

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 December 2020

Advice Provider	Reference Number	Link to Advice Document	Advice Item	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SSAC2020-06	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/ssac2020-06-14feb20-en.pdf	SSAC2020-06: SSAC Public Comment on the Initial Report of the New gTLD Auction Proceeds Cross-Community Working Group	2/14/20	The SSAC recommends that, following the completion and submission of the CCWG's report, the next step in the process be to have an outside expert with a demonstrated track-record in designing funding programs review the report, comment on its finding and recommendations, and use it as a basis to inform the Board on the design of a grant making process for the auction proceeds that implements grant making best practices. This step should be undertaken before the Board formally considers the CCWG's Final Report as its advice would assist the Board in its consideration of the CCWG recommendations.	Phase 5 Close Request	The ICANN org understands the SSAC recommends that, following the completion and submission of the CCWG's report, the next step in the process be to have an outside expert with a demonstrated track-record in designing funding programs review the report, comment on its finding and recommendations, and use it as a basis to inform the Board on the design of a grant making process for the auction proceeds that implements grant making best practices. The ICANN org also understands that the SSAC recommends that this step should be undertaken before the Board formally considers the CCWG's Final Report as its advice would assist the Board in its consideration of the CCWG recommendations. ICANN sent this understanding to the SSAC for review on 16 June 2020. ICANN received confirmation of understanding on 22 July 2020.
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC101v2	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-101-v2-en.pdf	SAC101v2: SSAC Advisory Regarding Access to Domain Name Registration Data (R-2A)	12/11/18	The ICANN Board should direct the ICANN Organization to work with the ICANN Community to: A) develop policy with clearly defined uniform purposes for RDDS rate-limiting and corresponding service level agreement requirements	Phase 5 Close Request	On 23 June 2019 the ICANN Board considered SAC101v2 and noted advice items 2A and three through seven in SAC101 version 2 and referred them to the GNSO Council for consideration for inclusion in the EPDP Phase 2 work (https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2019-06-23-en#1.c). In its rationale the Board states "Advice item 2A suggests that the Board direct ICANN org to work with the community to 'develop policy with clearly defined uniform purposes for RDDS rate-limiting and corresponding service level agreement requirements.' As policy is developed by the community and this topic is in the work plan for the EPDP Phase 2, the Board notes this advice and refers to the GNSO Council as the manager of PDPs. In taking this action, the Board also notes that in the Annex to the Temporary Specification for gTLD Registration Data, the Board asked that the topic of rate limit be discussed and resolved by the community as quickly as possible."
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC101v2	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-101-v2-en.pdf	SAC101v2: SSAC Advisory Regarding Access to Domain Name Registration Data (R-3)	12/11/18	The ICANN Board and EPDP policy-makers should ensure that security practitioners and law enforcement authorities have access to domain name contact data, via RDDS, to the full extent allowed by applicable law.	Phase 5 Close Request	On 23 June 2019 the ICANN Board considered SAC101v2 and noted advice items 2A and three through seven in SAC101 version 2 and referred them to the GNSO Council for consideration for inclusion in the EPDP Phase 2 work (https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2019-06-23-en#1.c). In its rationale the Board states "Advice item three suggests that the Board and EPDP policy-makers should ensure that security practitioners and law enforcement authorities have access to domain name contact data, via RDDS, to the full extent allowed by applicable law.' As this is a policy matter and the topic is in the work plan for the EPDP Phase 2, the Board notes this advice and refers to the GNSO Council as the manager of PDPs."
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC101v2	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-101-v2-en.pdf	SAC101v2: SSAC Advisory Regarding Access to Domain Name Registration Data (R-5)	12/11/18	The SSAC reiterates Recommendation 2 from SAC061: "The ICANN Board should ensure that a formal security risk assessment of the registration data policy be conducted as an input into the Policy Development Process. A separate security risk assessment should also be conducted regarding the implementation of the policy." These assessments should be incorporated in PDP plans at the GNSO.	Phase 5 Close Request	On 23 June 2019 the ICANN Board considered SAC101v2 and noted advice items 2A and three through seven in SAC101 version 2 and referred them to the GNSO Council for consideration for inclusion in the EPDP Phase 2 work (https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2019-06-23-en#1.c). In its rationale the Board states "Advice item five reiterates Recommendation 2 from SAC061 and suggests that 'The ICANN Board should ensure that a formal security risk assessment of the registration data policy be conducted as an input into the Policy Development Process. A separate security risk assessment should also be conducted regarding the implementation of the policy.' The advice further suggests that 'These assessments should be incorporated in PDP plans at the GNSO.' As the advice suggests that the assessments be incorporated into PDP plans and the GNSO is the manager of PDPs, the Board notes and refers this advice to the GNSO Council."
