

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC100	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-100-en.pdf	SAC100: SSAC Response to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Policy Development Process Working Group Request Regarding Root Scaling	12/22/2017	The SSAC welcomes this opportunity to provide input on the issues related to root scaling. The SSAC understands the working group's request on 14 September 2017 to be: 1. whether the limitations on delegations per annum (1000 / year) could be revisited ...	Phase 2 Understand Request	The ICANN org understands that SAC100 is the SSAC's response to the 14 September 2017 request from the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Policy Development Process Working Group regarding root scaling. The SSAC's response contains four recommendations to th...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC099	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-099-en.pdf	SAC099: SSAC Response to the ICANN Internationalized Domain Name (IDN) Guidelines Working Group	11/17/2017	Response from the SSAC to the IDN Guidelines Working Group (WG) regarding the WG's 27 Jul 2017 letter that raised a question around "not-authoritative" records constrained to comply with IDNA2008 by the IDN Guidelines. The SSAC recommends that for nor...	Phase 2 Understand Request	The ICANN org understands that SAC099 is a response from the SSAC to the IDN Guidelines Working Group (WG) regarding the WG's 27 Jul 2017 letter that raised a question around "not-authoritative" records constrained to comply with IDNA2008 by the IDN Guid...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC030	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-030-04nov17-en.pdf	RSSAC030: RSSAC Statement on Entries in DNS Root Sources	11/4/2017	This is the RSSAC statement on entries in the DNS Root Sources. The document provides a brief statement about the DNS root server information contained in three key sources, which are the attributes of the organization responsible for the operation of th...	Phase 2 Understand Request	ICANN understands that RSSAC030 is a statement that outlines the three key sources maintained by the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) functions operator necessary for identifying the DNS root servers. There is no action for the ICANN Board. Thi...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	Joint Statement from ALAC and GAC	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/10443	Enabling Inclusive, Informed and Meaningful Participation at ICANN: A Joint Statement by ALAC and GAC (R1)	11/2/2017	I. Develop a simple and efficient document management system that allows non-experts to easily and quickly access and identify documents, starting with defining minimal requirements that ensure that every document has a title and a date or reference numb...	Phase 2 Understand Request	This item has been received by ICANN and is currently under review.
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	Joint Statement from ALAC and GAC	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/10443	Enabling Inclusive, Informed and Meaningful Participation at ICANN: A Joint Statement by ALAC and GAC (R2)	11/2/2017	II. Produce easily understandable executive summaries, key points and synopses (using e.g. infographs, videos and other innovative ways of presenting information) for all relevant issues, processes and activities, so that also non-expert stakeholders wil...	Phase 2 Understand Request	This item has been received by ICANN and is currently under review.
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC029	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-029-28oct17-en.pdf	RSSAC029: Report from the RSSAC October 2017 Workshop	10/24/2017	This is the RSSAC report from the RSSAC October 2017 Workshop. The document provides a high-level summary of the outcomes from the fifth RSSAC workshop held hosted by the University of Maryland in early October.	Phase 2 Understand Request	The ICANN organization understands that this is a brief discussion on each of the apolitical mind map components developed in the previous workshop, and a high-level summary of the outcomes of the Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC) fifth works...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC000v3	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-000-op-procedures-23oct17-en.pdf	RSSAC000v3: RSSAC Operational Procedures	10/23/2017	These are the Operational Procedures of the Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC). The Operational Procedures document how the RSSAC will carry out its work, with the rationale for processes where it seems helpful. In case of conflict with the...	Phase 2 Understand Request	ICANN understands that this is the Operational Procedures of the Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC). This documents how the RSSAC will carry out its work, with the rationale for processes where it seems helpful. In case of conflict with th...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC098	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-098-en.pdf	SAC098: The Security, Stability and Resiliency of the DNS Review (SSR2)	10/4/2017	The SSAC sent a letter (https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/diaz-to-atallah-03oct17-en.pdf) to the ICANN Board on 3 October 2017, regarding the Security, Stability and Resiliency of the DNS Review (SSR2) and submitted advice to the Board...	Phase 2 Understand Request	The ICANN organization understands the recommendation in SAC098 to mean that the ICANN Board and the ICANN Community should take immediate action to temporarily halt the SSR2 review and produce a detailed plan before resuming the review. This understandi...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC028	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-028-03aug17-en.pdf	RSSAC028: Technical Analysis of the Naming Scheme Used For Individual Root Servers R-1	8/3/2017	Recommendation 1: No changes should be made to the current naming scheme used in the root server system until more studies have been conducted. Based on the investigation conducted by the RSSAC Caucus Root Server Naming Work Party, the near-term re...	Phase 2 Understand Request	The ICANN org understands RSSAC028 Recommendation 1 to mean that no changes should be made to the current naming scheme used in the root server system until more studies have been conducted. The ICANN org requests clarification from the RSSAC as to wheth...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC028	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-028-03aug17-en.pdf	RSSAC028: Technical Analysis of the Naming Scheme Used For Individual Root Servers R-2	8/3/2017	Recommendation 2: Conduct studies to understand the current behavior of DNS resolvers and how each naming scheme discussed in this document would affect these behaviours. To better understand the findings of this report, DNS researchers should inves...	Phase 2 Understand Request	The ICANN org understands RSSAC028 Recommendation 2 to that studies on current behaviors of DNS software and DNS resolvers should be conducted to understand different elements of root server responses to queries, both individually and in combination; for...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC028	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-028-03aug17-en.pdf	RSSAC028: Technical Analysis of the Naming Scheme Used For Individual Root Servers R-3	8/3/2017	Recommendation 3: Conduct a study to understand the feasibility and impact of node re-delegation attacks. Further study is required to understand whether the current infrastructure is susceptible to various cache poisoning attack scenarios, including...	Phase 2 Understand Request	The ICANN org understands RSSAC028 Recommendation 3 to mean that a study should be conducted to understand how the current infrastructure is susceptible to various cache poisoning attack scenarios, specifically node re-delegation attacks, and that proof...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC028	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-028-03aug17-en.pdf	RSSAC028: Technical Analysis of the Naming Scheme Used For Individual Root Servers R-4	8/3/2017	Recommendation 4: Study reducing the priming response size. When considering the priming response under DNSSEC, the scheme explained in Section 5.6 generated the smallest possible size, as expected. However, some implementations would become brittle i...	Phase 2 Understand Request	The ICANN organization understands that RSSAC028 Recommendation 4 to mean that the RSSAC should conduct a study regarding the priming response size with a goal of reducing the priming response. This would include modeling different scenarios and options,...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC028	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-028-03aug17-en.pdf	RSSAC028: Technical Analysis of the Naming Scheme Used For Individual Root Servers R-5	8/3/2017	Speculative Recommendation (Recommendation 5): The fundamental recommendation of the RSSAC is to not change the current root server system naming scheme until the studies listed in section 7.2 can be completed. However, during the preparation of this...	Phase 2 Understand Request	The ICANN org understands that the RSSAC has also provided an additional, speculative recommendation, which states that if node re-delegation attacks pose a serious risk that needs to be mitigated, the following should also be considered: ● The root s...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0717-01-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9983	Revised ICANN Procedure for Handling WHOIS Conflicts with Privacy Law: Process and Next Steps	7/12/2017	This is the ALAC Statement on the Revised ICANN Procedure for Handling WHOIS Conflicts with Privacy Law: Process and Next Steps. The At-Large Advisory Committee wishes to respond to the public consultation. Although At-Large members participated in the W...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands this the ALAC Statement on the Revised ICANN Procedure for Handling WHOIS Conflicts with Privacy Law: Process and Next Steps. The respective public comment period closed on 7 July 2017 (https://www.icann.org/public-comm...)
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0617-01-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9985	ALAC Statement on the Draft Framework of Interpretation for Human Rights	6/21/2017	As the primary organizational home within ICANN for the voice and concerns of the individual Internet user, the At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC) treats respecting Human Rights a very important topic. The ALAC therefore commends the Subgroup's participa...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands this is the ALAC Statement on the Draft Framework of Interpretation for Human Rights. The respective public comment period closed on 16 June 2017. This statement will be included in the report of public comments, which ...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC027	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-027-16jun17-en.pdf	RSSAC027: May 2017 Workshop Report	6/16/2017	This is the RSSAC report from the RSSAC May 2017 Workshop. The document provides a high-level summary of the outcomes from the fourth RSSAC workshop held in Reston, Virginia. The dominant theme of this workshop was DNS root service accountability. RSSAC ...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands that this is the RSSAC report from the RSSAC May 2017 Workshop. The document provides a high-level summary of the outcomes from the fourth RSSAC workshop held in Reston, Virginia. The ICANN organization notes that the d...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC097	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-097-en.pdf	SAC097: SSAC Advisory Regarding the Centralized Zone Data Service (CZDS) and Registry Operator Monthly Activity Reports, R-1	6/12/2017	The SSAC recommends that the ICANN Board suggest to ICANN Staff to consider revising the CZDS system to address the problem of subscriptions terminating automatically by default, for example by allowing subscriptions to automatically renew by default. Th...	Phase 3 Evaluate & Consider	ICANN received SSAC's approval of understanding and is in the process of evaluating the advice. The ICANN organization understands SAC097 Recommendation 1 to mean that the ICANN organization should consider revising the Central Zone Data Service (CZDS) s...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC097	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-097-en.pdf	SAC097: SSAC Advisory Regarding the Centralized Zone Data Service (CZDS) and Registry Operator Monthly Activity Reports, R-3	6/12/2017	The SSAC recommends that the ICANN Board suggest to ICANN Staff to seek ways to reduce the number of zone file access complaints, and seek ways to resolve complaints in a timely fashion.	Phase 3 Evaluate & Consider	ICANN received SSAC's approval of understanding and is in the process of evaluating the advice. The ICANN organization understands SAC097 Recommendation 3 to mean that the ICANN organization should seek ways to reduce the number of zone file access compl...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC097	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-097-en.pdf	SAC097: SSAC Advisory Regarding the Centralized Zone Data Service (CZDS) and Registry Operator Monthly Activity Reports, R-2	6/12/2017	The SSAC recommends that the ICANN Board suggest to ICANN Staff to ensure that in subsequent rounds of new gTLDs, the CZDS subscription agreement conform to the changes executed as a result of implementing Recommendation 1.	Phase 3 Evaluate & Consider	ICANN received SSAC's approval of understanding and is in the process of evaluating the advice. The ICANN organization understands SAC097 Recommendation 2 to mean that the ICANN organization should ensure that, in subsequent rounds of new gTLDs, the CZDS...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC097	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-097-en.pdf	SAC097: SSAC Advisory Regarding the Centralized Zone Data Service (CZDS) and Registry Operator Monthly Activity Reports, R-4	6/12/2017	The SSAC recommends that the ICANN Board suggest to ICANN Staff to ensure that zone file access and Web-based WHOIS query statistics are accurately and publicly reported, according to well-defined standards that can be uniformly complied with by all gTLD...	Phase 3 Evaluate & Consider	ICANN received SSAC's approval of understanding and is in the process of evaluating the advice. The ICANN organization understands SAC097 Recommendation 4 to mean that the ICANN organization should ensure that zone file access and Web-based WHOIS query s...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0517-06-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9977	ALAC Statement on the Recommendations to Improve SO/AC Accountability	6/1/2017	This is the ALAC Statement on the Recommendations to Improve SO/AC Accountability. The ALAC supports the general direction of the recommendations, but does offer the following specific comments. 1. The "best practices", one by one, each make sense. ...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands that this is the ALAC Statement on the Recommendations to Improve SO/AC Accountability. The respective public comment period closed on 26 May 2017. A Report of Public Comments will be published on 14 July 2017 and this ...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0517-07-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9979	ALAC Statement on the Proposed Renewal of .NET Registry Agreement	5/30/2017	The ALAC does not have any comment to make on the changes to the content of the contract overall as we believe that much of it has been predetermined by agreement. However, the increasing cost of .NET domains is a concern as it would make them unaffordab...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands that this is the ALAC Statement on the Proposed Renewal of .NET Registry Agreement. The respective public comment period closed on 30 May 2017. A Report of Public Comments was published on 13 June 2017 and this comment ...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC096	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-096-en.pdf	SAC096: SSAC Comment on the CCWG-Accountability-WS2 Draft Framework of Interpretation for Human Rights	5/30/2017	This is the SSAC's comment on the CCWG-Accountability-WS2 Draft Framework of Interpretation for Human Rights. The SSAC wishes to thank the Human Rights Sub-Group for its enormous effort over a significant period of time and for this excellent report. ...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands this is the SSAC's comment on the CCWG-Accountability-WS2 Draft Framework of Interpretation for Human Rights. The respective public comment period closed on 16 June 2017. A Report of Public Comments will be published on...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC095	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-095-en.pdf	SAC095: SSAC Advisory on the Use of Emoji in Domain Names R-2	5/25/2017	Because the risks identified in this Advisory cannot be adequately mitigated without significant changes to Unicode or IDNA (or both), the SSAC strongly discourages the registration of any domain name that includes emoji in any of its labels. The SSAC al...	Phase 4 Implement	The ICANN Organization understands recommendation 2 of SAC095 to mean that the SSAC strongly discourages the registration of any domain name that includes emoji in any of its labels. The ICANN Organization also understands recommendation 2 to mean that t...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC095	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-095-en.pdf	SAC095: SSAC Advisory on the Use of Emoji in Domain Names R-1	5/25/2017	Because the risks identified in this Advisory cannot be adequately mitigated without significant changes to Unicode or IDNA (or both), the SSAC recommends that the ICANN Board reject any TLD (root zone label) that includes emoji.	Phase 4 Implement	The ICANN Organization understands recommendation 1 of SAC095 to mean that the SSAC recommends that the ICANN Board reject any TLD (root zone label) that includes emoji. This understanding was confirmed by the SSAC on 18 August 2017. The ICANN Board c...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0517-04-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9967	ALAC Statement on the GNSO Community Comment 2 (CC2) on New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Policy Development Process	5/23/2017	[Public Comment Statement] The At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC) reviewed and provided its statement on the Community Comment 2 (CC2) questionnaire developed by the GNSO's Policy Development Process Working Group that is evaluating what changes or add...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands this is the ALAC Statement on the GNSO Community Comment 2 (CC2) on New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Policy Development Process. The respective public comment period closed on 22 May 2017. The Working Group will review a...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC094	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-094-en.pdf	SAC094: SSAC Response to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Policy Development Process (PDP) Working Group Community Comment 2	5/22/2017	This is the SSAC's response to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Policy Development Process (PDP) Working Group Community Comment 2. On 22 March 2017, the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN) opened a public comment forum to ob...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands this is the SSAC's response to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Policy Development Process (PDP) Working Group Community Comment 2. The respective public comment period closed on 22 May 2017. A Report of Public Commen...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0517-05-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9973	ALAC Statement on the Deferral of Country Code Names Supporting Organization Review	5/19/2017	This is the ALAC Statement on the Deferral of Country Code Names Supporting Organization Review. The ALAC supports the ccNSO request to defer their review. ICANN is overwhelmed with reviews of all kinds at the moment. If the ccNSO believes that a deferra...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands this is the ALAC Statement on the Deferral of Country Code Names Supporting Organization Review. The respective public comment period closed on 19 May 2017. A Report of Public Comments will be published on 02 June 2017 ...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0517-03-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9959	ALAC Statement on the Competition, Consumer Trust and Consumer Choice Review Team Draft Report of Recommendations for New gTLDs	5/18/2017	This is the ALAC Statement on the Competition, Consumer Trust and Consumer Choice Review Team Draft Report of Recommendations for New gTLDs. The ALAC appreciates the considerable amount of effort that has clearly gone into the Competition, Consumer Trust...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands this is the ALAC Statement on the Competition, Consumer Trust and Consumer Choice Review Team Draft Report of Recommendations for New gTLDs. The respective public comment period closed on 19 May 2017. A Report of Public...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC093	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-093-en.pdf	SAC093: SSAC Comments on the Draft Recommendations of the CCWGAccountability-WS2 on SO/AC Accountability	5/18/2017	[Public Comment Statement] Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC) provides its statement on the CCWG-Accountability Work Stream 2 (WS2) draft recommendations to Improve SO/AC Accountability. It is organized by Track 1-3. Track 1: Review...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands this is the SSAC Comments on the Draft Recommendations of the CCWGAccountability-WS2 on SO/AC Accountability. The respective public comment period closed on 22 May 2017. A report of public comments will be published on ...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0517-02-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9971	ALAC Statement on the Proposed Fundamentals Bylaws Changes to Move the Board Governance Committee's Reconsideration Process Responsibilities to Another Board Committee	5/17/2017	This is the ALAC's statement on the Proposed Fundamentals Bylaws Changes to Move the Board Governance Committee's Reconsideration Process Responsibilities to Another Board Committee. The ALAC recognizes the effort made to carry out this first use of ...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands this is the ALAC Statement on the Proposed Fundamentals Bylaws Changes to Move the Board Governance Committee's Reconsideration Process Responsibilities to Another Board Committee. The respective public comment period c...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	ALAC Chair ST 28 Apr 2017	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9981	ALAC Chair Statement on the ICANN's Draft FY18 Operating Plan and Budget, and Five-Year Operating Plan Update	4/28/2017	[Public Comment Statement] In addition to the At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC) statement regarding the draft FY18 Operating Plan & Budget Public Comment, the ALAC Chair submitted additional comments outside the document, AL-ALAC-ST-0417-03-00-EN, on ...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands the ALAC Chair submitted additional comments on behalf of the ALAC in response on the ICANN's Draft FY18 Operating Plan and Budget, and Five-Year Operating Plan Update for Public Comment. The respective public comment p...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0417-03-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9961	ALAC Statement on the ICANN's Draft FY18 Operating Plan and Budget, and Five-Year Operating Plan Update	4/28/2017	[Public Comment Statement] -The At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC) reviewed the draft FY18 Operating Plan & Budget and is satisfied with the ALAC and RALO Development Sessions, Cross Regional Outreach Pilot Program, and Captioning Pilot Project in the ...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands this is the ALAC's response on the ICANN's Draft FY18 Operating Plan and Budget, and Five-Year Operating Plan Update for Public Comment. The respective public comment period closed 28 April 2017 and this comment will be...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0517-01-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9965	ALAC Statement on the Draft 2016 African Domain Name System Market Study	4/26/2017	This is the ALAC's Statement on the Draft 2016 African Domain Name System Market Study. The ALAC welcome the AFRICAN DNS study under ICANN strategy for Africa. It is key to understand the domain name industry issues in the region.	Closed	The ICANN organization understands this is the ALAC Statement on the Draft 2016 African Domain Name System Market Study. The respective public comment period closed on 7 May 2017. A Report of Public Comments will be published on 19 May 2017 and this comm...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0417-02-00	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9953	ALAC Statement on the Interim Paper Cross-Community Working Group on Use of Names of Countries and Territories as Top Level Domains	4/26/2017	[Public Comment Statement] This is the ALAC's Statement on the Interim Paper Cross-Community Working Group on Use of Names of Countries and Territories as Top Level Domains. The ALAC appreciates the difficulties experienced by the Cross-Community Worki...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands this is the ALAC's statement on the Interim Paper Cross-Community Working Group on Use of Names of Countries and Territories as Top Level Domains Public Comment. The respective public comment period closed 21 April 2017...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0417-01-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9951	ALAC Statement on the Recommendations to Improve ICANN's Transparency	4/25/2017	[Public Comment Statement] This is the ALAC's statement on the Recommendations from the Community Working Group on Enhancing ICANN Accountability (CCWG-Accountability) Work Stream 2 Subgroup on ICANN Transparency. ALAC and At-Large Members participated...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands this is the ALAC's statement on the Recommendations to Improve ICANN's Transparency Public Comment. The respective public comment period closed 10 April 2017 and this comment will be considered in its Report of Public C...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0317-01-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9949	ALAC Response to: The Independent Review of the ICANN At-Large Community Draft Report for Public Comment	3/31/2017	[Public Comment Statement] This paper sets out the ALAC response to the various recommendations proposed by the ITEMS Review of the At-Large Community. Those recommendations include steps ITEMS has proposed to implement their proposed Empowered Members...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands this is the ALAC's response on the Independent Review of the ICANN ALAC Draft Report for Public Comment. The respective public comment period closed on 24 March 2017, and this comment was included in that consideration....
