

Appendix D – Engagement and Participation Summaries: Documenting Public Consultations

- 1 Throughout the development of its Work Stream 1 Recommendations, the CCWG-Accountability has sought feedback, confirmations and input from the Internet’s global multistakeholder community. The channels through which consultation was conducted include (but not limited to):
 - Organizing and providing engagement sessions at ICANN meetings
 - Relaying regular updates to Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees through membership representation
 - Holding multi-lingual webinars
 - Posting versions of the Work Stream 1 Recommendations for public comment (described in more depth below)
- 2 This outreach plan was carefully developed to ensure that the work to enhance ICANN’s accountability was being adequately considered by the entire ICANN community. In addition, the CCWG-Accountability posted blogs, communiqués and multilingual videos to document its progress and establish resources for further engagement.
- 3 The CCWG-Accountability organized two public comment periods that were key in defining and refining its Work Stream 1 recommendations:
 - **First Public Comment Period (4 May-12 June 2015)**

The CCWG-Accountability requested community feedback on its Initial Draft Proposal for Public Comment of the enhancements to ICANN’s accountability it had identified as essential or necessary to take place or be committed to before the IANA Stewardship Transition to help improve its proposal and inform next steps. A set of [focused questions](#) were provided to help guide the feedback the CCWG-Accountability would need for next steps.

 - Contributions received in response to this call for input can be read [here](#).
 - A staff summary of the comments received can be found [here](#).
 - **Second Public Comment Period (3 August-12 September 2015)**

Similar to the first Public Comment Period, the second call for input was released to seek confirmation of the CCWG-Accountability’s Work Stream 1 Recommendations and identify levels of support and any outstanding concerns with the mechanisms developed. Framing questions and a summary of changes between the first and second reports were provided to facilitate community’s reading of the report.

 - Contributions received in response to this call for input can be read [here](#).
 - Work Party and staff summaries of this second call for input can be read [here](#).