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC102	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-102-en.pdf	SAC102: SSAC Comment on the Updated Plan for Continuing the Root KSK Rollover	8/20/18	On 13 May 2018, the ICANN Board requested the SSAC to provide advice to the Board on the "Updated Plan for Continuing the Root KSK Rollover." This comment represents the SSAC's response to that request.	Phase 5 Close Request	The ICANN org understands SAC102 is the SSAC's response to ICANN Board Resolution 2018.05.13.09. ICANN org understands the SSAC had not identified any reason within the SSAC's scope why the October 2018 root zone KSK rollover should have not proceeded as it was planned. The ICANN org also understands that the SSAC would like the ICANN org to establish a framework for scheduling further rolls of the root KSK based on analysis of the outcomes of this initial roll of the KSK. This is an updated understanding of SAC102 based on feedback provided by the SSAC on 16 July 2019. ICANN sent this updated understanding to the SSAC for review on 15 August 2019. As of 07 November 2019, a proposed framework requested in the SSAC advice has been put up for public comment and communicated to the community in various sessions in ICANN 66 and on the customary DNSSEC-related mailing lists.
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC037-038	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-038-15jun18-en.pdf	RSSAC038: RSSAC Advisory on a Proposed Governance Model for the DNS Root Server System R-1	6/14/18	The RSSAC recommends that the ICANN Board initiate a process to produce a final version of the Model for implementation based on RSSAC037.	Phase 5 Close Request	The ICANN org understands RSSAC038 Recommendation 1 is for the ICANN Board to initiate a process to produce a final version of the Model for implementation based on RSSAC037. ICANN sent this understanding to the RSSAC for review on 24 July 2018. ICANN received confirmation of understanding on 17 August 2018. On 07 November 2019 a Board Resolution addressed RSSAC037 Recommendation 1 https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2019-11-07-en#2.d . The ICANN Board directed the ICANN President and CEO, or his designee(s), to publish the final charter, operating procedures, and work plan for the GWG and to convene the GWG. RSSAC037; the Concept Paper; and the GWG charter, operating procedures, and work plan provide a starting point for discussions in the ICANN community about evolving RSS governance. By convening the GWG, the ICANN Board completes its consideration of recommendation one from RSSAC038. Furthermore, the ICANN Board continues its evaluation and consideration of recommendations two and three from RSSAC038.
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC098	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-098-en.pdf	SAC098: The Security, Stability and Resiliency of the DNS Review (SSR2)	10/4/17	The SSAC sent a letter (https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/faltstrom-to-icann-board-03oct17-en.pdf) to the ICANN Board on 3 October 2017, regarding the Security, Stability and Resiliency of the DNS Review (SSR2) and submitted advice to the Board on 4 October 2017 on the same topic. The SSAC has serious concerns that the SSR2 effort may fail bringing a consequential loss of credibility in the accountability processes of ICANN and its community. The SSAC believes that the existing composition, structure, and processes of the SSR2 Review Team lack the necessary effectiveness to achieve the desired results. SSAC recommendation: The ICANN Board of Directors and the ICANN community should take immediate action to temporarily halt the SSR2 review and produce a detailed plan before resuming work.	Phase 5 Close Request	On 28 October 2017, the Board issued a letter to the SSR2 Review Team instructing the team to pause all work related to the review, excepting only planned engagement meetings at ICANN 60 (https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/crocker-to-ssr2-28oct17-en.pdf). On 7 June 2018 ICANN Org announced the formal restart of the SSR2 Review with four additional Review Team members (https://www.icann.org/news/announcement-2-2018-06-07-en).

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 December 2020

Advice Provider	Reference Number	Link to Advice Document	Advice Item	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC090	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-090-en.pdf	SAC090: SSAC Advisory on the Stability of the Domain Namespace, R-1	12/22/16	Recommendation 1: The SSAC recommends that the ICANN Board of Directors take appropriate steps to establish definitive and unambiguous criteria for determining whether or not a syntactically valid domain name label could be a top-level domain name in the global DNS.	Phase 5 Close Request	On 23 June 2018, the Board accepted this advice and will ask the GNSO Subsequent Procedures PDP to include this recommendation in its work (https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2018-06-23-en#1.g).