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC026	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-026-14mar17-en.pdf	RSSAC026: RSSAC Lexicon	3/13/2017	The precise technical language often found in RFCs, while often providing consistency and clarity to technical communities, can sometimes be incomprehensible or misleading when used in a non-technical setting. The purpose of this document is to increa...	Closed	ICANN received RSSAC's approval of ICANN's understanding, confirming that there is no action for the Board.
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC092	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-092-en.pdf	SAC092: SSAC Input to the Cross Community Working Group on Accountability Work Stream 2, Human Rights	3/12/2017	The Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC), as a chartering organization of The Cross Community Working Group On Enhancing ICANN Accountability (CCWGAccountability), wishes to ensure that discussions concerning Human Rights are scoped within th...	Closed	The ICANN Organization understands that SAC092 is intended as a comment for discussion by the Cross-Community Working Group on Enhancing ICANN Accountability Workstream 2, Human Rights. There is no action for the ICANN Board.
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0117-02-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9933	ALAC Statement on the Identifier Technology Health Indicators: Definition	1/20/2017	[Public Comment Statement] ALAC's input to ICANN on the description of five diseases that could affect the health of the name part of the system of unique Internet identifiers. The initiative to define and measure indicators of the technological health...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0117-02-01-EN is ALAC's statement on the Identifier Technology Health Indicators. The respective public comment period closed on 23 January 2017 and this comment was included in that consideration. A Report o...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC091	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-091-en.pdf	SAC091: SSAC Comment on Identifier Technology Health Indicators	1/20/2017	The SSAC has reviewed the presentation on Identifier Technology Health Indicators (ITHI) and provides this response to the Call for Public Comments on "the description of five diseases that could affect the health of the name part of the system of unique...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands SAC091 is the SSAC's comment on the Identifier Technology Health Indicators and is a response to a Call for Public Comments "on the description of five diseases that could affect the health of a name part of the system ...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0117-01-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9931	ALAC Statement on the Updated Supplementary Procedures for Independent Review Process (IRP)	1/10/2017	[Public Comment Statement] 1. The ALAC recognizes the continued effort to maintain an up-to-date set of rules and procedures applicable to the ICANN's day to day operations in a bottom-up, multi-stakeholder, consensus driven process. 2. The ALAC a...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0117-01-00-EN is ALAC's Statement on the Updated Supplementary Procedures for Independent Review Process (IRP). The respective public comment period closed on 1 February 2017 and this comment was included in ...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1216-04-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9919	ALAC Statement on the Proposed ICANN Community Anti-Harassment Policy	12/23/2016	[Public Comment Statement] The At-Large Community welcomes the drafting of a comprehensive Anti-Harassment Policy. On the whole, the document is well written, although there are several instances where the extensive listing of details could be counte...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-1216-04-00-EN is ALAC's Statement on the Proposed ICANN Community Anti-Harassment Policy. The respective public comment period closed on 12 January 2017 and this comment was included in that consideration. A ...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC090	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-090-en.pdf	SAC090: SSAC Advisory on the Stability of the Domain Namespace, R-2	12/22/2016	Recommendation 2: The SSAC recommends that the scope of the work presented in Recommendation 1 include at least the following issues and questions: 1) In the Applicant Guidebook for the most recent round of new generic Top Level Domain (gTLD) applica...	Phase 3 Evaluate & Consider	ICANN received SSAC's approval of understanding and is in the process of evaluating the advice. Updated 8 May 2017: The ICANN organization understands SAC090 Recommendation 2 to mean that the scope of work presented in Recommendation 1 should answer the ...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC090	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-090-en.pdf	SAC090: SSAC Advisory on the Stability of the Domain Namespace, R-1	12/22/2016	Recommendation 1: The SSAC recommends that the ICANN Board of Directors take appropriate steps to establish definitive and unambiguous criteria for determining whether or not a syntactically valid domain name label could be a top-level domain name in the...	Phase 3 Evaluate & Consider	ICANN received SSAC's approval of understanding and is in the process of evaluating the advice. The ICANN organization understands SAC090 Recommendation 1 to mean that the ICANN Board should take the appropriate action to ensure criteria are established ...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC090	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-090-en.pdf	SAC090: SSAC Advisory on the Stability of the Domain Namespace, R-3	12/22/2016	Recommendation 3: Pursuant to its finding that lack of adequate coordination among the activities of different groups contributes to domain namespace instability, the SSAC recommends that the ICANN Board of Directors establish effective means of collabor...	Phase 3 Evaluate & Consider	Updated 8 May 2017: The ICANN organization understands SAC090 Recommendation 3 to mean that, based on SSAC's finding that a lack of adequate coordination among activities of different groups has contributed to domain space instability, the ICANN Board sh...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC090	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-090-en.pdf	SAC090: SSAC Advisory on the Stability of the Domain Namespace, R-4	12/22/2016	Recommendation 4: The SSAC recommends that ICANN complete this work before making any decision to add new TLD names to the global DNS.	Phase 3 Evaluate & Consider	ICANN received SSAC's approval of understanding and is in the process of evaluating the advice. The ICANN organization understands SAC090 Recommendation 4 to mean that ICANN should carry out the recommended actions in SAC090 before adding any new TLD nam...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1216-02-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9909	ALAC Statement on the Draft PTI FY18 Operating Plan and Budget	12/22/2016	[Public Comment Statement] The overall budget estimates are reasonable increment figures of PTI FY17 budget, and there are no major changes on the FY18 budget when compared to the FY17 budget. Although the overall travel and meetings budget line item...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-1216-02-01-EN is ALAC's Statement on the Draft PTI FY18 Operating Plan and Budget. The respective public comment period closed on 10 December 2016 and this comment was included in that consideration. A Report...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1216-03-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9913	ALAC Statement on the Continuous Data-Driven Analysis of Root Server System Stability Draft Report	12/22/2016	[Public Comment Statement] We concur with the report's recommendations including gradual delegation of new gTLDs, continuous monitoring of the impact of new gTLDs, and continuous monitoring of the identified risk parameters as well as its recommendatio...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-1216-03-00-EN is ALAC's Statement on the PContinuous Data-Driven Analysis of Root Server System Stability Draft Report. The respective public comment period closed on 15 January 2017 and this comment was incl...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC089	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-089-en.pdf	SAC089: SSAC Response to ccNSO Comments on SAC084	12/12/2016	SAC089 is the second SSAC Response to ccNSO Comments on SAC084	Closed	The ICANN organization understands SAC089 is the SSAC's follow up to SAC088 and is a response to the ccNSO on its evaluation of SAC084 and is not directed at the Board. There is no action for the ICANN Board. ICANN confirmed this understanding with the ...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1216-01-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9901	ALAC Statement on the Phase II Assessment of the Competitive Effects Associated with the New gTLD Program	12/6/2016	[Public Comment Statement] The outcomes of Assessment are, at best, equivocal. While there has been some expansion in registry numbers and new market entrants, only 15% of the new domains have the characteristics of primary registration. From an end ...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-1216-01-01-EN is ALAC's Statement on the Phase II Assessment of the Competitive Effects Associated with the New gTLD Program. The respective public comment period closed on 5 December 2016 and this comment wa...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1116-01-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9915	ALAC Statement on the Middle East and Adjoining Countries 2016-2019 Strategy	11/22/2016	[Public Comment Statement] The ALAC recommends that concrete steps be taken for ICANN to implement a concerted outreach campaign to each government in the region, with bilateral discussions to convince governments of ICANN's willingness to work in pa...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-1116-01-01-EN is ALAC's Statement on the Middle East and Adjoining Countries 2016-2019 Strategy. The respective public comment period closed on 17 November 2016 and this comment was included in that considera...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	ATLAS II Report	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9917	The ATLAS II Recommendations Implementation Report	11/7/2016	Endorsed by the ALAC by consensus, this ATLAS II Recommendation Implementation Report is the final deliverable of the Taskforce, which serves as a conclusion to the two-year endeavors post ATLAS II. The completion of the ATLAS II Recommendation implement...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands this ATLAS II Report is ALAC's Implementation Report. The report was provided to the ICANN Board on 7 November 2016, at ICANN57 (https://icann572016.sched.com/event/8cym). There is no further action required of the Boar...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC088	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-088-en.pdf	SAC088: SSAC Response to the ccNSO evaluation of SAC084	11/6/2016	SAC088 is the SSAC's Response to the ccNSO evaluation of SAC084	Closed	The ICANN organization understands SAC088 is the SSAC's response to the ccNSO on its evaluation of SAC084 and is not directed at the Board. The SSAC states it will continue to study the ccNSO document and provide complete feedback within four weeks. Ther...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC024	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-024-04nov16-en.pdf	RSSAC024: Key Technical Elements of Potential Root Operators	11/4/2016	An Advisory to the ICANN Board of Directors and the Internet community. In this Advisory, the RSSAC identifies key technical elements of potential DNS root server operators. RSSAC001 and RFC 7720 are considered as starting points; alone, they are insuf...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands RSSAC024 is RSSAC's input into the descriptions of key technical elements for new root server operators and is informational only. There are no actionable items for the ICANN Board. This understanding was sent to the RS...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC023	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-023-04nov16-en.pdf	RSSAC023: History of the Root Server System	11/4/2016	A report to the Internet community from the RSSAC. The RSSAC gives an overview of the organizational history of the root server system.	Closed	The ICANN organization understands RSSAC023 is RSSAC's report to the community on the organization history of the root server system and that there are no actionable items for the ICANN Board. This understanding was sent to the RSSAC for review on 16 Feb...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC025	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-025-04nov16-en.pdf	RSSAC025: RSSAC October 2016 Workshop Report	11/4/2016	Overview of RSSAC's third workshop (October 11-13, 2016). The RSSAC took the mind map constructed during the previous two workshops and broke it into affinity groupings of subject matter. This provides a high-level outline of the work conducted under ea...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands RSSAC025 is RSSAC's report on its third workshop in which it discussed accountability, continuity, and evolution of the root server system, and that there are no actionable items for the ICANN Board. This understanding ...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC087	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-087-en.pdf	SAC087: SSAC Response to the GNSO Policy Development Process (PDP) Working Group on Next Generation gTLD Registration Directory Services ? Second Outreach	10/19/2016	SSAC Response to the GNSO Policy Development Process (PDP) Working Group on Next Generation gTLD Registration Directory Services ? Second Outreach	Closed	The ICANN organization understands SAC087 is the SSAC's response to the GNSO PDP WG on Next Generation Registration Directory Services request for input and invites the WG to review SSAC publications, several of which address TLDs. There is no action for...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC086	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-086-en.pdf	SAC086: SSAC Response to the GNSO Policy Development Process (PDP) Working Group on New gTLD Subsequent Procedures -- Seeking Community Comments	10/19/2016	SSAC Response to the GNSO Policy Development Process (PDP) Working Group on New gTLD Subsequent Procedures -- Seeking Community Comments	Closed	The ICANN organization understands SAC086 is the SSAC's response to the GNSO PDP WG on the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures request for input and invites the WG to review SSAC publications, several of which address TLDs. There is no action for the ICANN Bo...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC085	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-085-en.pdf	SAC085: SSAC Response to the GNSO Policy Development Process (PDP) Working Group on the Review of all Rights Protection Mechanisms in all Generic Top Level Domains (gTLDs)	10/19/2016	SSAC Response to the GNSO Policy Development Process (PDP) Working Group on the Review of all Rights Protection Mechanisms in all Generic Top Level Domains (gTLDs)	Closed	The ICANN organization understands SAC085 is the SSAC's response to the GNSO PDP WG on the Review of All Rights Protection Mechanisms request for input and invites the WG to review SSAC publications, several of which address TLDs. There is no action for ...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC022	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-022-response-newgTld-06oct16-en.pdf	RSSAC022: Response to the GNSO Policy Development Process (PDP) Working Group on the new Generic Top Level Domains (gTLDs) Subsequent Procedures	10/6/2016	Response to 9 June 2016 input request from PDP Working Group on the new gTLDs Subsequent Procedures regarding overarching questions (as part of the Group's first Community Comment process). RSSAC does not have any input on those overarching questions. R...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands RSSAC022 is RSSAC's response to the PDP Working Group on New gTLD Subsequent Procedures request for input, for which the RSSAC does not have any input and does not foresee technical issues provided future plans for more...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0916-01-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9867	ALAC Statement on the gTLD Marketplace Health Index (Beta)	9/10/2016	[Public Comment Statement] The ALAC welcomes the publication of this first set of gTLD Marketplace Health Index. This is a natural progression based on the work of ICANN Community into Competition, Consumer Trust and Consumer Confidence in new gTLDs. ...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0916-01-01-EN is ALAC's Statement on the gTLD Marketplace Health Index (Beta). The respective public comment period closed on 9 September 2016 and this comment was included in that consideration. A Report of ...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	ALAC Policy Issue Report	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9895	At-Large Community Policy Issues - Why End Users Should Care	9/10/2016	The purpose of this document is twofold. Firstly, it outlines the key policy issues of the At-Large community. Secondly, it sets out why end users should care about the specific policy issues. Issues: - WHOIS/Registration Directory Services - IANA...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands the ALAC Policy Issue Report is ALAC's report on the At-Large Community Policy Issues. The report was provided to Rinalia Abdul Rahim on 10 September 2016 (https://community.icann.org/display/alacpolicydev/At-Large+At-L...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC021	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-021-statement-unavailability-single-root-server-08sep16-en.pdf	RSSAC021: RSSAC Statement Concerning The Impact of the Unavailability of a Single Root Server	9/8/2016	The RSSAC's answer of whether or not the loss of any single root server will impact the resiliency, stability or reliability of the root server system. Based on information available as of the statement, loss of a single root server would not cause immed...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands RSSAC021 is RSSAC's statement regarding the question of whether the loss of any single root server will impact the resiliency, stability or reliability of the root server system and is informational only. There are no a...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC084	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-084-en.pdf	SAC084: SSAC Comments on Guidelines for the Extended Process Similarity Review Panel for the IDN ccTLD Fast Track Process	8/31/2016	SSAC Comments on Guidelines for the Extended Process Similarity Review Panel for the IDN ccTLD Fast Track Process	Phase 3 Evaluate & Consider	ICANN received SSAC's approval of understanding and is in the process of evaluating the advice. The ICANN organization understands SAC084 is the SSAC's comment on the the Guidelines for the Extended Process Similarity Review Panel (EPSRP) for the IDN ccT...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0816-01-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9869	ALAC Statement on the Proposed Guidelines for the Second String Similarity Review Process	8/24/2016	[Public Comment Statement] The ALAC strongly supports the Working Group's specified observations on the process around confusing similarity of IDN ccTLDs. Specifically, the ALAC is in agreement with the Working Group's suggested way forward?. The ...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0816-01-00-EN is ALAC's Statement on the Proposed Guidelines for the Second String Similarity Review Process. The respective public comment period closed on 31 July 2016 and this comment was included in that ...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0716-02-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9829	ALAC Statement on the ICANN Fellowship Program Application Process Review	8/6/2016	[Public Comment Statement] This is the ALAC's Statement on the ICANN Fellowship Program Application Process Review. The ALAC appreciates the opportunity to comment on the ICANN Fellowship Program Application Process Review. The ALAC believes that the F...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0716-02-01-EN is ALAC's Statement on the ICANN Fellowship Program Application Process Review. The respective public comment period closed on 29 July 2016 and this comment was included in that consideration. A...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC083	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-083-en.pdf	SAC083: SSAC Comment on Proposed Amendments to Base New gTLD Registry Agreement	7/15/2016	Dotless Domains: The Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC) provides a brief comment on the Proposed Amendments to Base New Generic Top Level Domain (gTLD) Registry Agreement. Specifically, Section 1.2 of Exhibit A (Approved Services) introduce...	Closed	ICANN staff understands SAC083 provides SSAC's comments on draft proposed amendments to the Base New gTLD Registry Agreement and there are no actionable items for the ICANN Board. The Public Comment period for the Proposed Amendments to the Base New gTL...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0716-01-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9815	ALAC Statement on the Proposed Amendments to Base New gTLD Registry Agreement	6/30/2016	[Public Comment Statement] This is the ALAC's statement on the Proposed Amendments to the Base New gTLD Registry Agreement.	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0716-01-01-EN is ALAC's Statement on the Proposed Amendments to the Base New gTLD Registry Agreement.. The respective public comment period closed on 25 June 2016 and this comment was included in that consid...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC020	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-client-reliability-root-dns-28jun16-en.pdf	RSSAC020: RSSAC Statement on Client Side Reliability of Root DNS Data	6/28/2016	RSSAC confirms that the operators of the root servers are committed to serving the IANA global root DNS namespace The RSSAC fully supports the IAB's viewpoints expressed in RFC 2826. The RSSAC reiterates its support for integrity protecting protocols s...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands RSSAC020 is RSSAC's statement confirming that operators of root servers are committed to serving the IANA global root DNS namespace and that there is no action for the ICANN Board. This understanding was sent to the RSS...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC019	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-workshop-26jun16-en.pdf	RSSAC019: RSSAC Workshop 2 Report	6/26/2016	Overview of RSSAC's second workshop (May 11-12, 2016). The RSSAC continued upon its previous workshops and deliberated theses, including accountability, continuity, operational and organizational evolution. The work was framed around Architecture, Evolu...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands RSSAC019 is RSSAC's report on its second workshop in which it discussed accountability, continuity, and operational and organization evolution, and that there are no actionable items for the ICANN Board. This understand...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0616-01-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9817	ALAC Statement on the Request for Input - Next-Generation RDS to replace WHOIS PDP	6/10/2016	[Public Comment Statement] Without taking away from the importance of the documents, we suggest that the Working Group focus on more critical documents, including: - The latest WHOIS Policy Review Team Final Report 2012 - SAC Reports 054, 055 and 0...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0616-01-00-EN is ALAC's Statement on the Request for Input - Next-Generation RDS to replace WHOIS PDP. The input was provided to the GNSO on 10 June 2016 for consideration (https://community.icann.org/display...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC082	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-082-en.pdf	SAC082: SSAC Response to the Request for Advice Relating to the 2012 New gTLD Round	6/3/2016	On 13 May 2016, the Policy Development Process (PDP) Working Group on New gTLD Subsequent Procedures requested input from the Supporting Organizations, Advisory Committees, Stakeholder Groups, and Constituencies seeking assistance in building a catalog o...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands SAC082 is SSAC's response to the Policy Development Process (PDP) Working Group on New gTLD Subsequent Procedures request for input on building a catalog of existing Advice or Statements for Working Group consideration ...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC081	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-081-en.pdf	SAC081: SSAC Response to Request for Input on Next Generation gTLD RDS to Replace WHOIS Policy Development Process (PDP)	5/25/2016	SSAC response to the working group request for input to better inform the policy development process	Closed	The ICANN organization understands SAC081 is SSAC's response to a call for input by the GNSO Next Generation gTLD RDS to Replace WHOIS PDP Working Group. There is no action for the ICANN Board. ICANN confirmed this understanding with the SSAC on 5 May ...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	ALAC Statement New Bylaws	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9797	ALAC Statement on the Draft New ICANN Bylaws	5/21/2016	[Public Comment Statement] This is the ALAC statement on the Draft New ICANN Bylaws.	Closed	The ICANN organization understands this is ALAC's statement on the Draft New ICANN Bylaws. The respective public comment period closed 21 May 2016 and this comment was included in that consideration. A Report of Public Comments was issued 25 May 2016 (ht...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	Multi-Year F2F Meetings	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9799	Proposal for Multi-Year Planning of At-Large Face-to-Face meetings	4/30/2016	[Public Comment Statement] Although the funding process has evolved as has general ICANN budgeting, the GAs have been funded through the Community Special Budget Request Process, and the Summits through special requests to the Board Finance Committee...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands this is ALAC's Proposal for Multi-Year Planning of At-Large Face-to-Face meetings. The respective public comment period closed on 30 April 2016 and this comment was included in that consideration. A Report of Public Com...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0416-03-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9787	ALAC Statement on the Draft ICANN FY17 Operating Plan & Budget and Five-Year Operating Plan Update	4/30/2016	[Public Comment Statement] The At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC) reviewed the draft FY17 Operating Plan & Budget, and found it generally well done, with more clarity compared to the ones in previous years. We especially appreciate the planning proce...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0416-03-01-EN is ALAC's Statement on the Draft ICANN FY17 Operating Plan & Budget and Five-Year Operating Plan Update. The respective public comment period closed on 30 April 2016 and this comment was include...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC074	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-074-en.pdf	SAC074: SSAC Advisory on Registrant Protection: Best Practices for Preserving Security and Stability in the Credential Management Lifecycle - Item 1	4/25/2016	Item 1: The ICANN Compliance Department should publish data about the security breaches that registrars have reported in accordance with the 2013 RAA.	Phase 3 Evaluate & Consider	ICANN received SSAC's approval of understanding and is in the process of evaluating the advice. Updated 2 Aug 2017: Our understanding of this advice is that ICANN should provide regularly updated data about security breaches reported in accordance wi...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC074	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-074-en.pdf	SAC074: SSAC Advisory on Registrant Protection: Best Practices for Preserving Security and Stability in the Credential Management Lifecycle - Item 3	4/25/2016	Item 3: Future RAA deliberations should encourage stronger authentication practices, specifically the use of multi-factor authentication.	Phase 3 Evaluate & Consider	ICANN received SSAC's approval of understanding and is in the process of evaluating the advice. Our understanding of this advice is that for future versions of the Registrar Accreditation Agreement (RAA), ICANN should advocate that registrars are committ...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC074	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-074-en.pdf	SAC074: SSAC Advisory on Registrant Protection: Best Practices for Preserving Security and Stability in the Credential Management Lifecycle - Item 4	4/25/2016	Item 4: The ICANN Board should direct ICANN staff to facilitate global hands-on training programs for registrars and registries based on the best practices outlined in this document, with the goal to enable parties to learn practical operational practice...	Phase 3 Evaluate & Consider	ICANN received SSAC's approval of understanding and is in the process of evaluating the advice. Our understanding of this advice is that ICANN staff should facilitate training programs for registrars and registries relating to the credential management c...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC074	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-074-en.pdf	SAC074: SSAC Advisory on Registrant Protection: Best Practices for Preserving Security and Stability in the Credential Management Lifecycle - Item 2	4/25/2016	Item 2: A provision similar to 2013 RAA paragraph 3.20 should be incorporated into all future registry contracts, with similar statistics published.	Phase 3 Evaluate & Consider	ICANN received SSAC's approval of understanding and is in the process of evaluating the advice. ICANN staff's understanding of this advice is that a provision similar to paragraph 3.20 of the 2013 Registrar Accreditation Agreement (RAA) should be incorpo...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0416-02-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9769	ALAC Statement on the Final Report Recommendations of the Geographic Regions Review Working Group	4/23/2016	[Public Comment Statement] The At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC) appreciates the excellent work done by the Geographic Regions Review Working Group. The improvement this final report brings is appreciated. The origin of the ICANN Geographic Regions ...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0416-02-00-EN is ALAC's Statement on the Final Report Recommendations of the Geographic Regions Review Working Group. The respective public comment period closed on 24 April 2016 and this comment was included...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC080	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-080-en.pdf	SAC 080: SSAC Approval of CCWG-Accountability Supplemental Final Proposal on Work Stream 1 Recommendations	4/21/2016	The Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC), in its capacity as a Chartering Organization of the ICANN Cross-Community Working Group on Accountability, received an invitation on 23 February 2016 to consider and approve the Working Group's Supple...	Closed	SAC080 is informational and there are no actionable items for the Board within that document.
