppose.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: In your experience, Mr. Livesay, and those you were working with at VeriSign, but, you know, exclude conversations with counsel, is there a mechanism for an applicant or someone interesting in conceiving deals in what you describe as the secondary market, to ask on a confidential basis sort of advisory opinion from ICANN as to the compliant nature of a possible transaction with the applicable program rules?

THE WITNESS: I think maybe you are getting at the question of -- maybe that was so long that I didn't understand your question exactly.

MR. BIENVENU: Let me rephrase it. It was a long question.

Is there a mechanism for someone who, like

VeriSign when it was looking at the DAA, to ask ICANN -- suppose you had a doubt as to whether the DAA was permissible or not. Was there a mechanism to ask on a confidential basis for an advisory opinion on --

THE WITNESS: Okay. I was confused by your use of the term "mechanism." It made it sound like there was some fixed process within the company that $I$ am not aware of.

There was, however, a communication made after the auction. Actually, I don't know specifically a date, but $I$ believe there was a generic question asked by someone from our naming group to someone at ICANN about what would happen if -- you know, in a request for assignment and what's looked at and what types of disqualifications might affect that. I believe a call like that was made, because the intent from our standpoint was to -- at the request for assignment, after NU DOT CO had executed the Registry Agreement, we wanted to feel comfortable that -- I don't want to use the word "perfunctory," but given our history in running TLDs, VeriSign, that is, both financially and technically, we were interested in making sure, is there any other
reason why an assignment would not be approved to us as a potential assignee. Sorry.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: I think I know what you're referring to in terms of asking what is the practice of ICANN when it is to approve an assignment.

But I meant to situate my question at another point in time, an earlier point in time, when you and your colleagues were engaged or approaching the point where you would engage with potential counterparties to strike a deal like the one you made in the DAA.

Did you consider asking ICANN whether the time of the transaction, the way you proposed to structure it, complied with the guidebook?

THE WITNESS: I don't recall having a discussion specifically. I think you're asking why did we -- we could have just asked ICANN ahead of the auction, or maybe that's what you're asking. I am not really sure.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: I am asking whether when you were contemplating entering into the DAA --

THE WITNESS: Right.
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: -- whether you
discussed seeking an advisory opinion from ICANN as
to the -- as to the compliant nature of the
agreement you were looking at with the program
rules?
THE WITNESS:
Redacted - Third-Party Designated Confidential Information
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Very well. Thank
you, Mr. Livesay.
Mr. Johnston, any redirect, and do you
want to take --
ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: Mr. Chairman --

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Oh, sorry. Excuse me.

ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: Everybody's tired, but I think I can still survive. It is 9:38 p.m. for me. So it is starting to be dinnertime in the Spanish way.

Mr. Livesay, I still have a few questions for you. This is Catherine Kessedjian. I am speaking from Paris, and I'd like to come back to one question that was asked by the Chair.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.
ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: About the relationship, the business and, I would say, financial and whatever you want to call it, relationship between the .WEB and the . COM and the other gTLDs that we have there.

Am I correct to think that you were a vice president of VeriSign for strategy and management in 2009 and 2010?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: Thank you. So you must have a sense of the business?

THE WITNESS: No, not the naming business. At that time, the company was predominantly two businesses. The certificate business, digital
certificates. In fact, at that time the digital certificate business was about 50 percent larger than the DNS business. I believe it was about 60/40, I want to say, out of a billion, roughly.

I come from the history of the certificate business. When I was hired in, I worked directly for the chairman, Jim Bidzos, at the time, to help look at the splitting of the two businesses, but $I$ come from that half of the world.

ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: Okay. Very good. So it was only later in 2014 that you had to become aware, if you will, of the business of the gTLDs?

THE WITNESS: A lot of rapid learning, yes.

ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: Yes. I am absolutely confident that you are capable of that.

