Unified Access Model for Continued Access to Full WHOIS Data - Comparison of Models Submitted by the Community Working Draft as of: 18 June 2018

Normality of the service service of the service of the service of the service service of the service of the service of the service of the service service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the ser	Working Draft as of: 18 June 2018	Unified Access Model	IPC/BC (v 1.5)	Philly Special (v 2.0)
and with the body service sector of the sector of th	Who would be eligible for continued	legitimate interests who are bound by codes of conduct requiring adequate	categories of organizations can gain access if they (1) require access for specific, legitimate and lawful purposes, and (2) are properly	Authenticated users bound to measures
and a constraint of the second	access to WHOIS data via the Unified Access Model?	Users not part of an eligible user group would be required to request data from registries and registrars on an individual	limited to): (a) Cybersecurity & OpSec Investigators; (b) Intellectual Property Owners and Agents; (c) Public Safety and Health Orgs; and Verification and Compliance Companies and Service Providers. (Model does not address	
are original instructures initial instructures in the instructure instru	Who would determine categories of eligible users?	identify or facilitate the identification of categories of eligible user groups. Based on this ICANN org to engage with governments (via the GAC) to identify	For Cybersecurity & OpSec	
And we deter in the register is consistent of the register is a set of the register is consistent is consistent is the register is consistent is the register is consistent is co	How would eligibility requirements be developed?	individual governments would determine which authorities should be granted access. <u>For private third parties</u> : The GAC would be consulted on identifying relevant bodies with the appropriate level of	Security community For Intellectual Property Owners and Agents: To be developed by leveraging staff and software of existing Trademark Clearinghouse (TMCH) For Public Safety and Health Orgs: Not yet defined For Verification and Compliance Companies and Service Providers: Accreditation would be provided by an ICANN "Accreditation Review Panel", which would publish the	
Image: set of the second percent set of the sec	Who would be required to provide access	Both registries and registrars	Both registries and registrars	between registrar and registrant);
Automatical descriptioninitial descriptionSet as a self to additional years to make any set as a self to additional years to make any set as a self to additional years to make any set as a self to additional years to additional years and set any set as a self to additional years to additional years and set any set as a self to additional years to additional years and set any set as a self to additional years to additional years and set any set as a self to additional years to additional years and set any set and set any set additional years to additional years and set any set and set any set additional years to additional years and set any set and set any set additional years to additional years and years a	to non-public Whois data? What would be the overall process to authenticate legitimate users?	provided token/credential from centralized "credential provider" <u>Option 2</u> : authenticated user to be provided token/credential from "authenticating bodies"	would be vetted by accreditation authority. Upon accreditation, users would be given credentials to access	as a backup Decentralized verification process to permit third-party organizations the
renegation provide sectors to all consistent will be explored preserved an explored preserved and any explored preserved and explored preserved	What scope of data would be available to authenticated users?	WHOIS data to level/scope of data consistent with identified legitimate purpose Option <u>2</u> : query-based access to full		
And it is before the control of the sector is a sector	Would registry operators and registrars be required to provide access to all authenticated users?	consistent with legitimate purpose, and		
Access to product to	Would the identity of those submitting Whois queries be known to registrants or other third parties?	would ordinarily be available to registrants and data protection authorities, and possibly to ICANN for	entity providing access to the WHOIS queries. Logged data would remain confidential by default and revealed only under legal justifications.	logged on permissioned distributed ledger maintained by registrar (or registry if relevant). Not clear from the
use the any test as associated with the interfaction pressor memory int	Would the model incorporate transparency requirements?	authenticated users Required to maintain logs of queries, unless logging not required pursuant to	reporting to accreditation authority about over-extensive use, mirroring or other abuses. Third-party firm would randomly	
Intercent Main Compare to the solution by the control of a solution and the contr	Are there any fees associated with authentication process?	Requires further study	renewal fees sufficient to cover authentication process and onboarding. Model also includes renewal user fees, with further access	impose micropayment on legitimate users accessing non-public WHOIS data, rather than registrants bearing cost of