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0416-01-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9779	ALAC Statement on the Draft Framework of Principles for Cross Community Working Groups	4/16/2016	[Public Comment Statement] For many years, the ALAC has been a supporter of the need to remove barriers that result in silos within ICANN's communities. The ALAC has supported the creation of Cross Community Working Groups (interchangeably referenced...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0416-01-00-EN is ALAC's Statement on the Draft Framework of Principles for Cross Community Working Groups. The respective public comment period closed on 16 April 2016 and this comment was included in that co...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-CO-0216-01-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9783	ALAC Advice regarding the Long-Standing Issue of the Sensitive TLDs Classified as Category 1, Safeguard 1-8 in the GAC Beijing Communiqué	3/18/2016	ALAC believes that the Board has all of the requisite authority to call on the community to establish the said ?Review Committee? based upon the fact that this is a specific PICs implementation issue that was called for by the GAC in their ICANN 46 Beiji...	Closed	The ICANN Board considered this advice at ICANN55, and determined that it would not be practical to establish a Review Committee, when the Review Team on Competition, Consumer Trust, and Consumer Choice (CCT-RT) and the GNSO New gTLD Subsequent Procedure...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC079	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-079-en.pdf	SSAC Advisory on the Changing Nature of IPv4 Address Semantics	3/17/2016	The SSAC considers the changing role of Internet Protocol Version 4 (IPv4) addresses caused by the increasing scarcity, and subsequent exhaustion, of IPv4 addresses.	Closed	SAC079 is primarily information and that the recommendations contained therein, specifically: ? Network operators should accelerate plans to deploy IPv6, and consider the consequences of deploying IPv4 continuation technologies, such as NAT, prior to de...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC018	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-icg-ccwg-accountability-10mar16-en.pdf	RSSAC018: RSSAC Statement on the Transmission of the ICG and CCWG-Accountability Proposals	3/10/2016	The RSSAC congratulates the Internet stakeholder community for the transmission of the proposals, from the IANA Stewardship Transition Coordination Group and the Cross-Community Working Group on Enhancing ICANN Accountability, to the United States Depart...	Closed	The ICANN Organization understands RSSAC018 is RSSAC's statement congratulating the IANA Stewardship Transition Coordination Group and the CCWG on the Transmission of the ICG and CCWG-Accountability proposals to the NTIA and that there are no actionable ...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC078	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-078-en.pdf	Advisory on Uses of the Shared Global Domain Name Space	3/7/2016	SSAC has formed a work party to investigate the implications of this work as it pertains to the security and stability of the DNS. This work party will study the security and stability issues associated with multiple uses of the domain name space.	Closed	ICANN staff understands SAC078 is informational. There are no actionable items in SAC078 for the ICANN Board or staff.
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC076	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-076-en.pdf	SSAC Comment on the CCWG-Accountability 3rd Draft Proposal	2/8/2016	SSAC comments on the CCWG?A Proposal on Work Stream 1 Recommendations in the Public Comment Forum that opened on 30 November 2015 and is scheduled to close on 21 December 2015, specifically on those aspects that are related either to security and stabili...	Closed	SAC 076 provides SSAC's comments on on the third draft proposal from the Cross Community Working Group on Accountability and that there are no actionable items for the ICANN Board.
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC017	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-002-scope-04feb16-en.pdf	RSSAC017: RSSAC Statement of Work and Scope for RSSAC002 v3	2/4/2016	The RSSAC recently updated the RSSAC002 document with a number of minor clarifications. RSSAC002v2 was published on 26 January 2016.1 While working on the v2 updates, a number of more substantial issues came to light, but were postponed. At this time the...	Closed	The ICANN Organization understands RSSAC017 describes RSSAC's scope for producing version 3 of RSSAC002 and that there are no actionable items for the ICANN Board. This understanding was sent to the RSSAC for review on 16 February 2017.
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0116-02-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9757	ALAC Statement on the Proposed Implementation of GNSO Thick Whois Consensus Policy Requiring Consistent Labeling and Display of RDDS (Whois) Output for All gTLDs Follow Updates	1/31/2016	[Public Comment Statement] The ALAC would like to register its extreme dismay and dissatisfaction with the current state of this project. Specifically: 1.The prime rational for the PDP and prime recommendation of the PDP was the move to a Thick Who...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0116-02-00-EN is ALAC's Statement on the Proposed Implementation of GNSO Thick Whois Consensus Policy Requiring Consistent Labeling and Display of RDDS (Whois) Output for All gTLDs Follow Updates. The respect...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC077	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-077-en.pdf	SSAC Comment on gTLD Marketplace Health Index Proposal	1/28/2016	The (SSAC) comments on the gTLD Marketplace Health Index Proposal in the 17 November 2015 Public Comment Forum support SSAC member Greg Aaron, in his personal capacity, and expands on some of his comments and offer others.	Closed	These comments are provided by SSAC as part of the normal public comment period on the gTLD Marketplace Health Index Proposal and that SSAC intends for those comments to be folded into a staff action report or staff briefing. If Board action is required,...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC057	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-057-en.pdf	R-4 Advisory on Internal Name Certificates	1/27/2016	A contingency plan to be executed if the vulnerability is leaked to the public prematurely, as well as a proactive vulnerability disclosure plan.	Closed	This work was undertaken by ICANN staff including the Security Team. ICANN has coordinated mitigation efforts with the CA/Browser forum. Specifically, 1. ICANN worked with the Certificate Authority Browser Forum (CA/B Forum), which passed Ballot 96. Fina...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC057	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-057-en.pdf	R-2 Advisory on Internal Name Certificates	1/27/2016	A Disclosure Policy as informed by industry best practices for vulnerability disclosure (e.g. CERT / CC vulnerability disclosure.8 Such a policy should take into consideration that once the disclosure is public, it is trivial to exploit the vulnerability...	Closed	This work was undertaken by ICANN staff including the Security Team. ICANN has coordinated mitigation efforts with the CA/Browser forum. Specifically, 1. ICANN worked with the Certificate Authority Browser Forum (CA/B Forum), which passed Ballot 96.
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC057	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-057-en.pdf	R-3 Advisory on Internal Name Certificates	1/27/2016	A communication plan on informing affected parties as determined by the disclosure policy.	Closed	This work was undertaken by ICANN staff including the Security Team. ICANN has coordinated mitigation efforts with the CA/Browser forum. Specifically, 1. ICANN worked with the Certificate Authority Browser Forum (CA/B Forum), which passed Ballot 96. Fina...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC057	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-057-en.pdf	R-1 Advisory on Internal Name Certificates	1/27/2016	Outreach to the CA/B forum7 and CAs, requesting that they treat applied for new gTLDs as if they were delegated TLDs as soon as possible, as well as discussing the broader implications and mitigation steps. (conducted confidentially)	Closed	This work was undertaken by ICANN staff including the Security Team. ICANN has coordinated mitigation efforts with the CA/Browser forum. Specifically, 1. ICANN worked with the Certificate Authority Browser Forum (CA/B Forum), which passed Ballot 96.
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0116-01-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9755	ALAC Statement on the Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP) Operational Profile for gTLD Registries and Registrars	1/23/2016	[Public Comment Statement] The SSAC in its 2011 report on Domain Name Whois Terminology and Structure (SAC 051) recommended the development of replacement protocol that would provide a uniform and standard framework for accessing Domain Name Registra...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0116-01-00-EN is ALAC's Statement on the Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP) Operational Profile for gTLD Registries and Registrars. The respective public comment period closed on 18 March 2016 and this ...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC016	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-workshop-07jan16-en.pdf	RSSAC016: RSSAC Workshop 2015 Report	1/7/2016	During September 23-24, 2015, the Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC) conducted its first workshop, graciously hosted at the University of Maryland, and equally graciously supported by ICANN. The purpose of the workshop was to begin work on a f...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands RSSAC016 is RSSAC's report on its first workshop in which it discussed the evolution of the Root Server System as well as accountability, continuity and evolution, and that there are no actionable items for the ICANN Bo...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1215-04-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9747	ALAC Statement on the CCWG-Accountability - Draft Proposal on Work Stream 1 Recommendations	12/28/2015	[Public Comment Statement] Alan Greenberg's input on behalf of ALAC regarding the CCWG-Accountability - Draft Proposal on Work Stream 1 Recommendation.	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-1215-04-00-EN is ALAC's Statement on the CCWG-Accountability - Draft Proposal on Work Stream 1 Recommendations. The respective public comment period closed on 21 December 2015 and this comment was included in...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC015	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-cwg-accountability-ws1-draft-22dec15-en.pdf	RSSAC015: RSSAC Statement on CCWG-Accountability Draft Proposal on Work Stream 1	12/22/2015	The RSSAC, composed of the root server operators and others closely involved in the operations of the DNS root services, has reviewed the Cross Community Working Group (CCWG) Proposal on ICANN Accountability Enhancements (Work Stream 1) [1] and observed ...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands RSSAC015 is RSSAC's comment detailing that the RSSAC has no position on the CCWG Proposal on ICANN Accountability Enhancements and that there are no actionable items for the ICANN Board. This understanding was sent to t...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1215-03-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9745	ALAC Statement on the gTLD Marketplace Health Index Proposal	12/21/2015	[Public Comment Statement] The ALAC is pleased to see the proposals for a Marketplace Health Index and has suggested further concepts that are vital to a healthy and diverse global gTLD marketplace. However, the ALAC notes that this Health Index is r...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-1215-03-00-EN is ALAC's Statement on the gTLD Marketplace Health Index Proposal. The respective public comment period closed on 22 January 2016 and this comment was included in that consideration. A Report of P...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1215-02-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9725	ALAC Statement on the New gTLD Program Implementation Review Draft Report	12/10/2015	[Public Comment Statement] The ALAC welcomes the opportunity to comment on the New gTLD Programme Implementation Review Draft Report. We recognise that the review has been a self-assessment by ICANN staff of their execution of the processes involved ...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-1215-02-01-EN is ALAC's Statement on the New gTLD Program Implementation Review Draft Report. The respective public comment period closed on 7 December 2015 and this comment was included in that consideration....