Now, we read in several reports and particularly a report by J.P. Morgan that it was the understanding of the business that, in fact, . WEB was going to be a competitor for almost every single gTLD because of the nature of the word "WEB."

Now, what is your reaction to those reports? Could you tell us a bit more about that?

THE WITNESS: I don't know that $I$ am
familiar with the report you're referring to. I read a lot of things back then. I definitely recall hearing both, you know, that .WEB looked like a great potential true generic. That certainly played into reasons why VeriSign might be interested in it, which is selling domains and broadening the availability of domains is what VeriSign does, and this looked like a good opportunity for that.

ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: Okay. Thank you very much.

Now, I want to understand another point that was not asked within the cross or by the Chair. We heard since the beginning of the hearing -- so last week we have been at this hearing -- that, in fact, ICANN has always favored what they call a private auction. In fact, ICANN favors that the contention set people, entities that are in the contention set, basically do it by themselves. ICANN would much prefer not to have the public auction.
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Could you explain to us why is it that VeriSign was so adamant to actually have a public auction and not making it private?

THE WITNESS: Sure, sure. One of the things that, as $I$ got more into looking at how the contention sets were resolved, in any string that has more than one, how do you resolve it? I definitely read and familiarized myself, and it was definitely made clear that ICANN prefers a private resolution.

But as I talked to people in different contention sets, both in .WEB and some others that we looked at, what became curious to me was I appreciated why ICANN would want the contention set to resolve itself, because at that point in theory all the potential antagonists have agreed, great solution.

The thing that looked unusual to me is that whether it is a private auction or other private resolution, in the private auction case, the winner is paying or -- another way to look at it is buying off the losers. That has a weird
collusive look to it for someone like VeriSign.
So to have a situation where we are going to somehow bid and pay off all the losers seemed troubling, and that's one.

And then in the other private resolution, in fact, where it is not necessarily auction, but just contention set members are, I don't know, resolving through agreement and having postauction transfers, it just -- the lack of transparency in the conduct between the contention set members seemed unusual, and the fact that it was paying off people to lose was troubling.

I think this even came back to prove itself in reality.
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Some of those things seem to have come back in play the following year leading up to the auction. For example, I was surprised to see that the other contention members were still trying to contact NDC during the blackout period. That kind of behavior is kind of the weird behavior we didn't want to be a part of in a private resolution. I realize the blackout period doesn't authorize that,
but it was happening anyway.
I also recall that Afilias made not one, but two offers to somehow promise NU DOT CO an amount. At one point $I$ believe it was 16.8 and then they came back and raised the number to 17.02 or something like that. I'm like, wow, this is kind of weird stuff we were wondering about. How is one contention set member able to simply offer money to someone else? It just seemed weird to me.
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ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: You are not mentioning one point, which may be important, which is the fact that VeriSign being secretly involved, there was less of a possibility to control the auction and the price.

THE WITNESS: I don't know if that's the case. In a private auction, one could see -that's the thing, the way privates are resolved was kind of a bit of a black box.

ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: Okay.
THE WITNESS: That was kind of -- the unknowns just seemed -- let's go with something
that's straight and open.
ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: Okay. Thank you. Now, you said that at some stage in your testimony tonight -- tonight for me -- that VeriSign didn't want -- or VeriSign had the confidentiality clauses in the DAA because without them, it would be concerned that it would -- and I use your terms, at least the ones that I have noted. I don't have the real live feed. I didn't sign up for that -upsetting the path. That's your words, at least from what $I$ have taken as notes.

Now, do you refer to that as a concern that VeriSign, that if it were discovered by anybody that VeriSign was behind one of the contention set applicants, it would really be a problem? Could you explore more what you meant by upsetting the path?

THE WITNESS: I guess the only way I can say it is all the alleged claims we are hearing now from Afilias, however wrong I think they are, we would have heard. But that wasn't really the main drive. The main drive was we figured we'd be reviewed and have to take that when it came out.