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC090	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-090-en.pdf	SAC090: SSAC Advisory on the Stability of the Domain Namespace, R-2	12/22/16	The SSAC recommends that the scope of the work presented in Recommendation 1 include at least the following issues and questions: 1) In the Applicant Guidebook for the most recent round of new generic Top Level Domain (gTLD) applications, 20 ICANN cited or created several lists of strings that could not be applied for new gTLD names, such as the “reserved names” listed in Section 2.2.1.2.1, the “ineligible strings” listed in Section 2.2.1.2.3, the two-character ISO 3166 codes proscribed by reference in Section 2.2.1.3.2 Part III, and the geographic names proscribed by reference in Section 2.2.1.4. More recently, the IETF has placed a small number of potential gTLD strings into a Special-Use Domain Names Registry. 21 As described in RFC 676122, a string that is placed into this registry is expected to be processed in a defined “special” way that is different from the normal process of DNS resolution. Should ICANN formalize in policy the status of the names on these lists? If so: i) How should ICANN respond to changes that other parties may make to lists that are recognized by ICANN but are outside the scope of ICANN’s direct influence? ii) How should ICANN respond to a change in a recognized list that occurs during a round of new gTLD applications? 2) The IETF is an example of a group outside of ICANN that maintains a list of “special use” names. What should ICANN’s response be to groups outside of ICANN that assert standing for their list of special names? 3) Some names that are not on any formal list are regularly presented to the global DNS for resolution as TLDs. These so-called “private use” names are independently selected by individuals and organizations that intend for them to be resolved only within a defined private context. As such they are harmlessly discarded by the global DNS—until they collide with a delegated use of the same name as a new ICANN-recognized gTLD. Should ICANN formalize in policy the status of “private use” names? If so: i) How should ICANN deal with private use names such as .corp, .home, and .mail that already are known to collide on a large scale with formal applications for the same names as new ICANN-recognized gTLDs? ii) How should ICANN discover and respond to future collisions between private use names and proposed new ICANN-recognized gTLDs?	Phase 5 Close Request	On 23 June 2018, the Board accepted this advice and will ask the GNSO Subsequent Procedures PDP to include this recommendation in its work (https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2018-06-23-en#1.g).
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC090	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-090-en.pdf	SAC090: SSAC Advisory on the Stability of the Domain Namespace, R-3	12/22/16	Recommendation 3: Pursuant to its finding that lack of adequate coordination among the activities of different groups contributes to domain namespace instability, the SSAC recommends that the ICANN Board of Directors establish effective means of collaboration on these issues with relevant groups outside of ICANN, including the IETF.	Phase 5 Close Request	On 23 June 2018, the Board accepted this advice and will ask the GNSO Subsequent Procedures PDP to include this recommendation in its work (https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2018-06-23-en#1.g).
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC090	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-090-en.pdf	SAC090: SSAC Advisory on the Stability of the Domain Namespace, R-4	12/22/16	Recommendation 4: The SSAC recommends that ICANN complete this work before making any decision to add new TLD names to the global DNS.	Phase 5 Close Request	On 23 June 2018, the Board accepted this advice and will ask the GNSO Subsequent Procedures PDP to include this recommendation in its work (https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2018-06-23-en#1.g).
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC073	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-073-en.pdf	SAC073: SSAC Comments on Root Zone Key Signing Key Rollover Plan	10/5/15	In this Advisory the Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC) addresses the following topics: - Terminology and definitions relating to DNSSEC key rollover in the root zone; - Key management in the root zone; - Motivations for root zone KSK rollover; - Risks associated with root zone KSK rollover; - Available mechanisms for root zone KSK rollover; - Quantifying the risk of failed trust anchor update; and - DNS response size considerations.	Phase 5 Close Request	On 15 October 2018 ICANN org determined that the first-ever changing of the cryptographic key that helps protect the DNS has been completed with minimal disruption of the global Internet (https://www.icann.org/news/announcement-2018-10-15-en). See: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/ksk-rollover .
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC070	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-070-en.pdf	SAC070: Advisory on the Use of Static TLD Suffix Lists (R-5)	5/28/15	IANA should host a PSL containing information about the domains within the registries with which IANA has direct communication. Such a PSL would be authoritative for those domains. Such a list should include, at a minimum, all TLDs in the IANA root zone.	Phase 5 Close Request	The ICANN organization understands recommendation 5 of SAC070 as directing IANA staff to host an authoritative PSL containing information about the domains within the registries with which IANA has direct communication. This list should at least include all TLDs in the root zone. ICANN org hired a contractor to provide the materials. Estimated time to completion is end of November 2019. On 24 June 2017, the ICANN Board accepted this advice and directed the ICANN organization to implement per the ICANN organization’s recommendation (https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2017-06-24-en#2.b). As of 01 December 2019, IANA staff is now hosting an authoritative PSL for all TLDs in the root zone as stated in recommendation 5 of SAC070.