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC075	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/ssac-075-en.pdf	SSAC Comments to ITU-D on Establishing New Certification Authorities	12/9/2015	As it relates to webPKI, the SSAC has been following and encouraging the evolution and deployment of the DNS, DNSSEC, and DNS-based Authentication of Named Entities (DANE). The SSAC believes standards based on DANE, possibly in combination with independ...	Closed	This advice is that is not directed at the ICANN Board, but that it the SSAC's response to the 11 September 2015 liaison statement from ITU-D Study Group 2 Question 3/2. We note that the SSAC encourages interested parties to cooperate with the CAB Forum ...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1215-01-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9741	ALAC Statement on the Proposed implementation of GNSO Policy Development Process Recommendations on Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy (IRTP) Part D	12/7/2015	[Public Comment Statement] The ALAC supports the Report of the Implementation of the GNSO Policy Development Process Recommendations of the IRTP-D. However, in discussions in the original WG and the Implementation WG, the ALAC stressed the need for c...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-1215-01-00-EN is ALAC's Statement on the Proposed implementation of GNSO Policy Development Process Recommendations on Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy (IRTP) Part D. The respective public comment period close...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1115-02-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9723	ALAC Statement on the Preliminary Issue Report on a GNSO Policy Development Process to Review All Rights Protection Mechanisms in All gTLDs	11/30/2015	[Public Comment Statement] 1. The ALAC recognizes the need to review Rights Protection Mechanisms (RPMs) as they relate to Intellectual Property rights and domain names; 2. The ALAC is concerned that these RPMs seem to be more focused on protecting...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-1115-02-01-EN is ALAC's Statement on the Preliminary Issue Report on a GNSO Policy Development Process to Review All Rights Protection Mechanisms in All gTLDs. The respective public comment period closed on 3...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1115-01-00-EN	http://tinyurl.com/alacdapadvice	ALAC Statement on the Planned Implementation of the New Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP)	11/30/2015	The ALAC is very concerned that the planned implementation of the new Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP) may not support enhanced privacy protections proposed by the Expert Working Group on gTLD Directory Services (EWG)... The ALAC is strongly ...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands that this is ALAC's Statement on the Planned Implementation of the New Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP). The statement was sent to the ICANN Board on 28 November 2015. The ALAC strongly argues that the RDAP impl...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1015-03-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9733	ALAC Statement on the Use of Country and Territory Names as Top-Level Domains	10/22/2015	This is an input request from the ccNSO and GNSO Councils, they have chartered a Cross Community Working Group on the Use of Country and Territory Names as top-level domains (CWG-UCTN). Arguments for and against the reservation of 3-letter cTLDs with...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-1015-03-00-EN is ALAC's statement on the Use of Country and Territory Names as Top-Level Domains. This statement is in response to an input request from the ccNSO and GNSO Councils, they have chartered a Cros...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1015-04-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9715	ALAC Statement on the Preliminary Issue Report on New gTLD Subsequent Procedures	10/22/2015	[Public Comment Statement] The ALAC congratulates Staff in the drafting of this Preliminary Issues Report. The ALAC submits the comments with regards to issues identified, section by section. In cases where a section is not mentioned, the ALAC endorse...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-1015-04-00-EN is ALAC's statement on the Preliminary Issue Report on New gTLD Subsequent Procedures. The respective public comment period closed on 30 Oct 2015 and this comment was included in that considera...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1015-01-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9711	ALAC Statement on the Proposal for Arabic Script Root Zone Label Generation Rules	10/16/2015	[Public Comment Statement] ALAC congratulates the Task Force on Arabic Script IDNs (TF-AIDN) in developing the Proposal for Arabic Script Root Zone Label Generation Rules (LGR). Appreciates proposal's focus on variant issues in Arabic to address user co...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-1015-01-01-EN is ALAC's statement on the Proposal for Arabic Script Root Zone Label Generation Rules. The respective public comment period closed on 06 Oct 2015 and this comment was included in that consider...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC073	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-073-en.pdf	SAC073: SSAC Comments on Root Zone Key Signing Key Rollover Plan	9/30/2015	In this Advisory the Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC) addresses the following topics: ? Terminology and definitions relating to DNSSEC key rollover in the root zone; ? Key management in the root zone; ? Motivations for root zone KSK ro...	Phase 4 Implement	The ICANN organization understands that SAC073 duplicates the advice sent by the SSAC in SAC063, with one distinction, which is as follows: To help the broader community to have a higher level of confidence in the anticipated success of this planned a...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0915-05-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/statement-ccwg-accountability-17sep15-en.pdf	ALAC Statement on the Proposed ICANN Bylaws Amendments - GNSO Policy & Implementation Recommendations	9/19/2015	Advises the Board to carefully monitor both issues set forth in the statement to ensure that user and public interests are appropriately considered and that the implementation of complex policy can be accomplished in reasonable time-frames.	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment period on the CCWG Accountability's Second Draft Report (Work Stream 1); https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/report-comments-ccwg-accountability-13oct15-en.pdf). The public...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0915-04-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9700	ALAC Statement on Cross Community Working Group on Enhancing ICANN Accountability 2nd Draft Report (Work Stream 1)	9/17/2015	[Public Comment Statement] The ALAC is generally supportive of the overall proposal. Although the ALAC preference was to have less ?enforceability? and a lighter-weight proposal than preferred by some other groups in ICANN, we believe that the overall ...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0915-04-01-EN is ALAC's statement on Cross Community Working Group on Enhancing ICANN Accountability 2nd Draft Report (Work Stream 1) . The respective public comment period closed on 12 Sep 2015 and this com...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1015-02-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9719	ALAC Statement on the New gTLD Auction Proceeds Discussion Paper	9/15/2015	[Public Comment Statement] - We recommend that the drafting team is made up of at least 2 persons per chartering SO/AC and with representation from all SO/ACs that indicate an interest. - Any charter reported broadly: 1) affirms the principles of openn...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-1015-02-00-EN is ALAC's statement on the New gTLD Auction Proceeds Discussion Paper . The respective public comment period closed on 08 Nov 2015 and this comment was included in that consideration. A Report ...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0915-02-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9683	ALAC Statement on the Initial Report on Data & Metrics for Policy Making	9/9/2015	[Public Comment Statement] ALAC provide community input into the Initial Report from the GNSO's Working Group with regards to possible recommendations for the use of Data and Metrics for Policy Making. - The ALAC supports the possible need to employ ...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0915-02-00-EN is ALAC's statement on the Initial Report on Data & Metrics for Policy Making. The respective public comment period closed on 07 Sep 2015 and this comment was included in that consideration. ...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0915-03-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9729	ALAC Statement on the IANA Stewardship Transition Proposal	9/8/2015	[Public Comment Statement] ALAC response to IANA Stewardship Transition Proposal. Answers questions concerning the Proposal as a Whole, the NTIA Criteria, and the ICG Report and Executive Summary List of Public Comments: https://www.ianacg.org/cal...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0915-03-00-EN is ALAC's statement on the IANA Stewardship Transition Proposal . The respective public comment period closed on 08 Sep 2015 and this comment was included in that consideration. A Proposal to...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0915-01-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9682	ALAC Statement on the Next-Generation gTLD Registration Directory Services to Replace WHOIS Preliminary Issue Report	9/6/2015	[Public Comment Statement] The ALAC strongly supports the research and recommendations in the Preliminary Issue Report. We are particularly impressed by the report's clear, coherent summary of the milestone policy development activities, studies, and im...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0915-01-00-EN is ALAC's statement on the Next-Generation gTLD Registration Directory Services to Replace WHOIS Preliminary Issue Report. The respective public comment period closed on 06 Sep 2015 and this co...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC014	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-iana-stewardship-04sep15-en.pdf	RSSAC014: Comment to "Proposal to Transition the Stewardship of the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) Functions..."	9/4/2015	The Root Server System Advisory Committee, composed of the root server operators and others closely involved in the operations of the DNS root, has reviewed the ICG plan and observed the ICANN community process that has led to it.	Closed	The ICANN organization understands RSSAC014 is RSSAC's comment detailing support for the "Proposal to Transition the Stewardship of the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) Functions..." and that there are no actionable items for the ICANN Board. I...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC003	http://research.google.com/pubs/pub43974.html	RSSAC003: RSSAC Report on Root Zone TTLS	8/21/2015	To address the DNSSEC problems identified in Section 6.4, the RSSAC recommends the Root Zone Management partners to increase the signature validity periods for signatures generated by both the KSK and the ZSK. KSK signature validity should be increased t...	Phase 5 Close Request	On 15 September 2016, the Board adopted the RSSAC advice for the KSK signature validity in RSSAC 003, and directs ICANN's President and CEO, or his designee, to proceed with implementing the KSK recommendations in RSSAC 003 in collaboration with the root...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0815-01-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9686	ALAC Statement on the Draft Report: Review of the Generic Names Supporting Organization	8/10/2015	[Public Comment Statement] ALAC response to on the Draft Report published by Westlake Governance, the independent examiner appointed by the Structural Improvements Committee of the ICANN Board for the review of the Generic Names Support Organization (GN...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0815-01-01-EN is ALAC's statement on the Draft Report: Review of the Generic Names Supporting Organization . The respective public comment period closed on 31 Jul 2015 and this comment was included in that c...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0715-02-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9689	ALAC Statement on the GNSO Privacy & Proxy Services Accreditation Issues Working Group Initial Report	7/16/2015	[Public Comment Statement] Response to the following questions of the Initial Report of the Privacy and Proxy Services Accreditation Issues Report: - When must contact requests to the customer be forwarded to the P/P customer? - Should or must t...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0715-02-01-EN is ALAC's statement on the GNSO Privacy & Proxy Services Accreditation Issues Working Group Initial Report . The respective public comment period closed on 07 Jul 2015 and this comment was inclu...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0715-01-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9687	ALAC Statement on the Proposed Schedule and Process/Operational Improvements for AoC and Organizational Reviews	7/16/2015	[Public Comment Statement] ALAC Statement on the Proposed Schedule and Process/Operational Improvements for AoC and Organizational Reviews Report of Public Comments: https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/report-comments-aoc-org-reviews-05aug15...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0715-01-01-EN is ALAC's statement on the Proposed Schedule and Process/Operational Improvements for AoC and Organizational Reviews. The respective public comment period closed on 08 Jul 2015 and this comment ...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC013	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-root-servers-work-statement-09jul15-en.pdf	RSSAC013: Statement of Scope and Work for "History and Technical Analysis of the Naming Scheme Used for Individual Root Servers"	7/9/2015	The RSSAC wishes to make a recommendation relating to the naming scheme used for individual root servers. The document will: 1) Document the technical history of the names assigned to individual root servers since the creation of the Root Server System...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands RSSAC013 describes RSSAC's scope for developing a recommendation relating to the naming scheme used for individual root servers and that there are no actionable items for the ICANN Board. ICANN's understanding of the re...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	ALAC Motion 25 Jun 2015	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9731	ALAC Motion to adopt the Final Transition Proposal of the Cross Community Working Group on Naming-Related Functions (CWG-Stewardship)	6/25/2015	ALAC Motion to adopt the Final Transition Proposal of the Cross Community Working Group on Naming-Related Functions (CWG-Stewardship). - Approves Final Proposal - PTI Board Members should attempt to address geo diversity - Success of PTI contingent on...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands this is ALAC Motion to adopt the Final Transition Proposal of the Cross Community Working Group on Naming-Related Functions (CWG-Stewardship). A Response to the IANA Stewardship Transition Coordination Group Request fo...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC072	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-072-en.pdf	SAC072: SSAC Comment on the Cross Community Working Group on Naming Relating Functions Proposal	6/24/2015	This is a Comment to the ICANN Board, the ICANN community, and the Internet community more broadly from the ICANN Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC) on the Response to the IANA Stewardship Transition Coordination Group Request for Proposals...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands SAC072 is the SSAC's comment on the CCWG Naming Relating Functions Proposal confirming that the proposal satisfies the recommendations in SAC069. There is no actionable advice for the ICANN Board. ICANN confirmed this ...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0615-01-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/9621	ALAC Statement on the Cross Community Working Group on Enhancing ICANN Accountability (CCWG-Accountability) - Proposed Accountability Enhancements (Work Stream 1)	6/11/2015	[Public Comment Statement] In general the ALAC is supportive of the direction being taken by the CCWG and will provide guidance on a number of issues, some of which the CCWG is explicitly seeking, and others where the ALAC believes that reconsideration...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0615-01-00-EN is ALAC's statement on the Cross Community Working Group on Enhancing ICANN Accountability (CCWG-Accountability) - Proposed Accountability Enhancements (Work Stream 1) . The respective public co...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC071	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-071-en.pdf	SSAC Comments on Cross Community Working Group Proposal on ICANN Accountability Enhancements	6/8/2015	Concerning the role of SSAC in any new proposed structure, according to its charter, the role of SSAC is to "advise the ICANN community and Board on matters relating to the security and integrity of the Internet's naming and address allocation systems". ...	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: see https://forum.icann.org/lists/comments-ccwg-accountability-draft-proposal-04may15/msg00072.html . On 10 March 2016, the ICANN Board accepted the CCWG-Accountability Work Stream 1 Repo...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC012	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-ccwg-accountability-ws1-draft-05jun15-en.pdf	RSSAC012: RSSAC Public Comment on CCWG-Accountability Work Stream 1 Draft Report	6/5/2015	RSSAC Comments on the Accountability Draft Proposal	Closed	The ICANN organization understands RSSAC012 is RSSAC's comment on the Accountability Draft Proposal and there are no actionable items for the ICANN Board. The public comment period closed on 12 June 2015 and a report was released on 19 August 2015 (https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-ccwg-accountability-ws1-draft-05jun15-en.pdf).
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC070	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-070-en.pdf	SAC070: R-5 Advisory on the Use of Static TLD / Suffix Lists	5/28/2015	Recommendation 5: IANA should host a PSL containing information about the domains within the registries with which IANA has direct communication. Such a PSL would be authoritative for those domains. Such a list should include, at a minimum, all TLDs in t...	Phase 4 Implement	The ICANN organization understands recommendation 5 of SAC070 as directing IANA staff to host an authoritative PSL containing information about the domains within the registries with which IANA has direct communication. This list should at least include ...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC070	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-070-en.pdf	SAC070: R-3 Advisory on the Use of Static TLD / Suffix Lists	5/28/2015	Recommendation 3: To close the knowledge gap between registries and popular PSL maintainers, ICANN and the Mozilla Foundation should collaboratively create informational material that can be given to TLD registry operators about the Mozilla PSL.	Phase 4 Implement	The ICANN organization understands this recommendation to mean that ICANN, in concert with the Mozilla Foundation, prepare educational materials on the Mozilla PSL covering the meaning of the resource and the impact of the resource. On 24 June 2017, t...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC070	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-070-en.pdf	SAC070: R-6 Advisory on the Use of Static TLD / Suffix Lists	5/28/2015	Recommendation 6: ICANN should explicitly include use and actions related to a PSL as part of the work related to universal acceptance.	Closed	The ICANN organization understands recommendation 6 of SAC070 as encouraging those parties working on universal acceptance such as the UASG to explicitly include the use of a PSL and actions related to a PSL as part of their work. On 24 June 2017, the...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC070	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-070-en.pdf	SAC070: R-4a Advisory on the Use of Static TLD / Suffix Lists	5/28/2015	Recommendation 4a: The Internet community should standardize the current approach to PSLs. Specifically: Recommendation 4a: ICANN, as part of its initiatives on universal acceptance, should encourage the software development community (including the ope...	Closed	The ICANN organization understanding of SAC070 R-04a is that ICANN should request that the UASG encourage the development of software resources enabling or enhancing the effective use of the Mozilla PSL, with attention towards software developers. As par...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC070	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-070-en.pdf	R-4c Advisory on the Use of Static TLD / Suffix Lists	5/28/2015	Recommendation 4c: Application developers should also replace proprietary PSLs with well-known and widely accepted PSL implementations such as the Mozilla PSL and the proposed IANA PSL (Recommendation 5).	Closed	ICANN received SSAC's approval of understanding acknowledging there is no action for the Board.
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC070	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-070-en.pdf	R-4b Advisory on the Use of Static TLD / Suffix Lists	5/28/2015	Recommendation 4b: Application developers should use a canonical file format and modern authentication protocols as specifications to this work.	Closed	ICANN received SSAC's approval of understanding acknowledging there is no action for the Board.
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC070	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-070-en.pdf	R-2 Advisory on the Use of Static TLD / Suffix Lists	5/28/2015	Recommendation 2: The IETF should develop a consensus definition of "public suffix" and other associated terminology (e.g. "private suffix").	Closed	ICANN received SSAC's approval of understanding acknowledging there is no action for the Board.
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC070	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-070-en.pdf	R-1 Advisory on the Use of Static TLD / Suffix Lists	5/28/2015	Recommendation 1: Recognizing alternatives to the PSL have been discussed (see Appendix A), the SSAC recommends the IETF and the applications community consider them for further specifications and possible standardization through the IETF process	Closed	ICANN received SSAC's approval of understanding acknowledging there is no action for the Board.
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0515-02-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/6491	ALAC Statement on the 2nd Draft Proposal of the Cross Community Working Group to Develop an IANA Stewardship Transition Proposal on Naming Related Functions	5/22/2015	[Public Comment Statement] - As noted within the General Comments: The ALAC is generally supportive of the Draft Proposal. That being said, the ALAC does have a number of critical concerns that will need to be addressed to allow us to fully support the ...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0515-02-00-EN is ALAC's statement on the 2nd Draft Proposal of the Cross Community Working Group to Develop an IANA Stewardship Transition Proposal on Naming Related Functions. The respective public comment p...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0515-01-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/6501	ALAC Statement on the ICANN Draft FY16 Operating Plan & Budget	5/1/2015	[Public Comment Statement] - The ALAC is satisfied with the Budget proposal as a whole, but has one specific item of concern, related to the evolution of support for ICANN Policy Development. - Both the GNSO and the ALAC's activities are essential...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0515-01-01-EN is ALAC's statement on the ICANN Draft FY16 Operating Plan & Budget . The respective public comment period closed on 01 May 2015 and this comment was included in that consideration. A Report of...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0315-03-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/6511	ALAC Statement on the GNSO Policy & Implementation Initial Recommendations Report	3/17/2015	[Public Comment Statement] - General Comments: ALAC Generally supports the proposed principles - Working definitions (Section 3): No Comment - Policy & Implementation Principles (Section 4): Note concern when new or additional policy issues are intro...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0315-03-00-EN is ALAC's statement on the GNSO Policy & Implementation Initial Recommendations Report. The respective public comment period closed on 17 Mar 2015 and this comment was included in that consider...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0315-02-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/6541	ALAC Statement on the IDN TLDs - LGR Procedure Implementation - Maximal Starting Repertoire Version 2	3/16/2015	[Public Comment Statement] - The ALAC notes that the inclusion of the six scripts added in MSR-2 is expected to benefit several million end-users of the Internet, particularly from Developing Countries. The ALAC also notes that while some of the GPs ar...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0315-02-00-EN is ALAC's statement on the IDN TLDs - LGR Procedure Implementation - Maximal Starting Repertoire Version 2. The respective public comment period closed on 16 Mar 2015 and this comment was includ...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0315-01-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/6521	ALAC Statement on the Potential Change to Registrar Accreditation Insurance Requirement	3/12/2015	[Public Comment Statement] - The evolvement of DNI programs should adhere to the following principles: Registrant and user rights and expectations must not be lowered in order to increase DNI penetration; education at all levels is key to increasing de...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0315-01-00-EN is ALAC's statement on the Potential Change to Registrar Accreditation Insurance Requirement. The respective public comment period closed on 13 Mar 2015 and this comment was included in that con...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC011	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/iab-liaison-rssac-16feb15-en.pdf	RSSAC011: IAB Liaison to the RSSAC	2/12/2015	Historically, the Internet Architecture Board (IAB) has provided a liaison to the Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC). With the recent re-establishment of the RSSAC, this statement confirms this ongoing liaison.	Closed	The ICANN organization understands RSSAC011 is informational only and is confirmation that with the re-establishment of the RSSAC, the IAB will continue to provide a liaison to the RSSAC. There is no action for the ICANN Board. ICANN's understanding of t...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC010	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-003-scope-11feb15-en.pdf	RSSAC010: RSSAC Statement of Scope for "Root Zone TTLS"	2/11/2015	This statement refers back to RSSAC003 and requests Duane Wessels to lead the Root Zone TTL work party to produce RSSAC003 ? RSSAC Advisory on Root zone TTLS, with adherence to RSSAC caucus procedures.	Closed	The ICANN organization understands RSSAC010 describes RSSAC's scope for developing a recommendation on "Root Zone TTLS" (RSSAC003) and there are no actionable items for the ICANN Board. ICANN's understanding of the request/item was reviewed and later con...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0115-02-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/6531	ALAC Statement on Translation and Transliteration of Contact Information PDP Initial Report	1/30/2015	[Public Comment Statement] As proposed in the Preliminary Recommendation #1, transformation of contact information does not have to be mandatory. However, there should be a provision for it to be maintained in two forms: a mandatory 'canonical' form in...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0115-02-00-EN is ALAC's statement on Translation and Transliteration of Contact Information PDP Initial Report. The respective public comment/reply period closed on 01 Feb 2015 and this comment was included i...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0115-01-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/6581	ALAC Statement on the ICANN Draft Five-Year Operating Plan (FY16-FY20)	1/12/2015	[Public Comment Statement] The ALAC proposes the following revision recommendations to the ICANN Draft Five-Year Operating Plan (FY16-FY20): - Include an assessment of the possible impact that the IANA stewardship transition may have in ICANN's oper...	Closed	The ICANN organization understands AL-ALAC-ST-0115-01-01-EN is ALAC's statement on the ICANN Draft Five-Year Operating Plan (FY16-FY20). The respective public comment period closed on 04 Jan 2015 and this comment was included in that consideration. A Rep...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC009	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-dnssec-validity-root-zone-17dec14-en.pdf	RSSAC009: RSSAC Statement on the Increase of the DNSSEC Signature Validity Period for the DNS Root Zone	12/17/2014	In its regular meeting on 20 November 2014, the RSSAC approved the following statement regarding the increase of DNSSEC signature validity period for the DNS Root Zone.	Closed	The ICANN organization understands RSSAC009 provides RSSAC's "Statement on the Increase of the DNSSEC Signature Validity Period for the DNS Root Zone". Per the Statement: "Based on discussion among members of RSSAC, we agree that this is a reasonable cha...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC069	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-069-en.pdf	SSAC Advisory on Maintaining the Security and Stability of the IANA Functions Through the Stewardship Transition	12/10/2014	Recommendation 1: The operational communities (protocol parameters, names, and numbers) that have been invited to submit proposals should determine 1) whether or not the requirements and deliverables defined in the IANA Functions Contract should be retai...	Closed	In March 2015, the NTIA requested ICANN and Versign to work together to develop a proposal for transitioning the NTIA's administrative role associated with root zone management. A proposal was submitted in August 2015, and Root Zone Maintainer Agreement ...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC069	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-069-en.pdf	SSAC Advisory on Maintaining the Security and Stability of the IANA Functions Through the Stewardship Transition	12/10/2014	Recommendation 5: Noting the stability and efficiency of existing structures, processes, and mechanisms for the management of the root zone, the SSAC recommends that any proposal to replace NTIA's final authorization of root zone changes with an altern...	Closed	In March 2015, the NTIA requested ICANN and Versign to work together to develop a proposal for transitioning the NTIA's administrative role associated with root zone management. A proposal was submitted in August 2015, and Root Zone Maintainer Agreement ...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC069	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-069-en.pdf	SSAC Advisory on Maintaining the Security and Stability of the IANA Functions Through the Stewardship Transition	12/10/2014	Recommendation 4: As part of the transition process, each of the affected communities should consider the extent to which the importance of transparency and freedom from improper influence in the performance of the IANA Functions might require additional...	Closed	In March 2015, the NTIA requested ICANN and Versign to work together to develop a proposal for transitioning the NTIA's administrative role associated with root zone management. A proposal was submitted in August 2015, and Root Zone Maintainer Agreement ...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC069	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-069-en.pdf	SSAC Advisory on Maintaining the Security and Stability of the IANA Functions Through the Stewardship Transition	12/10/2014	Recommendation 2a: Each of the communities should determine whether or not existing mechanisms outside of the IANA Functions Contract are sufficiently robust to hold the IANA Functions Operator accountable to the affected communities for the proper perfo...	Closed	In March 2015, the NTIA requested ICANN and Versign to work together to develop a proposal for transitioning the NTIA's administrative role associated with root zone management. A proposal was submitted in August 2015, and Root Zone Maintainer Agreement ...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC069	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-069-en.pdf	SSAC Advisory on Maintaining the Security and Stability of the IANA Functions Through the Stewardship Transition	12/10/2014	Recommendation 7: NTIA should clarify the processes and legal framework associated with the role of the Root Zone Maintainer after transition.	Closed	In March 2015, the NTIA requested ICANN and Versign to work together to develop a proposal for transitioning the NTIA's administrative role associated with root zone management. A proposal was submitted in August 2015, and Root Zone Maintainer Agreement ...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC069	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-069-en.pdf	SSAC Advisory on Maintaining the Security and Stability of the IANA Functions Through the Stewardship Transition	12/10/2014	Recommendation 3: Each of the communities should investigate and clarify the process for handling the possibility of governmental sanctions and restrictions (e.g., the protocol for obtaining OFAC2 licenses where U.S. sanctions might interfere with the ab...	Closed	In March 2015, the NTIA requested ICANN and Versign to work together to develop a proposal for transitioning the NTIA's administrative role associated with root zone management. A proposal was submitted in August 2015, and Root Zone Maintainer Agreement ...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC069	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-069-en.pdf	SSAC Advisory on Maintaining the Security and Stability of the IANA Functions Through the Stewardship Transition	12/10/2014	Recommendation 2b: Each of the communities should review and (if necessary) enhance its policy development process to ensure that all of the instructions that it provides to the IANA Functions Operator are clear and implementable.	Closed	In March 2015, the NTIA requested ICANN and Versign to work together to develop a proposal for transitioning the NTIA's administrative role associated with root zone management. A proposal was submitted in August 2015, and Root Zone Maintainer Agreement ...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC069	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-069-en.pdf	SSAC Advisory on Maintaining the Security and Stability of the IANA Functions Through the Stewardship Transition	12/10/2014	Recommendation 6: Effective arrangements should be made for the reliable and timely performance of all aspects of the root zone management process post-transition, including inter-organization coordination if the post-transition RZM process involves more...	Closed	In March 2015, the NTIA requested ICANN and Versign to work together to develop a proposal for transitioning the NTIA's administrative role associated with root zone management. A proposal was submitted in August 2015, and Root Zone Maintainer Agreement ...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC002	https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/rssac-publications-2014-05-12-en	RSSAC002: RSSAC Advisory on Measurements of the Root Server System	11/20/2014	A an initial set of parameters that would be useful to monitor and establish a baseline trend of the root server system. 1: The RSSAC recommends each root server operator implement the measurements outlined in this advisory. 2: The RSSAC should monitor t...	Closed	ICANN, as operator of L-Root, has implemented the advice from v1- v3 and has advised RSSAC on the implementation. RSSAC002 data has been published at: http://stats.dns.icann.org/rssac/ .