The point was there looked like a path, that there's a specific point where it would be
evaluated, whether we were an appropriate assignee or not of the RA. So I think we just looked at a particular path that looked like it would work, and it still required disclosure, eventually, and that's the path we are on.

ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: Thank you,
Mr. Livesay.
No more questions, Mr. Chairman.
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Thank you. And apologies for forgetting to ask you for your questions.

Mr. Chernick, any questions?
ARBITRATOR CHERNICK: No thank you.
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Mr. Johnston, do you want to take a few minutes before you start your redirect or do you want to start right away?

MR. JOHNSTON: I think two minutes would be helpful, but $I$ think it will only take two minutes.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Very well. Let us know when you're ready.

MR. JOHNSTON: Can we have a room, JD?
MR. ENGLISH: Sure. Give me one second.
(Whereupon a recess was taken.)
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Mr. Johnston, are we
ready to go?
MR. JOHNSTON: Yes, and no. We have no questions, and we just thank Mr. Livesay for his testimony.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Very well.
Mr. Livesay, I would like to say the very same thing on behalf of the members of the Panel. Thank you very much for your evidence and thank you for your time today.

THE WITNESS: Thank you all for clocking in from all different parts of the world. I have it easy here in California time. My apologies to France. It is past my dinnertime there. Okay. Great.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Thank you, sir. JD, we'll remove the witness from the room.

MR. ENGLISH: The witness is gone from the room and the meeting.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Very good. I think this concludes the evidentiary portion of this hearing. Perhaps $I$ can begin by reverting to the question foreshadowed in my opening remarks this morning and ask whether the parties are satisfied in the manner in which this hearing is being
conducted and whether there is any concern in this regard that either party would wish to raise.

I'll begin with directing the question to Mr. Ali on behalf of the claimant.

MR. ALI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
As I indicated last week and, I must say, somewhat emotionally, for which I apologize to the Panel, we on our side did not believe, do not feel that the prehearing phase was handled very well by the Panel, putting unnecessary, undue pressure on counsel in a matter that is evidently extremely complicated and one which we had a very significant record to deal with and a number of witnesses.

With that having been said, I think I speak on behalf of the client and our entire team to say that the hearing has been handled extremely well, of course with great help from our technologists and the support, but so far as the hearing itself is concerned, from Afilias' side, we have no concerns. Thank you for managing such a good hearing and for very incisive and very well-formed questions.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Thank you, Mr. Ali. Mr. LeVee, can I ask the same question to the respondent?

MR. LeVEE: ICANN has no objections to how any of these past several weeks have been handled. Certainly the parties have had -- I said certainly the parties have had vigorous exchanges and the last several weeks have been extraordinarily busy for everyone.

I think the Panel handled it extremely well, given that we had set specific deadlines and that we had last week scheduled in Chicago and the Panel made it work and then added these days. And ICANN is extraordinarily appreciative of the Panel's efforts, its dedication, its questions and, candidly, its patience. Because I think patience was required over the course of the last seven days of this hearing.

And may $I$ say, it may well be that virtual proceedings like this are here to stay for some unknown and perhaps long periods of time.

I think these seven days showed that it can work and that we can put together people in multiple locations, including time zones that are nine hours from mine. And I think, candidly, I did not expect it would work as well as it did. And yes, we had a little bit of technology issues come across, but people will get better at that as time
goes by. Even in a thunderstorm, Paris didn't lose its Wi-Fi connection tonight.

So we are very pleased, and we would like to thank not only the members of the Panel, but opposing counsel, obviously, our client, folks from the VeriSign side.

We thank you. This has been seven very challenging but ultimately days that made sense. And we thank you, and we don't want to do it again any time soon, but we think it worked.

So thank you, Mr. Chairman, for allowing me to say that.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Thank you,
Mr. LeVee.
May I then ask of the Amici, beginning with Mr. Marenberg on behalf of NDC?