Kindl there be central repository with the repository with the central repository with the repository with the central repository with the repo	Would there be a process to review the effectiveness of the Unified Access Model?	-		
Production (Mission Construction) Production (Mission) Production (Mission) <thproduction (mission)<="" th=""> <</thproduction>	Would there be a central repository of WHOIS data?	maintain current requirements to	to maintain current requirements to operate a WHOIS service Temporary solution: access to WHOIS data should be administered by ICANN, who would be responsible for delivering contracted parties information re: accredited	maintain current requirements to
what technical method would be used to spitce in of tokens and/or contracted parts with the contract operated parts with the contract operated parts with the contract operated parts with the contracted parts with the contract operated parts with the	What technical method will be required for registries and registrars to provide access to non-public Whois data?	0	federated authentication system for Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP) based on OpenID Connect or use of Registration Directory Service Accreditation Authority (RDSAA) for Transport Layer Security (TLS) client authentication in conjunction with	-
Codes of Conduct/Sofeguards Models appears to include a single common safeguards across codes of conduct Does not include codes of conduct, but rights and obligations for access gevened by Access Agreement between ergistram ad unterticated user, along accreditation / certification mechanism Does not include codes of conduct, but rights and abligations for access gevened by Access Agreement between ergistram ad unterticated user, along accreditation / certification mechanism How would Codes of Conduct/Safeguards for accessing data be developed? Standard safeguards; to be developed autibenticating body Safeguards for accessing data would be established in an Access Agreement, which is under development as part of the model What types of safeguards would be necluded in Codes of Conduct? Itematistics accessing data (2) Forcedures for accessing data; (2) Forcedures for accessing data; (3) Security measures; (4) Limitations on noward transfers of dats; (2) Security measures; (4) Limitations of the model Safeguards for accessing data would be established in an Access Agreement, which is under development as part of the model What mechanism would be used to require compliance of Codes of Conduct? Model contemplates an agreement of ther method to bind user to comply with code of conduct? Model contemplates an agreement of ther method to bind user to comply with code of conduct? Complaints re: hereach of code of conduct re: unauthorized access or improper use of data, to be directed to complaints re: registry/registrar performance of Whois service, to directed to ICANN compliance Complaints re: accuracy to be addressed by sponsoring registrar complaints re: repistry/registrar performance of Whois service, to	What technical method would be used to authenticate users?	certificates as the technical method for	authenticated users should be operated by ICANN and administrated via the existing RADAR system. Contracted parties validate requesting IP address with the centralized list of whitelisted IP addresses, and are then able to deliver access to single record queries and automated access via port 43. A similar approach should be developed and implemented for volume WHOIS queries until such	identity credentials. Digital identity credentials used to securely access RDAP platform using
mechanism policy How would Codes of Conduct/safeguards: to be developed in consultation with the GAC and European Data Protection Board Safeguards specific to eligible user group: to be developed by the authenticating body Does not appear to be addressed by the model Safeguards for accessing data would be established in an Access Agreement, which is under development as part of the model What types of safeguards would be neluded in Codes of Conduct? (1) Limitations on use of data; (2) Procedures for accessing data; (3) Security measures; (4) Limitations on wowd transfers of data; (5) General data protection obligations of the data controller; (6) Safeguards for accessing data would be controller; (6) Fair and transparent processing requirements; (7) Other safeguards/public policy considerations Model contemplates binding terms for misuse. Legitimate user required to execute an Access Agreement, which subject data; (5) General data protection obligations of the data controller; (6) Safeguards for misuse. Legitimate user required to execute an Access Agreement subjecting the user to aftersing data subject. What mechanism would be used to require compliance of Codes of Conduct? Model contemplates an agreement or other method to bind user to comply with code of conduct? Model contemplates binding terms for misuse. Legitimate user required to execute an Access agreement subject could be made whole upon successful data subject. What mechanism would be used to ther method to bind user to comply with code of conduct? Complaints re: breach of code of conduct (e.g. neuthorized access or improper use of data), to be directed to authenticating body Complaints	Codes of Conduct/Safeguards Would there be multiple Codes of Conduct?	common safeguards across codes of	Models appears to include a single code of conduct. Access would be provided to approved parties under the approved code of conduct or	rights and obligations for access governed by Access Agreement between registrar and authenticated user, along