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC001	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-001-draft-20nov14-en.pdf	RSSAC001: Service Expectations of Root Servers	11/20/2014	A defined set of service expectations that root server operators must satisfy including Infrastructure, Service Accuracy, Service Availability, Service Capability, Operational Security, Diversity of Implementation, Monitoring and Measurement, and Communi...	Closed	ICANN, as operator of L-Root, has implemented the advice and has made available a statement asserting its compliance at https://www.dns.icann.org/rssac001-response/index.html .
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC068	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-068-en.pdf	SSAC Report on the IANA Functions Contract	10/10/2014	No recommendations	Closed	There are no actionable items for the ICANN Board.
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0914-01-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-12sep14-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Proposed Bylaws Changes Regarding Consideration of GAC Advice	9/12/2014	The ALAC salutes the Board's continued effort on the implementation of the ATRT1 and ATRT2 recommendations, specifically recommendation 11 of the ATRT1 and 6.5 of the ATRT2. Notwithstanding, the ALAC is concerned that the proposed Bylaws changes regardin...	Closed	Considerable work has been completed on the ICANN Bylaws related to the ICANN Stewardship Transition. This work and progress can be tracked here: https://www.icann.org/stewardship-accountability . In addition, implementation work is underway on the ATRT...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC008	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-igf-icann-accountability-02sep14-en.pdf	RSSAC008: RSSAC Statement at the ICANN Accountability Town Hall During IGF 2014	9/2/2014	RSSAC Statement at the ICANN Accountability Town Hall Internet Governance Forum 2 September 2014 Istanbul, Turkey	Closed	The ICANN organization understands RSSAC008 provides RSSAC's "Statement at the ICANN Accountability Town Hall Internet Governance Forum" in Istanbul, Turkey on 2 September 2014, and there are no actionable items for the ICANN Board. ICANN's understanding...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC067	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-067-en.pdf	SSAC Overview and History of the IANA Functions	8/15/2014	No recommendations	Closed	There are no actionable items for the ICANN Board.
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0714-02-01-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-31jul14-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Report: Supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions (2 of 6)	7/31/2014	The ALAC strongly supports the concept of supporting the DNI in underserved regions but notes that simply increasing the DNI without corresponding increases in demand will not be helpful. The evolution of DNI programs should adhere to the following prin...	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/dns-underserved-2014-05-14-en ICANN published a Project Roadmap for supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions in September 20...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0714-02-01-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-31jul14-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Report: Supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions (3 of 6)	7/31/2014	The ALAC strongly supports the concept of supporting the DNI in underserved regions but notes that simply increasing the DNI without corresponding increases in demand will not be helpful. The evolution of DNI programs should adhere to the following prin...	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/dns-underserved-2014-05-14-en ICANN published a Project Roadmap for supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions in September 20...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0714-02-01-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-31jul14-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Report: Supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions (6 of 6)	7/31/2014	The ALAC strongly supports the concept of supporting the DNI in underserved regions but notes that simply increasing the DNI without corresponding increases in demand will not be helpful. The evolution of DNI programs should adhere to the following prin...	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/dns-underserved-2014-05-14-en ICANN published a Project Roadmap for supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions in September 20...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0714-02-01-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-31jul14-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Report: Supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions (1 of 6)	7/31/2014	The ALAC strongly supports the concept of supporting the DNI in underserved regions but notes that simply increasing the DNI without corresponding increases in demand will not be helpful. The evolution of DNI programs should adhere to the following prin...	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/dns-underserved-2014-05-14-en ICANN published a Project Roadmap for supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions in September 20...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0714-02-01-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-31jul14-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Report: Supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions (5 of 6)	7/31/2014	The ALAC strongly supports the concept of supporting the DNI in underserved regions but notes that simply increasing the DNI without corresponding increases in demand will not be helpful. The evolution of DNI programs should adhere to the following prin...	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/dns-underserved-2014-05-14-en ICANN published a Project Roadmap for supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions in September 20...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0714-02-01-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-31jul14-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Report: Supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions (4 of 6)	7/31/2014	The ALAC strongly supports the concept of supporting the DNI in underserved regions but notes that simply increasing the DNI without corresponding increases in demand will not be helpful. The evolution of DNI programs should adhere to the following prin...	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/dns-underserved-2014-05-14-en ICANN published a Project Roadmap for supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions in September 20...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC007	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-002-scope-10jul14-en.pdf	RSSAC007: RSSAC Statement of Scope for "Measurements of the Root Server System"	7/10/2014	The RSSAC wishes to make a recommendation on "Measurements of the Root Server System.?"	Closed	The ICANN organization understands RSSAC007 describes RSSAC's scope for developing a recommendation on "Measurements of the Root Server System" (RSSAC002) and there are no actionable items for the ICANN Board. ICANN's understanding of the request/item wa...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC006	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-001-scope-10jul14-en.pdf	RSSAC006: RSSAC Statement of Scope for "Service Expectations of Root Servers"	7/10/2014	The RSSAC wishes to make a recommendation on "Service Expectations of Root Servers"	Closed	The ICANN organization understands RSSAC006 describes RSSAC's scope for developing a recommendation on "Service Expectations of Root Servers" (RSSAC001) and there are no actionable items for the ICANN Board. ICANN's understanding of the request/item was ...
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC005	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-stewardship-coordination-guidance-10jul14-en.pdf	RSSAC005: RSSAC Guidance to Representatives on the "NTIA IANA Functions' Stewardship Transition Coordination Group"	7/10/2014	The RSSAC give guidance requested by its representatives on the "NTIA IANA Functions' Stewardship Transition Coordination Group"	Closed	The ICANN organization understands RSSAC005 provides RSSAC's guidance to the Representatives on the ?NTIA IANA Functions' Stewardship Transition Coordination Group? and there are no actionable items for the ICANN Board. ICANN's understanding of the reque...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Future of Multi-Stakeholder Models (R-7)	6/26/2014	R-7. A periodic review of ICANN's MSM should be performed to ensure that the processes and the composition of ICANN?Ãs constituent parts adequately address the relevant decision-making requirements in the Corporation.	Phase 4 Implement	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e This specific advice item is on-hold pending the outcome of Work Stream 2. The issu...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN (R-37)	6/26/2014	R-37. Additional logistical support from ICANN is needed to improve the At Large wiki.	Phase 4 Implement	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e ICANN Staff is currently working towards implementing this recommendation by adding...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN (R-30)	6/26/2014	R-30. For each Public Comment process, SOs and ACs should be adequately resourced to produce impact statements.	Phase 5 Close Request	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e The ICANN organization has implemented this advice. The ICANN organization rolled o...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN (R-32)	6/26/2014	R-32. ICANN should ensure that all acronyms, terminology in its materials are clearly defined in simpler terms.	Phase 5 Close Request	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e ICANN has completed an updated ICANN Writing Style Guide, which formalizes ICANN's ...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- ICANN Transparency and Accountability (R-25)	6/26/2014	R-25. To enhance ICANN's community effort on building a culture of Transparency and Accountability, as called for in the recommendations of ATRT2, oversight of the Board's decisions now requires an effective mechanism of checks and balances, capable of p...	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e Implementation is covered by work related to CSWG Work Streams 1 and 2. The ICANN Boar...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Global Internet: The User Perspective (R-20)	6/26/2014	R-20. Input the user perspective, wherever necessary, to advance accountability, transparency and policy development within ICANN.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e Implementation is covered by work related to CCWG Work Streams 1 and 2. The ICANN Boar...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Future of Multi-Stakeholder Models (R-4)	6/26/2014	R-4. ICANN should study the possibility of enhancing and increasing the role of Liaisons between its different Advisory Committees and Supporting Organizations (AC/SOs) to do away with the ?Áúsilo culture?Áü.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e Work specific to this advice item is complete. There is ongoing work being conducted b...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Future of Multi-Stakeholder Models (R-3)	6/26/2014	R-3. ICANN should continue to shape an accountability model reaching not only Board members but all parts of the ICANN community, in order to develop a more transparent and productive environment.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e Implementation is covered by work related to CCWG Work Streams 1 and 2; WS1 proposal h...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN (R-27)	6/26/2014	R-27. The Board must implement ATRT2 Recommendation 9.1, regarding Formal Advice from Advisory Committees.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e Implementation work is underway on the ATRT2 recommendations and general information a...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- 'The Globalization of ICANN (R-9)	6/26/2014	R-9. ICANN should open regional offices with a clear strategy, subject to a cost-benefit analysis, focusing on the areas where the access to the Internet is growing, and where such growth is more likely to occur.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e This is part of day-to-day work of ICANN's Global Stakeholder Engagement team. Several...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- 'The Globalization of ICANN (R-15)	6/26/2014	R-15. ICANN should examine the possibility of modifying its legal structure befitting a truly global organization, and examine appropriate legal and organizational solutions.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e Implementation is covered by work related to CCWG Work Streams 1 and 2. The ICANN Boar...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- 'The Globalization of ICANN (R-14)	6/26/2014	R-14. ICANN should adjust its contractual framework to minimize conflict between its requirements and relevant national laws.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e Implementation is covered by work related to CCWG Work Streams 1 and 2. The ICANN Boar...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- ICANN Transparency and Accountability (R-23)	6/26/2014	R-23. The roles and jurisdiction of the Ombudsman should be expanded. The ICANN website should provide a clear and simple way for the public to make complaints.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e Implementation is covered by work related to CCWG Work Streams 1 and 2. The ICANN Boar...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- 'The Globalization of ICANN (R-16)	6/26/2014	R-16. ICANN needs to improve their direct communications regardless of time zones.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e This specific advice item is being addressed through rotation of time zones in some wo...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN (R-38)	6/26/2014	R-38. ICANN should ensure that its Beginner Guides are easily accessible.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e Beginner Guides are available for download on icann.org here: https://www.icann.org/re...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN (R-34)	6/26/2014	R-34. In collaboration with the global Internet user community, the ALAC shall reiterate the link between the fundamental rights of Internet users, and the Public Interest. (R-34)	Closed	There are no actionable items for ICANN.
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- ICANN Transparency and Accountability (R-22)	6/26/2014	R-22. Members of the general public should be able to participate in ICANN on an issue-by-issue basis. Information on the ICANN website should, where practical, be in clear and non-technical language.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e The ALAC website has been redesigned and put online, addressing this recommendation (a...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Global Internet: The User Perspective (R-17)	6/26/2014	R-17. ICANN needs to be sensitive to the fact that social media are blocked in certain countries and, in conjunction with technical bodies, promote credible alternatives.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e ICANN's social media universe has expanded to include accounts in multiple languages a...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Future of Multi-Stakeholder Models (R-8)	6/26/2014	R-8. The ALAC has the duty to keep track of action taken on all of the above recommendations.	Closed	There are no actionable items for ICANN.
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Future of Multi-Stakeholder Models (R-6)	6/26/2014	R-6. ICANN's MSM should serve as the reference in encouraging all participants (individuals or parties) to declare and update existing or potential conflicts-of-interest, each time a vote takes place or consensus is sought.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e The ALAC has taken steps to establish a practice for declaring conflicts of interest. ...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN (R-43)	6/26/2014	R-43. RALOs should encourage their inactive ALS representatives to comply with ALAC minimum participation requirements.	Closed	There are no actionable items for ICANN. This specific advice item is complete per ALAC workspace: https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+43
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN (R-36)	6/26/2014	R-36. The At-Large Community should envisage conference calls with other ACs and SOs in between ICANN public meetings to improve collaboration and engagement.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e This specific advice item is in the remit of the ALAC. No action for the Board. However...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN (R-28)	6/26/2014	R-28. The ALAC should work with all RALOs and ALSes to map the current expertise and interests in their membership, to identify Subject Matter Experts and facilitate policy communication.	Closed	There are no actionable items for ICANN.

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Global Internet: The User Perspective (R-18)	6/26/2014	R-18. Support end-users to take part in policy development.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e This specific advice item is being addressed internally by the ALAC. No action for ICA...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN (R-26)	6/26/2014	R-26. Current policy management processes within ICANN are insufficient. ICANN must implement a workable Policy Management Process System, available for use across the SO/ACs, in order to: enhance Knowledge Management, improve the effectiveness of all I...	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e This is part of ICANN's ongoing work and commitment to continued improvement of policy...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- The Globalization of ICANN (R-10)	6/26/2014	R-10. The next evolution of language services must adopt further extension of live scribing for all meetings and generally extend the current interpretation and translation processes and make translation available in a timely manner.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e ICANN's Language Services team has worked to extend the interpretation and translation...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN (R-39)	6/26/2014	R-39. ICANN should encourage open data? best practices that foster re-use of the information by any third party.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e This item is within the remit of the ALAC and is being handled by the Technology Task ...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- ICANN Transparency and Accountability R-24(b)	6/26/2014	R-24(b). Both the areas of (a) Ombudsman and (b) Contractual Compliance should report regularly on the complaints they received, resolved, pending resolution and actions taken to address issues raised by unresolved complaints.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e information on the Ombudsman and the work of the Ombudsman can be found here: https://...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- The Globalization of ICANN (R-12)	6/26/2014	R-12. In collaboration with At-Large Structures, ICANN should put in place campaigns to raise awareness and extend education programmes across underrepresented regions.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e This is part of Global Stakeholder Engagement ongoing work. See ALAC Workspace: https://...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- The Globalization of ICANN (R-11)	6/26/2014	R-11. ICANN must implement a range of services to facilitate access according to various criteria (gender; cultural diversity) and user needs (disabilities, etc).	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e The At-Large Accessibility Taskforce conducted a survey on accessibility to senior ICA...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Global Internet: The User Perspective (R-19)	6/26/2014	R-19. Eliminate barriers to participation and engagement with ICANN processes and practices.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e Much has been accomplished on this specific advice item and is part of day-to-day oper...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Future of Multi-Stakeholder Models (R-5)	6/26/2014	R-5. ICANN should examine how best to ensure that end-users remain at the heart of the accountability process in all aspects pertaining to the transition of stewardship of the IANA function.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e Implementation is covered by work related to CCWG Work Streams 1 and 2. The ICANN Boar...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Future of Multi-Stakeholder Models (R-2)	6/26/2014	R-2. ICANN should increase support (budget, staff) to programmes having brought valuable members to the community.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e The Proposal for Multi-Year Planning of At-Large RALO Face-to-Face Meetings has been ...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN (R-42)	6/26/2014	R-42. ICANN should enable annual face-to-face RALO assemblies, either at ICANN regional offices or in concert with regional events.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e The Proposal for Multi-Year Planning of At-Large RALO Face-to-Face Meetings has been s...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN (R-40)	6/26/2014	R-40. ICANN should offer a process similar to the Community Regional Outreach Pilot Program (CROPP), but applicable to short lead-time budget requests not related to travel.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e On an annual basis, the CROPP program is reviewed and adjustments are made based on co...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN (R-33)	6/26/2014	R-33. The ALAC should arrange more At-Large Capacity Building Webinars.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e This specific advice item is within the remit of ALAC. For more information, see the A...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN (R-31)	6/26/2014	R-31. ICANN and the ALAC should investigate the use of simple tools and methods to facilitate participation in public comments, and the use of crowdsourcing.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e This recommendation was partially met by the roll out of the new ALAC website on 24 Fe...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Future of Multi-Stakeholder Models (R-1)	6/26/2014	R-1. ICANN should continue to support outreach programmes that engage a broader audience, in order to reinforce participation from all stakeholders.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e Work has been completed on this specific advice item, including: meeting staff offered...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- 'The Globalization of ICANN (R-13)	6/26/2014	R-13. ICANN should review the overall balance of stakeholder representation to ensure that appropriate consideration is given to all views, proportionally to their scope and relevance.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board?material/resolutions?2014?09?09?en#3.e Implementation is covered by work related to CCWG Work Streams 1 and 2. The ICANN Boar...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN (R-29)	6/26/2014	R-29. The ALAC should implement an automated system for tracking topics of interest currently being discussed among the various RALOs, and accessible by everyone.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e The ALAC website has been redesigned and was rolled out 24 February 2016, meeting this...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- ICANN Transparency and Accountability R-24(a)	6/26/2014	R-24(a). Both the areas of the (a) Ombudsman and (b) Contractual Compliance should report regularly on the complaints they received, resolved, pending resolution and actions taken to address issues raised by unresolved complaints.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e Information on the Ombudsman and the work of the Ombudsman can be found here: https://...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN (R-41)	6/26/2014	R-41. The ALAC should work with the ICANN Board in seeking additional sources of funding for At-Large activities.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e The ALAC submitted a public comment on the FY17 budget (https://forum.icann.org/lists/...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN	http://atlas.icann.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/ATLAS-II-Declaration-with-appendix-RC9.pdf	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN (R-35)	6/26/2014	R-35. The ICANN Board should hold a minimum of one conference call with the At-Large Community in between ICANN Public Meetings.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e There has been significant increase of communications between the ALAC and the ICANN B...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0614-01-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-12jun14-en.htm	ALAC Statement on Board Member Compensation	6/12/2014	The ALAC wishes to go on record as strongly supporting the comment submitted by Alan Greenberg - http://forum.icann.org/lists/comments-bylaws-amend-compensation-02may14/msg00003.html .	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/bylaws-amend-compensation-2014-05-02-en . On 30 July 2014, the Board approved the updated compensation recommendations: https://www.icann.org/resource...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC066	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-066-en.pdf	SSAC Comment Concerning JAS Phase One Report on Mitigating the Risk of DNS Namespace Collisions	6/6/2014	Strategic Recommendation 3: ICANN should seek to provide stronger justification for extrapolating findings based on one kind of measurement or data gathering to other situations.	Closed	The recommendation was considered by ICANN while developing the Name Collision Occurrence Management Framework. This recommendation was accepted and included in the framework. See https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-0...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC066	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-066-en.pdf	SSAC Comment Concerning JAS Phase One Report on Mitigating the Risk of DNS Namespace Collisions	6/6/2014	Strategic Recommendation 2: ICANN should in due course publish information about not yet disclosed issues.	Closed	The recommendation was considered by ICANN while developing the Name Collision Occurrence Management Framework. The Name Collision Management Framework was approved by the NGPC on 30 July 2014: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-n...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC066	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-066-en.pdf	SSAC Comment Concerning JAS Phase One Report on Mitigating the Risk of DNS Namespace Collisions	6/6/2014	Strategic Recommendation 1: ICANN should consider not taking any actions solely based on the JAS Phase One Report. If action is planned to be taken before the entire report is published, communications to the community should be provided to indicate this...	Closed	The recommendation was considered by ICANN while developing the Name Collision Occurrence Management Framework. This recommendation was not accepted, and the Name Collision Management Framework was approved by the NGPC on 30 July 2014: https://www.icann...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC066	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-066-en.pdf	SSAC Comment Concerning JAS Phase One Report on Mitigating the Risk of DNS Namespace Collisions	6/6/2014	Operational Recommendation 5: ICANN should provide clarity to registries on the rules and the method of allocation of blocked names after the conclusion of the test period	Closed	The recommendation was considered by ICANN while developing the Name Collision Occurrence Management Framework. Recommendation was taken and included in the framework. Please see https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-07...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC066	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-066-en.pdf	SSAC Comment Concerning JAS Phase One Report on Mitigating the Risk of DNS Namespace Collisions	6/6/2014	Operational Recommendation 4: ICANN should implement a notification approach that accommodates Internet Protocol Version 6 (IPv6)-only hosts as well as IP Version 4 (IPv4)-only or dual-stack hosts.	Closed	The recommendation was considered by ICANN while developing the Name Collision Occurrence Management Framework. Recommendation was rejected and reasoning was explained to SSAC and the public. A Name Collision Management Framework was approved by the NGPC...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC066	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-066-en.pdf	SSAC Comment Concerning JAS Phase One Report on Mitigating the Risk of DNS Namespace Collisions	6/6/2014	Operational Recommendation 3: ICANN should perform an evaluation of potential notification approaches against at least the requirements provided by the SSAC prior to implementing any notification approach.	Closed	The recommendation was considered by ICANN while developing the Name Collision Occurrence Management Framework. Recommendation was taken and included in the framework. Please see https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-07...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC066	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-066-en.pdf	SSAC Comment Concerning JAS Phase One Report on Mitigating the Risk of DNS Namespace Collisions	6/6/2014	Operational Recommendation 2: 'Instead of a single controlled interruption period, ICANN should introduce rolling interruption periods, broken by periods of normal operation, to allow affected end-user systems to continue to function during the 120-day t...	Closed	The recommendation was considered by ICANN while developing the Name Collision Occurrence Management Framework. Recommendation was rejected and reasoning was explained to SSAC and the public. A Name Collision Management Framework was approved by the NGPC...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC066	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-066-en.pdf	SSAC Comment Concerning JAS Phase One Report on Mitigating the Risk of DNS Namespace Collisions	6/6/2014	Operational Recommendation 1: The Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN) should expand the range of situations that would trigger an emergency response, for example national security, emergency preparedness, critical infrastructure,...	Closed	The recommendation was considered by ICANN while developing the Name Collision Occurrence Management Framework. Recommendation was rejected and reasoning was explained to SSAC and the public. A Name Collision Management Framework was approved by the NGPC...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0514-02-01-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-16may14-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the ICANN Strategy Panels: ICANN's Role in the Internet Governance Ecosystem	5/16/2014	The ALAC strongly supports the report from the Panel on ICANN's Role in the Internet Governance Ecosystem, particularly its conclusion that 'the multistakeholder model is by far preferable and should be elaborated and reinforced'. The diagram on Governan...	Closed	This is a statement on a final report, which can be found here: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/governance-ecosystem-2013-10-11-en . There is no actionable item for the ICANN Board.