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC070	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-070-en.pdf	SAC070: SSAC Advisory on the Use of Static TLD/Suffix Lists (R-3)	5/28/15	To close the knowledge gap between registries and popular PSL maintainers, ICANN and the Mozilla Foundation should collaboratively create informational material that can be given to TLD registry operators about the Mozilla PSL.	Phase 5 Close Request	The ICANN organization understands this recommendation to mean that ICANN, in concert with the Mozilla Foundation, prepare educational materials on the Mozilla PSL covering the meaning of the resource and the impact of the resource. ICANN org hired a contractor to provide the materials. Estimated time to completion is end of December 2019. On 24 June 2017, the ICANN Board accepted this advice and directed the ICANN organization to implement per the ICANN organization’s recommendation (https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2017-06-24-en#2.b). On 18 May 2020, “The Public Suffix List: A Guide for TLD Administrators” was published, (https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/octo-011-18may20-en.pdf) closing the knowledge gap between registries and popular PSL maintainers with the creation of informational material that can be given to TLD registry operators about the Mozilla PSL. This item is in Phase 5 Close Request as of 5 June 2020.
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC065	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-065-en.pdf	SAC065: SSAC Advisory on DDoS Attacks Leveraging DNS Infrastructure (R-1)	2/18/14	ICANN should help facilitate an Internet-wide community effort to reduce the number of open resolvers and networks that allow network spoofing.	Phase 5 Close Request	The ICANN organization understands that SAC065 R-1 means that ICANN should help to facilitate an Internet-wide community effort to reduce the number of open resolvers and networks that allow network spoofing. This initiative, which should involve measurement efforts and outreach, should be supported by ICANN with appropriate staffing and funding to promote the recommendations made in SAC065 Recommendations 2-5. On 24 June 2017, the ICANN Board accepted this advice and directed the ICANN organization to implement per the ICANN organization’s recommendation (https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2017-06-24-en#2.b).

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 December 2020

Advice Provider	Reference Number	Link to Advice Document	Advice Item	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC062	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-062-en.pdf	SAC062: SSAC Advisory Concerning the Mitigation of Name Collision Risk (R-1)	11/7/13	ICANN should work with the wider Internet community, including at least the IAB and the IETF, to identify (1) what strings are appropriate to reserve for private namespace use and (2) what type of private namespace use is appropriate (i.e., at the TLD level only or at any additional lower level).	Phase 5 Close Request	On 24 June 2017, the ICANN Board accepted this advice and directed the ICANN organization to implement per the ICANN organization's recommendation (https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2017-06-24-en#2.b).
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC063	www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-063-en.pdf	SAC063: SSAC Advisory on DNSSEC Key Rollover in the Root Zone - Item 1	11/7/13	Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN) staff, in coordination with the other Root Zone Management Partners (United States Department of Commerce, National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA), and Verisign), should immediately undertake a significant, worldwide communications effort to publicize the root zone KSK rollover motivation and process as widely as possible.	Phase 5 Close Request	On 15 October 2018 ICANN org determined that the first-ever changing of the cryptographic key that helps protect the DNS has been completed with minimal disruption of the global Internet (https://www.icann.org/news/announcement-2018-10-15-en). The communication plan is part of the overall KSK Rollover Project. See: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/ksk-rollover .
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC063	www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-063-en.pdf	SAC063: SSAC Advisory on DNSSEC Key Rollover in the Root Zone - Item 2	11/7/13	ICANN staff should lead, coordinate, or otherwise encourage the creation of a collaborative, representative testbed for the purpose of analyzing behaviors of various validating resolver implementations, their versions, and their network environments (e.g., middle boxes) that may affect or be affected by a root KSK rollover, such that potential problem areas can be identified, communicated, and addressed.	Phase 5 Close Request	On 15 October 2018 ICANN org determined that the first-ever changing of the cryptographic key that helps protect the DNS has been completed with minimal disruption of the global Internet (https://www.icann.org/news/announcement-2018-10-15-en). The test pass is part of the overall KSK Rollover Project. See: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/ksk-rollover .
