



The Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers

June 21, 2014

Mr. Victor Calvo-Sotelo Ibanez-Martin  
Secretario de Estado de Telecomunicaciones y para la Sociedad de Informacion  
Ministerio de Industria, Energia y Turismo

Dear Mr. Ibanez-Martin,

Thank you for your letter of 17 June 2014 regarding the applications for the .VIN and .WINE new gTLDs. We appreciate your continued willingness to participate actively in the difficult dialogue concerning appropriate safeguards for new gTLDs. We acknowledge your view that these applications should not proceed in the absence of additional safeguard protections for Geographical Indications (GIs). We also note the views expressed by other governments opposed to additional safeguard protections for GIs (see e.g., 30 April 2014 letter from the Government of New Zealand; 8 May 2014 letter from the Government of Australia; and 21 May 2014 letter from the United States Government). That the Governmental Advisory Committee did not reach consensus on the issue of additional safeguards reflects the conflicting opinions on this issue.

As you know, on 4 April 2013, the New gTLD Program Committee published resolution 2014.04.04.NG03 directing the President and CEO, or his designee, to not commence the contracting process for the applications for .WINE and .VIN for 60 days from the date of publication of these resolutions in order to provide additional time for the relevant impacted parties to negotiate, which they are encouraged to do.

(<https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-04-04-en>) The sixty-day period expired on 3 June 2014.

On 8, 9 and 18 April 2014, the European Commission, the governments of France, Italy, Luxembourg, Portugal, Spain, Switzerland and the United Kingdom, and five Geographical Indication Organizations filed 13 Reconsideration Requests asking the NGPC to reconsider one or more of the five NGPC Resolutions addressing GAC advice on the applications of .VIN and .WINE. In its 6 June 2014 decision, the NGPC agreed with the Board Governance Committee's conclusion that there was no evidence that the Board's actions in adopting the Resolutions support reconsideration. (<https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-06-06-en>).

As noted above, the 60-day waiting period before contracting could commence has expired and the Board reconsideration requests have all been denied. Consistent with the NGPC resolutions



cited above, ICANN has continued with the processing of the .VIN and .WINE applications. Of course, should the GAC be in a position to provide any additional advice on this issue, we would welcome it. Similarly, should governments succeed in resolving these issues in other global trade fora such as the WTO that, too, will be taken into account.

We hope this information is helpful. Again, thank you for your active engagement in ICANN in these important issues. Such participation and full dialogue is at the heart of the multistakeholder approach and we sincerely appreciate all the detailed input received.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, which appears to read "Stephen D. Crocker". The signature is fluid and cursive, written over a light blue horizontal line.

Stephen D. Crocker  
Chair, ICANN Board of Directors

cc Heather Dryden  
cc Cherine Chalaby