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0514-03-01-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/en/correspondence/statement-prf-16may14-en.pdf	ALAC Statement on the ICANN Strategy Panels: Public Responsibility Framework	5/16/2014	The ALAC strongly supports the report from the Panel on Public Responsibility Framework. This Panel is a useful reminder of the ways ICANN has started to globalize its activities, but real assistance and support for participation in ICANN is a critical e...	Closed	This is a statement on a final report, which can be found here: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/public-responsibility-2013-10-11-en . There are no actionable items for the ICANN Board.
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0514-05-01-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-4-16may14-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the ICANN Strategy Panels: Identifier Technology Innovation	5/16/2014	The ALAC strongly supports the report from the Panel on Identifier Technology Innovation. Indeed, the report provides valuable insights and recommendations for future identifier technology developments. ALAC is surprised that the recommendations of the P...	Closed	This is a statement on a final report, which can be found here: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/identifier-technology-2013-10-11-en . There are no actionable items for the ICANN Board.
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0514-04-01-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-6-16may14-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the ICANN Strategy Panels: Multistakeholder Innovation	5/16/2014	The ALAC supports the report from the Panel on Multistakeholder Innovation with some reservations. This panel is a useful reminder of the need to reach beyond the 'usual suspects' with suggestions on how new techniques and technologies can be used to sup...	Closed	This is a statement on a final report, which can be found here: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/multistakeholder-innovation-2013-10-11-en . There are no actionable items for the ICANN Board.
Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)	RSSAC004	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/rssac-iana-stewardship-transition-08may14-en.pdf	RSSAC004: Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC) Input on "Draft Proposal, Based on Initial Community Feedback, of the Principles and Mechanisms and the Process to Develop a Proposal to Transition NTIA's Stewardship of the IANA Functions"	5/8/2014	RSSAC provides 4 comments regarding the draft proposal	Closed	The ICANN organization understands RSSAC004 provides RSSAC's comments on the "Draft Proposal, Based on Initial Community Feedback, of the Principles and Mechanisms and the Process to Develop a Proposal to Transition NTIA's Stewardship of the IANA Functio...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0414-01-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/6891	ALAC Statement on the ICANN Future Meetings Strategy	4/21/2014	The ALAC supports the recommendations of the Meeting Strategy Working Group report. The differentiation of the 3 annual meetings would improve the geographic rotation, minimize the number of conflicting sessions, facilitate cross community interactions, ...	Closed	This statement was provided and considered as part of a public comment: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/meetings-strategy-2014-02-25-en On 17 Nov 2014, the ICANN Board took a resolution approving the new meetings strategy: https://www.icann.org/r...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0314-06-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-27mar14-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Announcement Regarding the Transition of the Stewardship of the IANA Functions	3/27/2014	The ALAC welcomes the announcement recently made by the National Telecommunications and Information Authority (NTIA) and celebrates the designation of ICANN as the organization in charge of convening the global stakeholders to develop a proposal to trans...	Closed	This is a statement on the announcement by the NTIA of ending its contract with ICANN. Considerable work has been completed on the transition, which can be tracked here: https://www.icann.org/stewardship accountability.
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0314-05-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-2-27mar14-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Mitigating the Risk of DNS Namespace Collisions	3/27/2014	The ALAC welcomes the publication of the "Mitigating the Risk of DNS Namespace Collisions" study report by JAS Global Advisors but notes that at this stage, this report is incomplete. The ALAC notes the assumption on page 3 that "The modalities, risks, a...	Closed	On 30 July 2014, the NGPC adopted the Name Collision Management Framework: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-07-30-en . Implementation and general information about the Name Collision efforts can be found at: http...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0314-03-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-14mar14-en.htm	ALAC Follow-up Statement on the Technical Liaison Group Bylaws Revisions Topic: Bylaws	3/14/2014	The ALAC is responding to the ICANN Board resolution regarding "Technical Liaison Group Bylaws Revisions" and its accompanying rationale dated 7 February 2014. The ALAC had submitted a Statement on the Proposed Bylaws Changes Regarding the Technical Liai...	Closed	This is a response to a Board resolution in which the Bylaws were adopted and does not contain actionable advice: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-02-07-en#1.c

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0314-02-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-2-07mar14-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Proposed Review Mechanism to Address Perceived Inconsistent Expert Determinations on String Confusion Objections	3/7/2014	The ALAC supports the details of the process described, but recommends that it be widened to include cases such as the various .shop objections where the objected-to strings were not identical, but the results were just as inconsistent. Moreover, the ALA...	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/sco-framework-principles-2014-02-11-en The NGPC provided a resolution on the expert determinations and proposed review mechanism in October 20...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0214-03-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-26feb14-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Related-Issue Compliance Submission Process	2/26/2014	ICANN Contractual Compliance (CC) accepts complaints either on a one-by-one basis using web-based submission tools, or for selected partners, using a bulk-submission process. The ALAC understanding is that regardless of the submission vehicle, each compl...	Closed	This topic was addressed at ICANN 49 in Singapore during the ALAC session. The Contractual Compliance Complaint system does not allow for multiple filing in the same single complaints. However, these types of complaints or issues can be submitted to th...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC065	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-065-en.pdf	SAC065: SSAC Advisory on DDoS Attacks Leveraging DNS Infrastructure - R-1	2/18/2014	Recommendation 1: ICANN should help facilitate an Internet-wide community effort to reduce the number of open resolvers and networks that allow network spoofing. This effort should involve measurement efforts and outreach and cooperation in relevant te...	Phase 4 Implement	The ICANN organization understands that SAC065 R-1 means that ICANN should help to facilitate an Internet-wide community effort to reduce the number of open resolvers and networks that allow network spoofing. This initiative, which should involve measure...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC065	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-065-en.pdf	SSAC Advisory on DDoS Attacks Leveraging DNS Infrastructure - R-6	2/18/2014	Recommendation 6: Manufacturers and/or configurators of customer premise networking equipment, including home networking equipment, should take immediate steps to secure these devices and ensure that they are field upgradable when new software is availab...	Closed	SAC065 R-6 is directed towards manufacturers and/or configurators of networking equipment, not ICANN. ICANN acknowledges this advice, but we do not believe that there is any action required of ICANN at this time.
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC065	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-065-en.pdf	SSAC Advisory on DDoS Attacks Leveraging DNS Infrastructure - R-5	2/18/2014	Recommendation 5: DNS operators should put in place operational processes to ensure that their DNS software is regularly updated and communicate with their software vendors to keep abreast of latest developments. This should minimally include: a. Audit a...	Closed	SAC065 R-5 is directed towards DNS operators, not ICANN. ICANN acknowledges this advice, but we do not believe that there is any action required of ICANN at this time (other than support of promotion of this effort described in SAC065 R-1).
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC065	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-065-en.pdf	SSAC Advisory on DDoS Attacks Leveraging DNS Infrastructure - R-4	2/18/2014	Recommendation 4: Authoritative DNS server operators should investigate deploying authoritative response rate limiting. This involves: a. Investigate mechanisms to deter DNS amplification attacks (e.g., Response Rate Limiting (RRL) in DNS server software...	Closed	SAC065 R-4 is directed towards DNS server operators, not ICANN. ICANN acknowledges this advice, but we do not believe that there is any action required of ICANN at this time (other than support of promotion of this effort described in SAC065 R-1).
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC065	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-065-en.pdf	SSAC Advisory on DDoS Attacks Leveraging DNS Infrastructure - R-3	2/18/2014	Recommendation 3: Recursive DNS server operators should take immediate steps to secure open recursive DNS servers. This involves: a. Identify unmanaged open recursive DNS servers operating in the network and take immediate steps to restrict access to the...	Closed	SAC065 R-3 is directed towards DNS server operators, not ICANN. ICANN acknowledges this advice, but we do not believe that there is any action required of ICANN at this time (other than support of promotion of this effort described in SAC065 R-1).
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC065	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-065-en.pdf	SSAC Advisory on DDoS Attacks Leveraging DNS Infrastructure - R-2	2/18/2014	Recommendation 2: All types of network operators should take immediate steps to prevent network address spoofing. This involves: a. Implement network ingress filtering, as described in BCP38 and SAC004, to restrict packet-level forgery to the greatest ex...	Closed	SAC065 R-2 is directed towards network operators, not ICANN. ICANN acknowledges this advice, but we do not believe that there is any action required of ICANN at this time (other than support of promotion of this effort described in SAC065 R-1).
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC064	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-065-en.pdf	SAC064: SSAC Advisory on DNS "Search List" Processing - R-3	2/13/2014	Recommendation 3: In the context of mitigating name collisions, ICANN should consider the following steps to address search list processing behavior. a. Commission additional research studies to further understand the cause of invalid queries to the...	Phase 4 Implement	The ICANN organization understands that SAC064 R-3 means that the SSAC recommends that in the context of mitigating name collisions, ICANN should consider the following steps to address search list processing behavior: a. ICANN should consider whether t...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC064	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-065-en.pdf	SAC064: SSAC Advisory on DNS "Search List" Processing - R-2	2/13/2014	Recommendation 2: The SSAC recommends ICANN staff to work with the DNS community and the IETF to encourage the standardization of search list processing behavior. Such an effort should begin with ICANN staff submitting an Internet-Draft to the IETF, a...	Phase 4 Implement	The ICANN organization understands that SAC064 R-2 means that the SSAC recommends that ICANN organization work with the DNS community and the IETF to encourage the standardization of search list processing behavior, beginning with the submission of an In...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC064	https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-065-en.pdf	SSAC Advisory on DNS "Search List" Processing - R-1	2/13/2014	Recommendation 1: The SSAC invites all ICANN Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees, the IETF, and the DNS operations community to consider the following proposed behavior for search list processing and comment on its correctness, completeness...	Closed	The SSAC is proposing a particular behavior in the processing of DNS search lists and encourages all ICANN Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees, the IETF, and the DNS operations community to consider that behavior and to comment on it. ICANN ...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0114-04-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-31jan14-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Proposal for a Specification 13 to the ICANN Registry Agreement to Contractually Reflect Certain Limited Aspects of ".Brand" New gTLDs	1/31/2014	The ALAC has no input on the details of Specification 13, but wishes to go on record as objecting to the creation of a new category of gTLD at this point, when earlier decisions were made to not have categories of TLDs supporting community, geographic an...	Closed	This statement contains no actionable advice for ICANN. On 26 March 2014, the ICANN Board New gTLD Program Committee took a resolution adopting Specification 13 to the Registry Agreement: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-g...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0114-05-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-2-31jan14-en.htm	ALAC Statement on ICANN's Draft Vision, Mission & Focus Areas for a Five-Year Strategic Plan	1/31/2014	The At-Large Advisory Committee considers the submitted "ICANN Draft Vision, Mission, and Focus Areas for a Five Years Strategic Plan" a comprehensive document addressing all the aspects of a future strategic plan. The ALAC supports the ICANN vision as s...	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of public comment: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/strategic-2013-10-29-en On 16 October 2014, the Board took a resolution adopting the Strategic Plan: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-mate...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0114-03-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-15jan14-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Request For Written Community Feedback - Geographic Regions Working Group Recommendations	1/15/2014	The ALAC supports the recommendation for ICANN to adopt a more rigorous approach by re-defining a clear and consistent classification framework that assigns countries and territories to regions. Nevertheless, it would be helpful if the way and the criter...	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a request for community feedback on the Geographic Regions Working Group Recommendations. The WG provided a Final Report in October 2015: https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/geo-regions-wg-3...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0114-02-00-EN	http://atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-14jan14-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the DNS Security & Stability Analysis	1/14/2014	The ALAC adopts the Report submitted by the co-chairs of the DSSA WG, as the Final Report of the DSSA WG in accordance with section 2.4 of its charter; The Chair of the ALAC is requested to inform the ccNSO, GNSO, NRO and SSAC co-chairs of the DSSA WG of...	Closed	This statement is in relation to adoption of the Report submitted by the co-chairs of the DSSA WG and contains no actionable advice for ICANN.
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1213-01-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-16dec13-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Proposed Bylaws Changes Regarding the Technical Liaison Group	12/16/2013	The ALAC supports the intent of the proposed bylaw changes to increase the availability of technical advice to the Board as well as the effectiveness of the Technical Liaison Group. It is clear that the current modus operandi is not working and that it h...	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment period: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/bylaws-amend-tlg-2013-10-30-en . On 7 February 2014, the Board considered the public comments on the proposed bylaws and provided a res...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1113-04-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-3-21nov13-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Second Accountability and Transparency Review Team (ATRT 2) Draft Report & Recommendations	11/21/2013	The ALAC appreciates the publication of the ATRT2 Draft Recommendations for Public Comment. The ALAC views the Affirmation of Commitments' mandate for periodic organizational review and the work of the ATRT2 are crucial for enhancing, on a continuous bas...	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/atrt2-recommendations-2014-01-09-en The Board has provided a resolution on the ATRT2 recommendations, directing the President and CEO to proceed wit...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1113-03-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-2-21nov13-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Policy & Implementation Working Group	11/21/2013	There must be a methodology to recognize when a decision will impact the community, and such decisions must involve a bottom-up process in addressing those decisions. The processes must be designed to be time-sensitive ?Äi unending debate should not be a...	Closed	This statement was directed to the Policy & Implementation Working Group. All comments on the Policy & Implementation Working Group Initial Recommendations were considered in the public comment, for which the ALAC submitted a separate statement: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/policy-implementation-working-group-initial-recommendations-2013-11-06-en
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1113-05-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-21nov13-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Thick Whois Policy Development Process (PDP) Recommendations for Board Consideration	11/21/2013	The ALAC strongly supports the recommendation of the Final Report on the Thick Whois Policy Development Process for all gTLD registries to use the 'Thick' Whois mode. It is a position that the ALAC has supported, beginning with its response to the Prelim...	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment period: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/thick-whois-recommendations-2013-11-06-en The Board considered the recommendations provided in the Final Report and provided a resoluti...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1113-04-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-3-21nov13-en.htm	[4 of 4] ALAC Statement on the Second Accountability and Transparency Review Team (ATRT 2) Final Report & Recommendations	11/21/2013	ALAC recommends that ICANN be better prepared organizationally to support future reviews and that the ATRT3 be provided with a full year (12 months) for its review work, even if review commencement is delayed.	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/atrt2-recommendations-2014-01-09-en On 26 June 2014, the Board has taken a resolution on the ATRT2 recommendations, directing the President and CEO to...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1113-04-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-3-21nov13-en.htm	[3 of 4] ALAC Statement on the Second Accountability and Transparency Review Team (ATRT 2) Final Report & Recommendations	11/21/2013	The Board should examine both Recommendations and Observations in the ATRT2 report with equal diligence. A careful examination of the Observations laid out in Appendix B and C on the reviews of the WHOIS Review Team and the Security, Stability and Resili...	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/atrt2-recommendations-2014-01-09-en On 26 June 2014, the Board has taken a resolution on the ATRT2 recommendations, directing the President and CEO to...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1113-04-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-3-21nov13-en.htm	[2 of 4] ALAC Statement on the Second Accountability and Transparency Review Team (ATRT 2) Final Report & Recommendations	11/21/2013	We advise the ICANN Board to: (2) Take measures to improve future reviews by ensuring that review processes are accorded sufficient time for a thorough and effective assessment and to ensure that ICANN is better prepared organizationally to support the r...	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/atrt2-recommendations-2014-01-09-en On 26 June 2014, the Board has taken a resolution on the ATRT2 recommendations, directing the President and CEO to...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1113-04-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-3-21nov13-en.htm	[1 of 4] ALAC Statement on the Second Accountability and Transparency Review Team (ATRT 2) Final Report & Recommendations	11/21/2013	We advise the ICANN Board to: (1) Place equal emphasis on recommendations and observations, and address key issues outlined in the observations indicated in Appendix B and C of the report in advance of the next WHOIS and Security, Stability and Resilienc...	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/atrt2-recommendations-2014-01-09-en On 26 June 2014, the Board has taken a resolution on the ATRT2 recommendations, directing the President and CEO to...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1113-02-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-13nov13-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Revised Public Interest Commitments Dispute Resolution Procedure (PICDRP)	11/13/2013	The ALAC appreciates the radical changes made to the PICDRP in response to the comments of the first draft. The process seems far more appropriate for addressing potential harms caused by a registry's failure to honor the Public Interest Commitment aspec...	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/report-comments-draft-picdrp-19dec13-en.pdf The Public Interest Commitments Dispute Resolution Procedure (PICDRP) was finalized in December 201...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC062	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-062-en.pdf	SAC062: SSAC Advisory Concerning the Mitigation of Name Collision Risk	11/7/2013	Recommendation 1: ICANN should work with the wider Internet community, including at least the IAB and the IETF, to identify (1) what strings are appropriate to reserve for private namespace use and (2) what type of private namespace use is appropriate (i...	Phase 4 Implement	On 24 June 2017, the ICANN Board accepted this advice and directed the ICANN organization to implement per the ICANN organization's recommendation (https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2017-06-24-en#2.b).