MR. MARENBERG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Can you all hear me clearly?

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Very clearly.
MR. MARENBERG: Thank you.
First I would like to thank the Panel for your hard work and your diligence, your patience and, frankly, your graciousness in handling the seven days of testimony that we've had.

And I also express agreement with

Mr. LeVee that $I$ think that the virtual nature of this proceeding has been relatively seamless.

And I think if $I$ were a hotel or an airline, I would worry because I think we are demonstrating here that these trials -- or at least trials that do not involve juries, can be undertaken and undertaken well with the technology available now.

On those grounds, I have nothing but praise for the Panel and praise for TRIALanywhere and the proceedings and the technology.

I do have some concerns that $I$ want to raise on behalf of Amici, and I want to preface it by saying that $I$ have no intention of relitigating Procedural Order 1 here that limited the role of Amici in this instance. That's not what $I$ am saying now.

I do want to express concerns, concerns that are particularly acute to me in light of the testimony of -- I think it was Mr. Disspain, where he suggested that ICANN would give, I think -- I don't know whether he used "deference" or whether he would take into consideration and give serious consideration to whatever recommendations this Panel made.

Here's why I have concerns about that. This has not been a true adversarial proceeding from NDC's -- I'll let VeriSign speak for itself, but certainly from NDC's point of view.

We do not have the ability to put on any witnesses of our own. We have not had the ability to demand that Afilias stop playing games with this Panel and not withdraw the witnesses that it withdrew so that we couldn't cross-examine those witnesses and explain to the Panel that what they are accusing NDC of doing and VeriSign of doing is functionally and substantively no different from what they do every day.

If we had their witnesses here, we could have -- well, I could still not have cross-examined them, but perhaps someone could have. But the fact that $I$ couldn't cross-examine them and my client's rights are at issue or potentially at issue is a problem with the proceeding, not a problem with the Panel, but it is a problem that suggests that the Panel needs to be very careful, I'll just say it that way, with the, quote, "recommendation that it is making," because it is doing so on the basis of a somewhat one-sided presentation.

By the way, and I think Mr. Ali will
object to this, but $I$ believe that the Panel should be taking and making adverse inferences from the fact that Afilias withdrew all its witnesses. That is, as $I$ understand it, a traditional prerogative of the Panel when witnesses are under control of a party and they are withdrawn for no reason at all.

Now, I am going to guess that Mr. Ali is going to object to my suggesting that because, after all, $I$ am only an Amici and not a party, and I have no right to make that suggestion.

But if that's true, that goes to, again, the limitations of this proceeding as reflected from the perspective of my client, NDC, whose rights are at issue here.

There was another instance, and, again, I take no umbrage of it, and I think that the Chair was quite patient with me when $I$ interrupted the proceedings at a time where I thought a witness who was commenting on the actions of my client was interrupted by counsel and not able to give a full explanation of the answer.

Now, I think the Panel quite rightly said, "Under the rules, you're an Amici, you have no right to do that under the rules we set up. And, Mr. Marenberg, please be quiet." I think I was
after that.
But it goes again to the limitations of the proceedings from the perspective of NDC. Again, I suspect VeriSign feels similarly to this. This is, in a sense, an unbalanced proceeding. I think the evidence -- and I am not going to say a lot about this. The evidence has come out quite favorably to the positions that were taken, but it has come out despite the fact that this is an uneven proceeding and unbalanced proceeding.

Therefore, those are the comments I want to make. It is no criticism of the Panel at all. It is the nature of the process that we are engaged in.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Thank you, Mr. Marenberg.

We'll hear from the parties in a minute as to what was -- what is going to be proposed in terms of posthearing submissions, but you will have an opportunity in the course of posthearing submissions of making representations of the sort that you have made now, about what should or should not be our recommendations.

As you know, the question $I$ 'm posing has a
narrower objective. But anyway, your concerns and comments are reflected in the record.

