Dear All,

As you may recall at the 12-Mar CSG-Board session in Kobe, Chris Disspain asked the BC to suggest options for the board’s resolution on EPDP Phase 1 Recommendations. Here is the transcript of the exchange:

Chris Disspain: I wanted to just briefly respond to your other point about your response to me in respect to what sort of resolution the Board would pass. You made several points about this being different, special, having already had a significant amount of community involvement, all of which I accept. I wonder whether you -- it might be helpful to us if you were able to tell us -- not now. I don't expect you to do it now, but you were able to tell us how you think the Board should treat the recommendations and what mechanisms you think are available to us in respect to those resolutions. That would be -- that would be useful input, I think.

As promised, please find Attached our suggestions.

Kind Regards
Claudia Selli

- **Treat Phase II with the same sense of urgency as Phase I**
  - Acknowledge the urgency of completing Phase I, and ask that the group to apply itself to completing the work as soon as possible - with the goal of reporting back to the board by Montreal and demonstrate meaningful progress, or explain why such progress has not been possible
  - Acknowledge and factor into the EPDP work the important work of the TSG / UAM
  - Ask ICANN org to continue exploring its ability to assume legal responsibility for purposes of providing access to Whois data and alleviate contracted parties liability.

- **Prioritize specific issues and reflect the Board’s recognition of their importance**
  - Identifying the 3rd party legitimate interests that fall under Purpose 2, focusing on the common uses identified by the GAC/ALAC statement that:
“GTLD registration data is used by, among others, law enforcement, cybersecurity professionals, CERTs and those enforcing intellectual property rights online including brand protection as well as businesses, organizations and users assisting in combating online fraud.”

- Examining the TSG model, as a possible way of developing the UAM, as well as the AAAM produced by the BC/IPC, and articulate an end date for this work (Toronto Meeting)

- Recognizing the significance of the joint ALAC/GAC statement, prioritize the issues raised by the GAC/ALAC/SSAC on:
  - accuracy, access, natural/legal person distinction, scientific research, technical contacts
  - SSAC 101 (Advisory regarding access)
  - SSAC 104 (Comment on the EPDP Initial Report)

- **Secure funding immediately for a paid Chair and mediation services for all f2f meetings**, with a view of encouraging consensus and consideration of all stakeholder positions

- **In parallel with the EPDP, expedite the technical and operational implementation of access:**
  - Secure funds for ICANN Org to examine proofs-of-concept of the work by the TSG, and others, working with community members and contracted parties willing to participate voluntarily

- **Address the problems with access under the Temp Spec by:**
  - Instructing ICANN Org to develop best practices by Toronto for access to redacted registrant contact data in advance of the completion of the policy process and Phase 2 of the EPDP.
  - Instructing ICANN Org to enhance its compliance activity with respect to enforcement of the Temp Spec, and/or the new EPDP policy.

- **Instruct ICANN.org to restart stalled community consensus established initiatives that relate to WHOIS—**
  - cross-field validation, thick WHOIS & privacy/proxy
  - conclude these initiatives by Montreal for implementation by Feb 29, 2020, in line with the EPDP Phase 1 Report