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC063	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-063-en.pdf ,&#	SAC063: SSAC Advisory on DNSSEC Key Rollover in the Root Zone - Item 1	11/7/2013	Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN) staff, in coordination with the other Root Zone Management Partners (United States Department of Commerce, National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA), and Verisign), shoul...	Phase 4 Implement	The communication plan is part of the overall KSK Rollover Project. On October 11, 2017 the new KSK begins to sign the root zone key set (the actual rollover event). See: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/ksk-rollover .
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC063	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-063-en.pdf ,&#	SAC063: SSAC Advisory on DNSSEC Key Rollover in the Root Zone - Item 2	11/7/2013	ICANN staff should lead, coordinate, or otherwise encourage the creation of a collaborative, representative testbed for the purpose of analyzing behaviors of various validating resolver implementations, their versions, and their network environments (e.g...	Phase 4 Implement	The test pas is part of the overall KSK Rollover Project. On October 11, 2017 the new KSK begins to sign the root zone key set (the actual rollover event). See: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/ksk-rollover . On 24 June 2017, the ICANN Board accep...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC063	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-063-en.pdf ,&#	SAC063: SSAC Advisory on DNSSEC Key Rollover in the Root Zone - Item 5	11/7/2013	ICANN staff should lead, coordinate, or otherwise encourage the collection of as much information as possible about the impact of a KSK rollover to provide input to planning for future rollovers.	Phase 4 Implement	The communication plan is part of the overall KSK Rollover Project. On October 11, 2017 the new KSK begins to sign the root zone key set (the actual rollover event). See: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/ksk-rollover . On 24 June 2017, the ICANN B...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC062	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-062-en.pdf	SSAC Advisory Concerning the Mitigation of Name Collision Risk	11/7/2013	Recommendation 3: ICANN should explicitly consider under what circumstances un-delegation of a TLD is the appropriate mitigation for a security or stability issue. In the case where a TLD has an established namespace, ICANN should clearly identify why t...	Closed	The ICANN Board passed a resolution on 21 Nov 2013 that, "directs ICANN's President and CEO to have the advice provided in SAC062 evaluated" (https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2013-11-21-en#2.d) The recommendation was considered ...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC062	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-062-en.pdf	SSAC Advisory Concerning the Mitigation of Name Collision Risk	11/7/2013	Recommendation 2: ICANN should explicitly consider the following questions regarding trial delegation and clearly articulate what choices have been made and why as part of its decision as to whether or not to delegate any TLD on a trial basis: - Purpose...	Closed	The ICANN Board passed a resolution on 21 Nov 2013 that, "directs ICANN's President and CEO to have the advice provided in SAC062 evaluated." (https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2013-11-21-en#2.d) The recommendation was considere...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC063	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-063-en.pdf ,&#	SSAC Advisory on DNSSEC Key Rollover in the Root Zone - Item 4	11/7/2013	ICANN staff should lead, coordinate, or otherwise encourage the development of rollback procedures to be executed when a rollover has affected operational stability beyond a reasonable boundary.	Closed	This part of the overall KSK Rollover Project. See https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/ksk-rollover
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC063	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-063-en.pdf ,&#	SSAC Advisory on DNSSEC Key Rollover in the Root Zone - Item 3	11/7/2013	ICANN staff should lead, coordinate, or otherwise encourage the creation of clear and objective metrics for acceptable levels of "breakage" resulting from a key rollover.	Closed	This part of the overall KSK Rollover Project. See https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/ksk-rollover
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-1113-01-02-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-01nov13-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Draft Final Report on Protection of IGO and INGO Identifiers in All gTLDs	11/1/2013	The ALAC is particularly concerned that granting blocking-level protections may prohibit other reasonable uses of the same strings and the ALAC is not satisfied that the exception procedures outlined in the report would be effective. This being the case,...	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment on the Draft Final Report on Protection of IGO and INGO Identifiers in All gTLDs: https://www.icann.org/public-comments/igo-ingo-final-2013-09-20-en . Final Report was published on ...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0913-05-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-27sep13-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the DNS Risk Management Framework Report	9/27/2013	The fact that a risk management framework exists and is utilized to force rigor into the consideration of risk would be an important outcome. However, the ALAC deplors that the framework that is proposed is the proprietary and business-oriented Risk Mana...	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/report-comments-dns-rmf-final-18oct13-en.pdf In November 2013, the Board has directed ICANN to implement the DNS Risk Management Framework and...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0913-04-00-EN	http://atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-16sep13-en.htm	R-3 ALAC Statement on Confusingly Similar gTLDs	9/16/2013	The ALAC advises the Board to determine a viable way forward which will not create unwarranted contention sets nor delegate multiple TLDs destined to ensure user confusion and implicit loss of faith in the DNS.	Closed	In February 2014, the NGPC directed ICANN to publish for public comment the proposed review mechanism for addressing perceived inconsistent Expert Determinations from the New gTLD Program String Confusion Objections process: https://www.icann.org/resourc...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0913-04-00-EN	http://atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-16sep13-en.htm	R-2 ALAC Statement on Confusingly Similar gTLDs	9/16/2013	The ALAC advises the Board to review the objection decision system with multiple panels that leads to inconsistency and not only review the obvious case of .cam/.com where conflicting objection decisions have forced such review;	Closed	In February 2014, the NGPC directed ICANN to publish for public comment the proposed review mechanism for addressing perceived inconsistent Expert Determinations from the New gTLD Program String Confusion Objections process: https://www.icann.org/resourc...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0913-04-00-EN	http://atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-16sep13-en.htm	R-1 ALAC Statement on Confusingly Similar gTLDs	9/16/2013	The ALAC advises the Board to revisit the issue of new TLD strings, which are singular and plural versions of the same word, and ensure that ICANN does not delegate strings that are virtually certain to create confusion among Internet users and therefore...	Closed	In February 2014, the NGPC directed ICANN to publish for public comment the proposed review mechanism for addressing perceived inconsistent Expert Determinations from the New gTLD Program String Confusion Objections process: https://www.icann.org/resourc...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0913-01-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-2-09sep13-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Community Priority Evaluation (CPE) Guidelines Update from ICANN	9/9/2013	The ALAC welcomes the proposal of "Community Priority Evaluation (CPE) Guidelines" prepared by The Economist Intelligence Unit (EIU). The ALAC notes with satisfaction that the EIU has transposed the Applicant Guidebook Criteria into Evaluation Guidelines...	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a request for community review and input to the draft CPE Guidelines for the New gTLD Program: https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/announcements-and-media/announcement-4-16aug13-en On 27 September 2013, ICANN published...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC061	https://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-061-en.pdf	SAC061: R-2 SSAC Comment on ICANN's Initial Report from the Expert Working Group on gTLD Directory Services	9/6/2013	The ICANN Board should ensure that a formal security risk assessment of the registration data policy be conducted as an input into the Policy Development Process.	Phase 3 Evaluate & Consider	ICANN received SSAC's approval of understanding and is in the process of evaluating the advice. The ICANN organization understands SAC061 Recommendation 2 to mean that the ICANN Board should ensure that a formal risk assessment is completed and available...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC061	https://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-061-en.pdf	R-4 SSAC Comment on ICANN's Initial Report from the Expert Working Group on gTLD Directory Services	9/6/2013	The SSAC suggests that the EWG address this recommendation from SAC058: "SSAC Report on Domain Name Registration Data Validation: As the ICANN community discusses validating contact information, the SSAC recommends that the following meta-questions regar...	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period on the initial report: http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/input-to-ewg/2013/thread.html . A Final Report was published in June 2014: https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/final-report-06j...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC061	https://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-061-en.pdf	R-3 SSAC Comment on ICANN's Initial Report from the Expert Working Group on gTLD Directory Services	9/6/2013	SSAC recommends that the EWG state more clearly its positions on specific questions of data availability.	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period on the initial report: http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/input-to-ewg/2013/thread.html . A Final Report was published in June 2014: https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/final-report-06j...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC061	https://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-061-en.pdf	R-1 SSAC Comment on ICANN's Initial Report from the Expert Working Group on gTLD Directory Services	9/6/2013	The ICANN Board should explicitly defer any other activity (within ICANN's remit) directed at finding a 'solution' to 'the WHOIS problem' until the registration data policy has been developed and accepted in the community.	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period on the initial report: http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/input-to-ewg/2013/thread.html . A Final Report was published in June 2014: https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/final-report-06j...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0813-04-00-EN	http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-27aug13-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Proposal to Mitigate Name Collision Risks	8/27/2013	The ALAC welcomes the completion and publication of the "Name Collisions in the DNS" [PDF, 3.34 MB] study report by Interisle Consulting Group and the subsequent response by ICANN in "New gTLD Collision Risk Management Proposal [PDF, 166 KB]." The ALAC w...	Closed	On 30 July 2014, the NGPC adopted the Name Collision Management Framework: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-07-30-en . Implementation and general information about the Name Collision efforts can be found at: http://
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0813-02-00-EN	http://atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-2-09aug13-en.htm	ALAC Statement on the Preferential Treatment for Community Applications in String Contention	8/9/2013	The ALAC call on ICANN to review all 688 applications currently in contention and provide preferential treatment to applications that meet the characteristics of community applications.	Closed	On 9 September 2013, the Chair of the NGPC responded to the ALAC (http://atlarge-lists.icann.org/pipermail/alac/2013/007330.html). In the response, the NGPC Chair stated: ""Implementing the ALAC's advice would represent a change to the policies and proc...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0813-03-00-EN	http://atlarge.icann.org/correspondence/correspondence-09aug13-en.htm	ALAC Statement on community expertise in community priority evaluation	8/9/2013	ALAC recommends additional community-related expertise in the Community Priority Evaluation Panel and stands ready to offer appropriate ICANN community volunteers to serve as panel members or advisors.	Closed	On 28 September 2013, the Chair of the ICANN Board New gTLD Program Committee (NGPC) responded to some of the concerns raised by ALAC (http://atlarge-lists.icann.org/pipermail/alac/attachments/20131002/cebed781/ResponseNGPctoAL-ALAC-ST-0813-03-00-EN-0001...)
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC060	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-060-en.pdf	SAC060: Active Variant TLDs (9 of 14)	7/23/2013	ICANN must ensure that Emergency Back-End Registry Operator (EBERO) providers support variant TLDs, and that parity exists for variant support in all relevant systems and functions associated with new TLD components.	Phase 4 Implement	Implementation of this specific advice item is underway and part of Project 7. Additionally, a public comment was opened on Guidelines for the Implementation of Internationalized Domain Names 4.0 on 3 March 2017. The public comment period closed on 2 May...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC060	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-060-en.pdf	SAC060: Active Variant TLDs (8 of 14)	7/23/2013	A process should be developed to activate variants from allocatable variants in LGR.	Phase 4 Implement	ICANN agrees with this recommendation and the entire Project 7 of the IDN Variant TLD Program is dedicated to developing the processes to handle variant mechanisms, including the life cycle of a variant label. Implementation of this specific advice item ...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC060	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-060-en.pdf	SAC060: Active Variant TLDs (4 of 14)	7/23/2013	ICANN should coordinate and encourage adoption of these rules at the second and higher levels as a starting point by: - Updating the IDN Implementation Guidelines; - Maintaining and publishing a central repository of rules for second-level domain lab...	Phase 4 Implement	ICANN agrees with these recommendations. Implementation of this specific advice item is in progress, and there is an active working group that is working on the next version of IDN implementation guidelines as well as on second-level label generation rul...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC060	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-060-en.pdf	SAC060: Active Variant TLDs (3 of 14)	7/23/2013	ICANN should concentrate foremost on the rules for the root zone (versus rules for TLD registry operators).	Phase 5 Close Request	ICANN agrees with this recommendation, which is implemented by the IDN Label Generation Ruleset for the Root Zone (LGR) procedure. On 6 June 2017, a public comment period opened on Version 2 Label of the Label Generation Rules for the Root Zone (RZ-L...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC060	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-060-en.pdf	SAC060: Active Variant TLDs (2 of 14)	7/23/2013	ICANN must maintain a secure, stable, and objective process to resolve cases in which some members of the community (e.g., an applicant for a TLD) do not agree with the result of the Label Generation Rules (LGR) calculations.	Phase 5 Close Request	Each release of the integrated IDN Label Generation Ruleset for the Root Zone (LGR) will be open to public comments prior to publication. In addition, the LGR process has been further detailed to allow for a script community to submit additional revision...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC060	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-060-en.pdf	Active Variant TLDs (14 of 14)	7/23/2013	ICANN should ensure that the number of strings that are activated is as small as possible.	Closed	ICANN agrees with this recommendation and the number of strings that may become activated as a result of the Label Generation Rules for the Root Zone (LGR) procedure should be minimal. Similar to SAC060 Recommendation 5, the IDN LGR procedure is designed...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC060	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-060-en.pdf	Active Variant TLDs (13 of 14)	7/23/2013	The TMCH must add support for IDN variant TLDs. Particularly during the TM Claims service, a name registered under a TLD that has allocated variant TLDs should trigger trademark holder notifications for the registration of the name in all of its allocate...	Closed	ICANN responded to the SSAC most recently in early 2016, and is awaiting a response before taking further action. However, projects focused on planning and implementation of IDN variant TLDs are ongoing. TMCH Resources: - General information on TMCH: h...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC060	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-060-en.pdf	Active Variant TLDs (12 of 14)	7/23/2013	The matching algorithm for TMCH must be improved.	Closed	ICANN responded to the SSAC most recently in early 2016, and is awaiting a response before taking further action. However, projects focused on planning and implementation of IDN variant TLDs are ongoing. TMCH Resources: - General information on TMCH: h...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC060	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-060-en.pdf	Active Variant TLDs (11 of 14)	7/23/2013	When registries calculate variant sets for use in validation during registration, such calculations must be done against all of the implemented LGRs covering the script in which the label is applied for.	Closed	This specific advice item is directed at Registries and contains no actionable advice for ICANN.
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC060	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-060-en.pdf	Active Variant TLDs (10 of 14)	7/23/2013	The current rights protection regime associated with the Trademark Clearinghouse (TMCH) process is susceptible to homographic attacks. The roles of the involved parties, specifically registrars, registries, and TMCH, related to matching must be made clea...	Closed	ICANN responded to the SSAC most recently in early 2016, and is awaiting a response before taking further action. However, projects focused on planning and implementation of IDN variant TLDs are ongoing. TMCH Resources: - General information on TMCH: h...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC060	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-060-en.pdf	Active Variant TLDs (7 of 14)	7/23/2013	Should ICANN decide to implement safeguards, it should distinguish two types of failure modes when a user expects a variant to work, but it is not implemented: denial of service versus misconnection.	Closed	This specific advice item is part of project 2.1 LGR Procedure. Information on Project 2.1 of the LGR can be found here: https://community.icann.org/display/WIP/P2.1-Label+Generation+Ruleset+Process+for+the+Root Considerable work has been underway on L...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC060	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-060-en.pdf	Active Variant TLDs (6 of 14)	7/23/2013	Because the removal of a delegation from the root zone can have significant non-local impact, new rules added to a LGR must, as far as possible, be backward compatible so that new versions of the LGR do not produce results that are incompatible with hist...	Closed	ICANN agrees with this recommendation and backwards compatibility will be one of the main considerations the Integration Panel has to take into account in each release of the IDN LGR. The LGR procedure including guidelines has been put in place (Project ...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC060	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-060-en.pdf	Active Variant TLDs (5 of 14)	7/23/2013	Be very conservative with respect to the code points that are permitted in root zone labels.	Closed	ICANN agrees with this recommendation and the IDN LGR procedure is designed to follow a conservative and minimalist approach to maintain the security and stability of the root zone. The LGR procedure including guidelines has been put in place (Project 2...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC060	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-060-en.pdf	Active Variant TLDs (1 of 14)	7/23/2013	Regarding ICANN's Report on Examining the User Experience Implications of Active Variant TLDs, The root zone must use one and only one set of Label Generation Rules (LGR).	Closed	ICANN agrees with this recommendation. The implicit assumption of the current LGR work is that the root zone will use one and only one set of label generation rules. Considerable work has been underway on IDNs and IDN variants. Some of this work can be ...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0513-02-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/7301	ALAC Statement to the Board Regarding Security and Stability Implications of New gTLDs	5/31/2013	The ALAC urges the Board to take full consideration of relevant SSAC advice and recommendations to ensure that residual risk is minimized and specifically that residual risk is not transferred to third parties such as current registry operators, new gTLD...	Closed	The ICANN Board New gTLD Program Committee (NGPC) considered recommendations by the Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC), public comments, and additional community feedback in its actions regarding Name Collision and Dotless Domains. On 13 A...