Mr. Johnston.
MR. JOHNSTON: Yes. I would agree with what Mr. Marenberg says. I am going to make my comments very pointed and brief.

I thought the Panel has been thoughtful, prepared, courteous. I don't know most of the Panel members. I haven't had experience with most of you before, so $I$ can tell you that $I$ was surprised and impressed.

I have been an arbitrator before, and I don't think $I$ have ever been more prepared or courteous than the Panel has demonstrated during this hearing.

My concern has nothing to do with the Panel. My concern is the combination of the system, IRP system, and the way, in my view -- and I am not going to repeat my opening statement -the way it's been misused here to try and bring claims asking for resolution of issues and relief directly against parties who cannot be parties by virtue of the rules, an ambiguity that lasted throughout this hearing as to what the jurisdiction would be that the Panel would rule on.

So we have on the one hand a system that did not allow Amici to appear as parties, including, for the reasons Mr. Marenberg pointed out, while at the same time we had a claimant asking for relief directly against unrepresented parties, and then from day one objecting to participation by Amici, trying to keep us out of the proceeding in virtually every way. Ultimately there was some relenting on that, but as Mr. Marenberg summarized, it has created a one-sided proceeding.

So my concern is basically were the Panel to go beyond what we believe the Panel's jurisdiction is and either in their findings regarding such matters as to whether the DAA is consistent with the guidebook or awards relief, such as undoing an auction and setting a price for Afilias to walk off with .WEB, which is what Afilias has asked the Panel to do.

I don't know that there's a way that the Panel can remedy the system, but one step that would remedy, I guess, our concerns is if the Panel adopted our notion of its jurisdiction and stayed within it.

Because once it goes beyond that
definition of jurisdiction, it directly impacts our interests without an equal or fair representation.

But in terms of what the Panel's done as opposed to the way the rules are attempted to be used here, I only have compliments to offer.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Thank you very much, Mr. Johnston.

Can I ask, then, for the parties' thoughts about posthearing submissions? I assume you have had time over the past 24 hours to discuss that.

Mr. Ali, do you want to?
MR. ALI: Yes, we have, Mr. Chairman. I think we agreed on a date for the filing -- the first round filing of the posthearing submissions, which is October 8th; is that correct, Jeff?

MR. LeVEE: Yes. I don't know that the Amici have confirmed their agreement to that date, but ICANN and Afilias have agreed that we will submit our posthearing brief on 8 October of 2020.

If I might add, just so there's no ambiguity, $I$ would propose that we do so at 8:00 p.m. Pacific so that everyone knows exactly what time they should be submitting their briefs.

MR. ALI: That's fine. Of course, this is subject to your comments earlier, Mr. Chairman,
about the Panel having -- needing time to define the questions and consider the evidence that you have received over the course of the past seven days.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Did you discuss with your colleagues, Mr. Ali, the question of the length of the posthearing submissions?

MR. ALI: We did, and as you can imagine, we had lengthy emails about the length, and we couldn't reach agreement.

Our basic question is that --
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: I am glad everyone's sense of humor remains intact.

MR. ALI: Hopefully the posthearing briefs will be shorter than the length of the emails.

In any event, our position is that we should have the same number of pages as ICANN and Amici put together, so that if each of the ICANN and Amici have 50 pages each, we get 150 pages simply because we need to respond to all of the various arguments.

As we have seen, you have got a very developed and large evidentiary record now based on this hearing, and as we have seen previously, particularly with the Amici, they cross-refer to
each other. So certainly it would be extremely imbalanced if we were to be given the same number of pages as each of ICANN and the Amici individually.

So that's the starting -- that's the discussion that we had, and ultimately I think we would have to leave it with the Panel.

I would just make one other point, is that the evidence that's been elicited here has been through our cross-examination. So we would need to have the opportunity to put all of that evidence in context.