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC063	www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-063-en.pdf	SAC063: SSAC Advisory on DNSSEC Key Rollover in the Root Zone - Item 5	11/7/13	ICANN staff should lead, coordinate, or otherwise encourage the collection of as much information as possible about the impact of a KSK rollover to provide input to planning for future rollovers.	Phase 5 Close Request	On 15 October 2018 ICANN org determined that the first-ever changing of the cryptographic key that helps protect the DNS has been completed with minimal disruption of the global Internet (https://www.icann.org/news/announcement-2018-10-15-en). The data collection program is part of the overall KSK Rollover Project. See: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/ksk-rollover .
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC061	https://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-061-en.pdf	SAC061: R-2 SSAC Comment on ICANN's Initial Report from the Expert Working Group on gTLD Directory Services	9/6/13	The ICANN Board should ensure that a formal security risk assessment of the registration data policy be conducted as an input into the Policy Development Process.	Phase 5 Close Request	On 23 June 2018, the Board accepted this advice and noted that implementation has been completed (https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2018-06-23-en#1.g). Subsequently, on 2 August 2018 the SSAC contacted the ICANN org to oppose this determination and requested the ICANN org change SAC061 Recommendation 2's status from 'Closed' to 'Open.' Upon review of SAC061 and SAC101v2, the ICANN org has returned SAC061 to Phase 2 Understand. SAC061 Recommendation 2 will be considered in conjunction with SAC101v2. On 23 June 2019 the ICANN Board considered SAC101v2 and noted advice items 2A and three through seven in SAC101 version 2 and referred them to the GNSO Council for consideration for inclusion in the EPDP Phase 2 work (https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2019-06-23-en#1.c). In its rationale the Board states "Advice item five reiterates Recommendation 2 from SAC061 and suggests that 'The ICANN Board should ensure that a formal security risk assessment of the registration data policy be conducted as an input into the Policy Development Process. A separate security risk assessment should also be conducted regarding the implementation of the policy.' The advice further suggests that 'These assessments should be incorporated in PDP plans at the GNSO.' As the advice suggests that the assessments be incorporated into PDP plans and the GNSO is the manager of PDPs, the Board notes and refers this advice to the GNSO Council."
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC058	https://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-058-en.pdf	SAC058: R-3 SSAC Report on Domain Name Registration Data Validation	3/27/13	The SSAC recommends that the ICANN community should seek to identify validation techniques that can be automated and to develop policies that incent the development and deployment of those techniques. The use of automated techniques may necessitate an initial investment but the long-term improvement in the quality and accuracy of registration data will be substantial.	Phase 5 Close Request	The ICANN organization understands SAC058 Recommendation 3 to mean that the ICANN community should seek to identify validation techniques to be used by registrars and registries for validating registration data. On 23 June 2018, the Board accepted this advice and directed the CEO or his designee to implement the advice (https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2018-06-23-en#1.g).
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC051	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-051-en.pdf	SAC051: SSAC Report on WHOIS Terminology and Structure (R-2)	6/14/11	R-2 The ICANN community should evaluate and adopt a replacement domain name registration data access protocol that supports the query and display of Internationalized DNRD as well as addressing the relevant recommendations in SAC 003, SAC 027 and SAC 033.	Phase 5 Close Request	The Board accepted this advice in October 2011 and requested that a roadmap to implementation of SAC051 be developed (https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/minutes-2011-10-28-en#5). A roadmap to implementing SAC051 was published for public comment in February 2012: https://www.icann.org/news/announcement-6-2012-06-04-en . As of 26 August 2019 all contracted parties are required to provide an RDAP service in addition to the WHOIS service. ICANN org expects to initiate the formal process for amending the Base gTLD Registry Agreement and 2013 Registrar Accreditation Agreement respectively to incorporate robust requirements for RDAP and define a smooth transition from WHOIS to RDAP including a sunset of the obligations for the WHOIS service.
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC047	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-047-en.pdf	SAC047: SSAC Comment on the ICANN gTLD Registry Transition Processes Model (2 of 7)	4/15/11	The SSAC recommends that ICANN preserve operational data about ex-registries. ICANN should define a framework to share such data with the community. Availability of such data will ensure that the registration transition process can be studied and if needed, improved.	Phase 5 Close Request	On 23 June 2018, the Board accepted this advice and directed the CEO or his designee to implement the advice (https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2018-06-23-en#1.g).
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC045	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-045-en.pdf	SAC045: Invalid Top Level Domain Queries at the Root Level of the Domain Name System (R-6)	11/15/10	The SSAC recommends that ICANN define circumstances where a previously delegated string may be re-used, or prohibit the practice.	Phase 5 Close Request	This advice item requires further policy determination. ICANN will refer this advice to the GNSO for consideration.