At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)	AL-ALAC-ST-0513-02-00-EN	https://atlarge.icann.org/advice_statements/7301	ALAC Statement to the Board Regarding Security and Stability Implications of New gTLDs	5/31/2013	The ALAC urges the Board to closely monitor the work being done by the ICANN Security Team with the CAB (Certificate Authorities and Browsers) Forum and ensure the Board's decisions are informed by the progress of this work to reduce risk.	Closed	The ICANN Board New gTLD Program Committee (NGPC) considered recommendations by the Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC), public comments, and additional community feedback in its actions regarding Name Collision and Dotless Domains. On 13 A...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC059	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-059-en.pdf	SAC059: R-1 Interdisciplinary studies of security and stability implications from expanding the root zone	4/18/2013	The SSAC recommends those issues that previous public comment periods have suggested were inadequately explored as well as issues related to cross-functional interactions of the changes brought about by root zone growth should be examined.	Phase 4 Implement	Issues related to the expansion of the root zone have been/are being considered through other means, including Name Collision and DNSSEC roll over. Other reports on the expansion of the root zone include: - Scaling the Root Report on the Impact o...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC059	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-059-en.pdf	SAC059: R-2 Interdisciplinary studies of security and stability implications from expanding the root zone	4/18/2013	The SSAC believes the use of experts with experience outside of the fields on which the previous studies relied would provide useful additional perspective regarding stubbornly unresolved concerns about the longer-term management of the expanded root zon...	Phase 4 Implement	Issues related to the expansion of the root zone have been/are being considered through other means, including Name Collision and DNSSEC roll over. Other reports on the expansion of the root zone include: - Scaling the Root Report on the Impact o...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC058	https://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-058-en.pdf	SAC058: R-3 SSAC Report on Domain Name Registration Data Validation	3/27/2013	The SSAC recommends that the ICANN community should seek to identify validation techniques that can be automated and to develop policies that incent the development and deployment of those techniques. The use of automated techniques may necessitate an in...	Phase 3 Evaluate & Consider	ICANN received SSAC's approval of understanding and is in the process of evaluating the advice. The ICANN organization understands SAC058 Recommendation 3 to mean that the ICANN community should seek to identify validation techniques to be used by regist...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC058	https://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-058-en.pdf	R-1 SSAC Report on Domain Name Registration Data Validation	3/27/2013	The SSAC recommends that the ICANN community should consider adopting the terminology outlined in this report in documents and discussions.	Closed	The adoption of this language is complete and extends beyond the ICANN community in which the ICANN WHOIS Expert Working Group (EWG), the Application Guidebook, the New gTLD Base Registry Agreement and the 2013 Registrar Accreditation Agreement incorpora...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC058	https://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-058-en.pdf	R-2 SSAC Report on Domain Name Registration Data Validation	3/27/2013	As the ICANN community discusses validating contact information, the SSAC recommends that the following meta-questions regarding the costs and benefits of registration data validation should be answered	Closed	Many of these questions were addressed in the Expert Working Group's work and are part of the policy questions posed within a future PDP by the GNSO. The EWG delivered its Final Report: https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/final-report-06jun14-e...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC056	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-056-en.pdf	SSAC Advisory on Impacts of Content Blocking via the Domain Name System	10/9/2012	SAC 056 concludes that "Governments and others should take these issues into consideration and fully understand the technical implications when developing policies that depend upon the DNS to block or otherwise filter Internet content"	Closed	SAC 056 is an Advisory that contains no recommendations that require Board action. The information in the conclusion of the Advisory has been disseminated through published articles referenced within SAC 056 and has been acted upon in various outreach a...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC055	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-055-en.pdf	R-1 WHOIS: Blind Men And An Elephant	9/14/2012	The Board should pass a resolution clearly stating the criticality of the development of a registration data policy defining the purpose of domain name registration data	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/whois-rt-final-report-2012-05-11-en In November 2012, the Board provided a resolution on the WHOIS Policy Review Team Report recommendations: https://...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC055	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-055-en.pdf	R-2 WHOIS: Blind Men And An Elephant	9/14/2012	The Board should direct the CEO to create a registration data policy committee that includes the highest levels of executive engagement to develop a registration data policy which defines the purpose of domain name registration data, as described elsewhe...	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/whois-rt-final-report-2012-05-11-en In November 2012, the Board provided a resolution on the WHOIS Policy Review Team Report recommendations: https://...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC055	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-055-en.pdf	R-3 WHOIS: Blind Men And An Elephant	9/14/2012	The Board should explicitly defer any other activity (within ICANN's remit) directed at finding a ?solution? to ?the WHOIS problem? until the registration data policy identified in (1) and (2) has been developed and accepted by the community.	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/whois-rt-final-report-2012-05-11-en In November 2012, the Board provided a resolution on the WHOIS Policy Review Team Report recommendations: https://...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC055	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-055-en.pdf	WHOIS: Blind Men And An Elephant	9/14/2012	Internationalized Domain Names: Internationalization MUST be supported by default, not called out separately. The focus should be on Recommendation 2 from the IRD-WG final report.	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/whois-rt-final-report-2012-05-11-en In November 2012, the ICANN Board provided a resolution on the WHOIS Policy Review Team Report recommendations: ...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC055	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-055-en.pdf	WHOIS: Blind Men And An Elephant	9/14/2012	An accuracy policy should define each data element and require that it be examined and indicate for each element a method for determining the level of accuracy of the data.	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/whois-rt-final-report-2012-05-11-en In November 2012, the Board provided a resolution on the WHOIS Policy Review Team Report recommendations: https://...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC054	https://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-054-en.pdf	SSAC Report on the Domain Name Registration Data Model (2 of 2)	6/11/2012	The SSAC encourages the community to adopt the labeling and terminology used in this data model in future work.	Closed	This specific advice item contains no action for ICANN. However, the Board in its November 8 2012 resolution directed that work related to the development of new directory service policy begin and that it incorporate the language used by the SSAC: (https://...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC054	https://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-054-en.pdf	SSAC Report on the Domain Name Registration Data Model (1 of 2)	6/11/2012	The SSAC invites all ICANN Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees, and in particular Registry and Registrar Stakeholder groups to (a) consider this data model and comment on its completeness, and (b) comment on the utility of the model in furth...	Closed	This specific advice item contains no action for ICANN. However, the Board in its November 8 2012 resolution directed that work related to the development of new directory service policy begin and that it incorporate the language used by the SSAC: (https://...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC053	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-053-en.pdf	SSAC Report on Dotless Domains	2/23/2012	Recommendation: Dotless domains will not be universally reachable and the SSAC recommends strongly against their use. As a result, the SSAC also recommends that the use o DNS resource records such as A, AAAA, and MX in the apex of a Top-Level Domain (T...	Closed	On 13 August 2013, the ICANN Board New gTLD Program Committee (NGPC) adopted a resolution affirming that "dotless domain names" are prohibited: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2013-08-13-en#1 .
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC052	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-052-en.pdf	SSAC Advisory on the Delegation of Single-Character Internationalized Domain Name Top-Level Domains (2 of 2)	1/31/2012	Recommendation (2): Because important relevant work on string similarity, IDN variant issues, and TLD label syntax is currently underway within ICANN, the IETF, and other bodies, ICANN should review the Findings of this report, and any policies that it a...	Closed	Considerable work has been performed or is ongoing relating to IDNs and IDN variants. Some of this work can be found on the Internationalized Domain Names page of the ICANN website: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/idn-2012-02-25-en A String Simil...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC052	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-052-en.pdf	SSAC Advisory on the Delegation of Single-Character Internationalized Domain Name Top-Level Domains (1 of 2)	1/31/2012	Recommendation (1): Given the potential for user confusion and the currently unfinished work on string similarity and IDN variants, the SSAC recommends a very conservative approach to the delegation of single-character IDN top-level domains. In particula...	Closed	The ICANN Board adopted this conservative approach and did not change the New gTLD Applicant Guidebook to allow for the delegation of single character IDN TLDs (https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/applicants/agb/guidebook-full-04jun12-en.pdf).

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC051	https://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-050-en.pdf	SAC051: SSAC Report on WHOIS Terminology and Structure	6/14/2011	R-2 The ICANN community should evaluate and adopt a replacement domain name registration data access protocol that supports the query and display of Internationalized DNRD as well as addressing the relevant recommendations in SAC 003, SAC 027 and SAC 033...	Phase 4 Implement	Implementation of this specific advice item is ongoing. ICANN is determining how to implement the new protocol in the gTLD space. The Registries Stakeholder Group (RySG) has submitted proposals/correspondence to ICANN regarding the registration data ...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC050	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-050-en.pdf	DNS Blocking: Benefits Versus Harms ?Äi An Advisory from the Security and Stability Advisory Committee on Blocking of Top Level Domains at the Domain Name System	6/14/2011	Blocking or altering responses to Domain Name System (DNS) queries is increasingly prominent. Domain name or Internet Protocol (IP) address filtering (or otherwise preventing access to web content as a matter of security policy) may be viewed by some org...	Closed	This specific advice item contains no action for ICANN as it is general advice to organizations implementing DNS blocking rather than advice directed to the ICANN Board.
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC051	https://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-050-en.pdf	SSAC Report on WHOIS Terminology and Structure	6/14/2011	R-1 The ICANN community should adopt the terminology outlined in this report in documents and discussions, in particular: - Domain Name Registration Data (DNRD). The data that domain name registrants provide when registering a domain name and that regis...	Closed	On 8 November 2012, the ICANN Board approved resolution directing that work begin related to the development of new directory service policy and that it incorporate the language used by the SSAC: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC051	https://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-050-en.pdf	SSAC Report on WHOIS Terminology and Structure	6/14/2011	R-3 The ICANN community should develop a uniform and standard framework for accessing DNRD that would provide mechanisms to define and implement a range of verification methods, credential services, and access control capabilities.	Closed	This specific advice item contains no action for the Board. The PDP on Next Generation gTLD Registration Directory Services (RDS) is currently considering this topic.
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC049	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-049-en.pdf	SSAC Report on DNS Zone Risk Assessment and Management (1 of 1)	6/3/2011	The SSAC recommends that registrants consider implementing [NINE] safeguards and proactive measures to manage the risk associated with loss, disruption, or inconsistent availability of name service: (1) Thoroughly document all aspects of your DNS archite...	Closed	This specific advice item contains no action for ICANN.
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC048	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-048-en.pdf	SAC048: SSAC Comment on the Orphan Glue Records in the Draft Applicant Guidebook (2 of 3)	5/12/2011	2. Orphaned glue can be used for abusive purposes; however, the dominant use of orphaned glue supports the correct and ordinary operation of the DNS. Thus it is inappropriate to include the management of orphaned glue under the rubric of "abuse preventio...	Closed	The ICANN Board sent the SSAC a letter regarding this advice item on 7 July 2017 with information on and rationale for the decision to not implement this advice (https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/crocker-to-faltstrom-07jul17-en.pdf). B...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC048	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-048-en.pdf	SSAC Comment on the Orphan Glue Records in the Draft Applicant Guidebook (3 of 3)	5/12/2011	3. Finally, to mitigate the actual abuse of orphaned glue, registry operators should take action to remove these records when provided with evidence that the glue is indeed present to abet malicious conduct.	Closed	ICANN implemented this advice in the language of the Applicant Guidebook (https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/applicants/agb/guidebook?full?04jun12?en.pdf) and the New gTLD Base Registry Agreement, Specification 6, Section 4.2, which references the SSAC Adviso...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC048	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-048-en.pdf	SSAC Comment on the Orphan Glue Records in the Draft Applicant Guidebook (1 of 3)	5/12/2011	The SSAC offers the following comments for consideration on the removal of orphan glue records: 1. Orphaned glue is an ambiguous term for which no definitive definition exists. The SSAC has prepared a definition that we recommend be included for referenc...	Closed	ICANN implemented this advice in the language of the Applicant Guidebook (https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/applicants/agb/guidebook-full-04jun12-en.pdf) and the New gTLD Base Registry Agreement, Specification 6, Section 4.2, which references the SSAC Adviso...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC047	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-047-en.pdf	SAC047: SSAC Comment on the ICANN gTLD Registry Transition Processes Model (2 of 7)	4/15/2011	The SSAC recommends that ICANN preserve operational data about ex-registries. ICANN should define a framework to share such data with the community. Availability of such data will ensure that the registration transition process can be studied and if need...	Phase 3 Evaluate & Consider	ICANN received SSAC's approval of understanding and is in the process of evaluating the advice. The ICANN organization understands SAC047 Recommendation 2 to mean that ICANN should preserve operational data about ex-registries and should define a framewo...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC047	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-047-en.pdf	SAC047: SSAC Comment on the ICANN gTLD Registry Transition Processes Model (5 of 7)	4/15/2011	The SSAC notes that in certain operating circumstances, registry functions, especially critical services such as DNS resolution and DNS security (DNSSEC), may be separable from other functions (registry database maintenance). The SSAC asks whether in suc...	Closed	The ICANN Board sent the SSAC a letter regarding this advice item on 7 July 2017 with information on and rationale for the decision to not implement this advice (https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/crocker-to-faltstrom-07jul17-en.pdf) ...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC047	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-047-en.pdf	SSAC Comment on the ICANN gTLD Registry Transition Processes Model (7 of 7)	4/15/2011	Lastly, the SSAC makes the following recommendations regarding the construction of the Explanatory Memorandum: 1) It should be footnoted with references to the AG. 2) It should reference and use defined terms from the Applicant Guidebook rather than craf...	Closed	ICANN adopted these recommendations and clarified in the Registry Transition process that the Explanatory Memorandum is part of the Applicant Guidebook. See: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/transition-processes-2013-04-22-en

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC047	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-047-en.pdf	SSAC Comment on the ICANN gTLD Registry Transition Processes Model (6 of 7)	4/15/2011	With respect to registration fees, the SSAC also notes that certain registrant information is not associated with or collected for the purpose of the public directory service, but is instead part of the administrative data that might be split between the...	Closed	The payment cycle information is reflected by the expiration date of the domain name, which is included as part of the data escrow that the successor registry receives. Each gTLD Registry is required to escrow their registration data with an ICANN approv...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC047	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-047-en.pdf	SSAC Comment on the ICANN gTLD Registry Transition Processes Model (4 of 7)	4/15/2011	The SSAC notes that the Explanatory Memorandum makes no provision to ensure that a registrant retains the registration of a domain name during transition. The process must have a provision to lock domain ownership during a transition.	Closed	SAC047 was issued in response to the Explanatory Memorandum on Registry Transition Procedures as part of the New gTLD Applicant Guidebook development process. ICANN considered this advice item, but ultimately this recommendation was not implemented as p...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC047	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-047-en.pdf	SSAC Comment on the ICANN gTLD Registry Transition Processes Model (3 of 7)	4/15/2011	The SSAC emphasizes that in many if not most circumstances, restoring domain name system (DNS) resolution services will be the number one priority for registrants and gTLD users. This requires DNS zone files for gTLDs to be escrowed separately.	Closed	A process for Registry Data Escrow was implemented into the New gTLD Program in the Applicant Guidebook (http://newgtlds.icann.org/en/applicants/aggb/guidebook-full-04jun12-en.pdf), and the New gTLD Base Registry Agreement (https://newgtlds.icann.org/site...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC047	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-047-en.pdf	SSAC Comment on the ICANN gTLD Registry Transition Processes Model (1 of 7)	4/15/2011	The SSAC recommends that ICANN define a testing process that emulates a full failover scenario and that successor and emergency registry operators demonstrate their ability to satisfy the testing criteria.	Closed	SAC047 was considered by ICANN and relevant recommendations were implemented into the Registry Transition process, including the requirement for an emergency back-end registry operator (EBERO) to conduct failover testing periodically. The Registry Trans...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC046	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-046-en.pdf	SAC046: Report of the Security and Stability Advisory Committee on Root Scaling (4 of 5)	12/6/2010	Recommendation (4): ICANN should update its "Plan for Enhancing Internet Security, Stability, and Resiliency," to include actual measurement, monitoring, and datasharing capability of root zone performance, in cooperation with RSSAC and other root zone m...	Phase 4 Implement	The plan will be updated to include actual measurement, monitoring, and datasharing capability of root zone performance, in cooperation with RSSAC and other root zone management participants to define the specific measurements, monitoring, and data shari...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC046	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-046-en.pdf	Report of the Security and Stability Advisory Committee on Root Scaling (5 of 5)	12/6/2010	Recommendation (5): ICANN should commission and incent interdisciplinary studies of security and stability implications from expanding the root zone more than an order of magnitude, particularly for enterprises and other user communities who may implemen...	Closed	After submission of a letter to the SSAC from the ICANN Chairman on 25 September 2012 (https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/crocker-to-faltstrom-25sep12-en.pdf), the SSAC formed a work party to provide a response to the ICANN Board. On ...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC046	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-046-en.pdf	Report of the Security and Stability Advisory Committee on Root Scaling (3 of 5)	12/6/2010	Recommendation (3): ICANN should publish estimates of expected and maximum growth rates of TLDs, including IDNs and their variants, and solicit public feedback on these estimates, with the end goal of being as transparent as possible about the justificat...	Closed	The Board recommended the CEO to direct staff to publish current estimates of the expected growth rates of TLDs: https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2012-09-13-en#1.c As part of the implementation of the New gTLD Program, ICANN re...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC046	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-046-en.pdf	Report of the Security and Stability Advisory Committee on Root Scaling (2 of 5)	12/6/2010	Recommendation (2): ICANN, National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA), and VeriSign should publish statements, or a joint statement, that they are materially prepared for the proposed changes.	Closed	The Board recommended the CEO to direct staff to work with NTIA and Verisign to explore publication of one or more statements regarding preparation for the proposed changes. https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2012-09-13-en#1.c IC...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC046	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-046-en.pdf	Report of the Security and Stability Advisory Committee on Root Scaling (1 of 5)	12/6/2010	[...] the SSAC recommends the following steps be taken before launching additional gTLDs, in parallel with continued deployment of IDNs and IPv6. Recommendation (1): Formalize and publicly document the interactions between ICANN and the root server opera...	Closed	The Board requested the CEO to direct staff to work with the root server operators via RSSAC to complete the documentation of the interactions between ICANN and the root server operators with respect to root zone scaling: https://www.icann.org/resources/...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC045	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-045-en.pdf	SAC045: Invalid Top Level Domain Queries at the Root Level of the Domain Name System (6 of 6)	11/15/2010	The SSAC recommends that ICANN define circumstances where a previously delegated string may be re-used, or prohibit the practice.	Phase 4 Implement	This advice item requires further policy determination. ICANN will refer this advice to the GNSO for consideration.
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC045	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-045-en.pdf	Invalid Top Level Domain Queries at the Root Level of the Domain Name System (1 of 6)	11/15/2010	ICANN should educate users so that, eventually, private networks and individual hosts do not attempt to resolve local names via the root system of the public DNS.	Closed	ICANN has developed materials to help IT Professionals understand and address the root cause of name collision: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/name-collision-2013-12-06-en#resources Materials include a guide for IT departments to identify and man...

ICANN Board Status Advice Report

Advice Item Status

As of 31 Dec 2017

Advice Provider	Advice Document Reference ID	Link to Advice Document	Name of Advice Document	Issued Date	Advice Document Recommendation	Phase	Action(s) Taken
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC045	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-045-en.pdf	Invalid Top Level Domain Queries at the Root Level of the Domain Name System (5 of 6)	11/15/2010	The SSAC recommends that ICANN alert the applicant during the string evaluation process about the pre-existence of invalid TLD queries to the applicant's string. ICANN should coordinate with the community to identify a threshold of traffic observed at th...	Closed	The NGPC resolutions on name collision adopted on 7-Oct-2013 and 30-Jul-2014 addresses the issues related to invalid Top Level Domain queries at the root level of the DNS: http://www.icann.org/en/groups/board/documents/resolutions-new-gtld-07oct13-en.htm...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC045	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-045-en.pdf	Invalid Top Level Domain Queries at the Root Level of the Domain Name System (4 of 6)	11/15/2010	Recommendation (2): The SSAC recommends that ICANN consider the following in the context of the new gTLD program. - Prohibit the delegation of certain TLD strings. RFC 2606, "Reserved Top Level Domain Names," currently prohibits a list of strings, includ...	Closed	The ICANN Board New gTLD Program Committee (NGPC) resolutions on name collision adopted on 7-Oct-2013 and 30-Jul-2014 addresses the issues related to invalid Top Level Domain queries at the root level of the DNS: http://www.icann.org/en/groups/board/docu...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC045	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-045-en.pdf	Invalid Top Level Domain Queries at the Root Level of the Domain Name System (3 of 6)	11/15/2010	ICANN should contact organizations that are associated with strings that are frequently queried at the root. Forewarn organizations who send many invalid queries for TLDs that are about to become valid, so they may mitigate or eliminate such queries befo...	Closed	The ICANN Board New gTLD Program Committee (NGPC) resolutions on name collision adopted on 7-Oct-2013 and 30-Jul-2014 addresses the issues related to invalid Top Level Domain queries at the root level of the DNS: http://www.icann.org/en/groups/board/docu...
Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)	SAC045	http://www.icann.org/en/groups/ssac/documents/sac-045-en.pdf	Invalid Top Level Domain Queries at the Root Level of the Domain Name System (2 of 6)	11/15/2010	The SSAC recommends that ICANN promote a general awareness of the potential problems that may occur when a query for a TLD string that has historically resulted in a negative response begins to resolve to a new TLD. Specifically, ICANN should: ?Ãc Study...	Closed	The ICANN Board New gTLD Program Committee (NGPC) resolutions on name collision adopted on 7-Oct-2013 and 30-Jul-2014 addressed the issues related to invalid top-level domain queries at the root level of the DNS: http://www.icann.org/en/groups/board/docu...