The other point is that insofar as simultaneous submissions are concerned, it doesn't really matter what the page limits are because at this point, we don't have any further proceedings. What we are trying to do is to put the evidence in context and to help you, the panelists, by bringing all of the various points, to crystallize them, to put them in the context for you.

At the end of the day, it doesn't -- it is not to our client's benefit to deluge you with paper, but rather to present the case as clearly as we can now that we have a full evidentiary record.

So that's where we are coming from, sir.

MR. LeVEE: May I?
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Yes. I thought he was paving the way for the number, and you would give us the number.

MR. LeVEE: Well, we did have a number of discussions. Mr. Ali started, as he just indicated, off the discussion by indicating that he did not --

MR. ALI: Jeff, may $I$ just interrupt you for a second? Vice President Biden has just nominated Kamala Harris for vice president. Historic moment. Not to interrupt this historic moment that we ourselves are engaged in here.

MR. LeVEE: So Mr. Ali did initially suggest that the page limit -- that there not be a page limit. ICANN strongly opposes that. I think there should be limitations.

And then the issue was, well, should Afilias have some additional pages because they are responding to more briefs, but we only are going to file one brief. So Afilias -- we have simultaneous briefs, so Afilias isn't going to be responding to briefs. They are going to be submitting their briefs just as ICANN is submitting its brief, just as the Amici are submitting theirs.

So under the equality of treatment principle, ICANN very much would like to have the same number of pages as Afilias. I understand, but the Amici can confirm separately, that they have agreed that whatever the page limit ICANN and Afilias are given, that they would have that number of pages combined. So by way of example, if ICANN and Afilias each had 75 pages, then the Amici combined would submit 75 pages.

I will tell you that ICANN proposed that we submit a brief of 50 pages because we think 50 would be sufficient, and we're not looking to have the Panel have another set of briefs that are literally hundreds of pages long.

I think it is ultimately up to the Panel to determine the length, but $I$ do think that this is a situation where ICANN and Afilias should have the same number of pages. If we don't use the number that we are given, that's our prerogative, and if the Amici are willing to -- still willing to have collectively the number of pages that ICANN and Afilias have, I think that that would be extraordinarily fair. It would be consistent with the ICDR arbitration rules.

So that would be our proposal. I'll be
candid, Mr. Ali said he wanted 150 pages. We have no interest in giving the Panel 450 pages or 350 pages, whatever that would work out with the Amici. We think it is too much. There has been a lot of ink provided to the Panel already, positions that have been taken, and now the parties need to comment on the what the evidence was.

And while it is true that Afilias did most of the cross-examining, some of that was because they withdrew witnesses. So the parties are where we are, and I think ICANN's proposal is extraordinarily reasonable and consistent with the rules.

MR. ALI: Chairman, may I make a suggestion here?

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Sure.
MR. ALI: Insofar as the responses to the Amici is concerned, the Panel, of course, will be aware of the page limits. There the parties have agreed that the Amici shall each be permitted to file separate briefs of 50 pages in length and that the parties shall each be permitted to file briefs 100 pages in length.

As Mr. LeVee says, if we choose not to use 100 pages, that's, of course, our respective
prerogatives. That would be, I think, a good way of resolving this matter, given the fact that that's what we agreed, and that's what the Panel accepted previously. So 50 pages for VeriSign, 50 pages for NDC, and 100 pages each for ICANN and Afilias would be my suggestion.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Very well. You will leave it with us.

MR. JOHNSTON: Can Amici be heard on this, please?

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Yes, of course.
MR. JOHNSTON: At least I -- I am not sure about Mr. Marenberg, but two months to prepare postclosing briefs in a seven-day trial is extraordinary in our view, and -- my view, it's a lot of time.

As one of my colleagues said, memories fade, and we just had this trial and hundreds of pages of briefing immediately before the trial. It seems to me that this could be pushed along more quickly, which might be easier on everybody because they will have this fresh in mind and not have to reinvent the wheel in starting to think about their posthearing briefs.