Mhat types of safeguards would be (1) Limitations on use of data; (2) (1) Limitations on use of data; (2) Safeguards (3) Security measures; (4) Limitations on Socurity measures; (4) Limitations on Safeguards for accessing data; (3) Security measures; (4) Limitations on use of data; (5) Socurity measures; (4) Limitations on Safeguards for accessing data would be Mat types of Safeguards Safeguards for accessing data; (5) Socurity measures; (6) Safeguards Safeguards for accessing data would be Mat mechanism would be used to Procedures for accessing data; (5) Safeguards for accessing data would be Safeguards for accessing data would be What mechanism would be used to Model contemplates an agreement or Model contemplates for accessing data Legitimate user required to execute an Access of Conduct? Model contemplates an agreement or Who doel of conduct Model contemplates an agreement or Nodel contemplates binding terms Legitimate user required to execute an Access of Conduct? Model contemplates an agreement or Nodel contemplates and greement or Safeguards for accessing data; Safeguards for accessing data; Who would monitor and enforce Complaints re: breach of code of Conduct (How would Codes of Conduct/safeguards for accessing data be developed?	consultation with the GAC and European Data Protection Board Safeguards specific to eligible user group: to be developed by the	mechanism Does not appear to be addressed by	Policy Safeguards for accessing data would be established in an Access Agreement, which is under development as part of
What mechanism would be used to require compliance of Codes of Conduct?Model contemplates an agreement or other method to bind user to comply with code of conductModel contemplates binding terms requiring parties accessing non-public Alternative Dispute Resolution proceedings initiated by data subjects and registrar (or registry) seeking to revoke access due to documented abuseWho would monitor and enforce compliance with the Code of Conduct?Complaints re: breach of code of conduct (e.g. unauthorized access or improper use of data), to be directed to authenticating bodyComplaints re: accuracy to be addressed by sponsoring registrar complaints re: performance of Whois provider, to be directed to ICANN complaints re: negistry/registrar performance of Whois service, to be directed to ICANN complianceComplaints re: unauthorized access or improper use of data to be relayed to revoke access or complaints re: unauthorized access or improper use of data to be relayed to the authenticating agencyComplaints for ADR proceedings.Who would monitor and enforce compliance with the Code of Conduct?Complaints re: getstry/registrar performance of Whois service, to be directed to ICANN complianceComplaints re: unauthorized access or improper use of data to be relayed to the authenticating agencyComplaints re: acuracy to implement	What types of safeguards would be included in Codes of Conduct?	 Limitations on use of data; (2) Procedures for accessing data; (3) Security measures; (4) Limitations on onward transfers of data; (5) General data protection obligations of the data controller; (6) Fair and transparent processing requirements; (7) Other 	Procedures for accessing data; (3) Security measures; (4) Limitations on onward transfers of data; (5) General data protection obligations of the data controller; (6) Safeguards addressing data misuse and penalties	established in an Access Agreement, which is under development as part of
Complaints re: breach of code of conduct (e.g. unauthorized access or improper use of data), to be directed to authenticating bodyComplaints re: accuracy to be addressed by sponsoring registrar Complaints re: performance of Whois provider, to be directed to ICANN complianceComplaints re: performance of Whois provider, to be directed to ICANN complianceComplaints re: performance of Whois provider, to be directed to ICANN complianceComplaints re: performance of Whois provider, to be directed to ICANN complianceComplaints re: performance of Whois provider, to be directed to ICANN complianceEuropean Data Protection Board could help establish best practices or certification frameworks for ADR proceedings.Dether FlementsImage: Second Secon	What mechanism would be used to require compliance of Codes of Conduct?	other method to bind user to comply	requiring parties accessing non-public WHOIS data to put in place appropriate internal	Access Agreement subjecting the user to Alternative Dispute Resolution proceedings initiated by data subjects and registrar (or registry) seeking to revoke access due to documented abuse Legitimate user required to provide financial instrument (e.g. letter of credit) to ensure data subject could be made
Other Flements Registrars (and registries) to implement	Who would monitor and enforce compliance with the Code of Conduct?	conduct (e.g. unauthorized access or improper use of data), to be directed to authenticating body Complaints re: registry/registrar performance of Whois service, to be	addressed by sponsoring registrar Complaints re: performance of Whois provider, to be directed to ICANN compliance Complaints re: unauthorized access or improper use of data to be relayed to	resolution proceeding Complaints handled through Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) proceedings. European Data Protection Board could help establish best practices or certification frameworks for ADR
model through their own initiative	Other Elements		the authenticating agency	