I am very cognizant that the Panel would
like time to pose some questions, and I think that's a superb idea because it will hopefully guide the briefs in the right direction as opposed to, again, going over the whole history as though this trial never took place.

So we started off proposing two weeks and then went up to a month. But in terms of our position, two months is a bit long.

So we would ask that it be a little bit shorter and that the briefs not, again, be in the hundreds of pages of length. There are -- you know, it sometimes gets lost there that there are people with other rights and interests in moving this forward than just Afilias and ICANN.

These are people who went in and paid their money at the auction and would like to see this resolved and back to the Board to follow the proper processes, at least as we see those processes.

So we have some concern about the length of time that's been set, and we have concerns about the size of the briefs that Afilias wants because, again, we have just had this trial. We are not going to retry everything, hopefully, again based on briefs, although I have no doubt that the

Afilias briefs will be excellent. We have seen quite a few of them already.

ARBITRATOR CHERNICK: Mr. Chairman, is it contemplated that upon the submission of the posthearing briefs, the matter will be submitted for decision to the Panel without necessity of further argument?

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Well, that was the next point $I$ was going to raise. You recall that in the charts -- the chart, singular, entitled "Topics for Prehearing Conference" that was delivered to the Panel after the prehearing conference of 29 July, there was a box for closing argument. There was disagreement -- sorry.

I think everybody agreed that it would be at the discretion of the Panel, and the way we put it was that we would decide after receiving posthearing briefs, but that in the event that we considered that closing argument would be helpful, we would agree today or in the ensuing days on a date for that purpose. It would be penciled into everybody's agenda, and if ever we need to use it, the date will be reserved.

So that was the last topic I was going to cover.

I think normally we should not need closing argument in addition to a prehearing -sorry, posthearing briefs, but, you know, the question having been raised by the parties, I am happy to leave it aside as a possibility. But we should fix the date right away so that everybody is available if that is to happen.

I don't foresee it as needed at the present time, but --

MR. ALI: Has the Panel discussed potential dates so that we can consider?

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: We have not. We have not. That's a good suggestion, Mr. Ali. Maybe we should send you a list of dates and the parties can let us know what works for everybody.

MR. ALI: If I may just comment on what Mr. Johnston said regarding the timing of the posthearing briefs. Number one, state the obvious, the parties agreed on a date.

Number two, harkening back to the comment I made regarding the prehearing stage of this arbitration, there is -- there are commercial interests, of course, at play, but there are also human frailties and human abilities. And my team members are all taking a much-deserved break.

And then we have commitments as well that in the way -- I had initially started out with Mr. LeVee asking for October $15 t h$ or $16 t h$, and we compromised. I think I said October 9th, and ICANN wanted October 8 because of other commitments that ICANN has. So I think that that is fairly reasonable, and I think a customary length of time in international arbitration.

Certainly we are not intending to regurgitate everything, but you do have an ample evidentiary record from this hearing, and we do feel that the Amici submission allowances of page numbers is very reasonable and fits with what has already been agreed by the parties.

MR. LeVEE: If I can just clarify one thing? ICANN had originally proposed late September. Mr. Ali had come back and said that they had commitments, so we did go back and forth. On that basis, we landed on October 8. So that is what Afilias and ICANN agreed to following negotiation. It is the case that Amici did express concern.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Very well. Leave it with us.

I will mention, insofar as the list of
questions from the Panel is concerned, these will be targeted questions on issues about which we would like further assistance from the parties.

For the rest, we leave it to counsel to structure their posthearing brief in the way that they consider most useful to bring it all together, knowing that we have the evidence of witnesses.

ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: Please remember our request for a common list of exhibits and a common chronology, factual chronology.
(Discussion off the record.)

ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: Please remember our request of -- and then the two things.
(Discussion off the record.)
ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: The first one is a common list of exhibits chronologically ordered, and then a factual common chronology so that we can actually have common paths to what happened. Factual, all the essential facts in this case.

By the way, if you do that, and we really require that you do it, it will be easier for your posthearing briefs because you would not have to spend too much time on the facts.

MR. ALI: If I may, Professor Kessedjian, we will do our best. My experience, it is not easy
to agree on certain facts.

ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: I am not saying it is easy.

MR. ALI: But I would -- I think we will exercise our best efforts to provide the facts that we can agree on.

I was just going to ask if the Panel has a date in mind by which you would like that, or is this to be submitted simultaneously with the posthearing briefing?

ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: We didn't discuss that, but from my part, I would be happy to have it with the posthearing brief.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Yes, that would be good.

All right. Anything else from the parties or the Amici?

MR. ALI: If I may just take a quick -just peek over my computer screen to my other colleagues to see if they have anything.

Ethan, if there's anything, just text me.

Just one second, Mr. Chairman.

A very good question has been raised by one of my colleagues, which is insofar as the facts, the common list of facts are concerned, is
that also to be agreed with the Amici?
ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Well, I think it would be useful to submit it to the Amici for comments once a first agreed chronology has been generated between the parties, yes.

MR. ALI: Okay. We will try and work that out, and hopefully we don't have to revert to the Panel, but we'll do our best to achieve the objective and fully understood what you're looking for.

That having been said, from my side, again, $I$ would like to thank my colleagues on all the other screens insofar as Amici and ICANN are concerned. Of course, the Panel, for all of your incredible work. I've certainly been extremely impressed, as has already been expressed, with the precision of your questions. It is not an easy matter to grapple with.

I have to particularly let Mr. Chernick know that since $I$ was a little boy, I have always loved Charlie Chaplin but have been petrified by clowns. So spending seven days looking at the clown has, I think, perhaps cured me of my phobia.

ARBITRATOR CHERNICK: So something has been gained by this proceeding.

MR. ALI: Yes, absolutely.
And, of course, to TRIALanywhere. To Balinda, to all of those who have not appeared on the screens who have helped to make this production happen, my deep gratitude.

I hope people do get some time to rest and recover before we get into the -- into the rigors of the fall. My thanks to all.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Mr. LeVee, nothing else on your part?

MR. LeVEE: I am not going to repeat what I said before. I thank everyone. I hope in an unusual summer that everyone has the opportunity to have a nice vacation or holiday someplace. I wish everyone well and thank you all.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: Thanks.
Mr. Johnston, Mr. Marenberg, no other matter to --

MR. MARENBERG: In the area where I do a lot of work, which is entertainment, they'd be cuing the music at the Oscars by now.

ARBITRATOR BIENVENU: We have gone through our agenda, so it remains to me to bring this hearing to a close.

But before I do so, I would like to
express the Panel's gratitude to each and every member of the teams of lawyers and support staff that contributed to the representation of the parties and the Amici in this IRP.

I would say, if I may say so, the parties and Amici are extremely well-represented in this case, and it truly is a pleasure for my colleagues and I to work with professionals of such high caliber.

We also appreciate the exemplary courtesy and cooperation displayed among counsel throughout the hearing. It makes it very easy for the Panel when that happens.

We also wish to thank JD and his team for their excellent services throughout the hearing. Everything went very smoothly.

And last but not least, thank you to our court reporter and those who support her for their services in connection with this hearing.

So I know that on this note, my colleagues join me in wishing everyone well. Stay safe, in good health, and if $I$ may end on a positive note, we will get through this pandemic, and we will meet in person again once we get to the end of this tunnel.

So thank you all and have a good end of day.

MR. MARENBERG: Thank you.
ARBITRATOR KESSEDJIAN: Good-bye, everyone.

MR. ENGLISH: Good-bye. Thanks everyone. (Whereupon the proceedings were concluded at 1:38 p.m.) ---○00---
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