



The Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers

19 October 2016

Alan Greenberg  
Chair, ICANN At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)

### ICANN Board Advice Register and Status of ALAC's Historical Advice to the ICANN Board

Dear Alan Greenberg:

Over the past year, ICANN has reviewed historical advice to the ICANN Board to ensure it has been processed and to identify any items that have not yet received Board consideration, so they can be driven to closure. To this end, we reviewed the historical advice issued between 2010 and mid-2015 by the ALAC, RSSAC, SSAC, and the Universal Acceptance Steering Group. This correspondence provides a status update on this set of historical items from the ALAC.

Attached to this letter is a full list of the historical advice items reviewed by ICANN. We have categorized each advice item as follows:

**Open - Prior to Board Consideration:** Board consideration of the advice is still required.

**Open - In Implementation:** Board consideration is complete and implementation of advice item is ongoing.

**Closed:** This item has been processed as much as is relevant and is considered complete; no work is outstanding from the perspective of Board Advice (note that related implementation work may have been integrated into ICANN's ongoing operations or other initiatives).

Summary of ALAC's Historical Advice 2010 – Mid 2015		
Open Prior to Board Consideration	Open in Implementation	Closed
0	4	83

Recent advice – issued during the second half of 2015 through the present – is being handled through a Board Advice pilot project. Currently, the scope of requests addressed through the pilot is limited to advice from the ALAC, SSAC, and RSSAC. The recent advice documents from the ALAC



being processed through the pilot include:

- ALAC Advice regarding the Long-Standing Issue of the Sensitive TLDs Classified as Category 1, Safeguard 1-8 in the GAC Beijing Communique (AL-ALAC-CO-0216-01-00-EN)
- ALAC Statement on the Planned Implementation of the New Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP) (AL-ALAC-ST-1115-01-00-EN)

In addition to the recent items identified above, historical items that are open and require further consideration by the ICANN Board and/or have not begun implementation will be tracked and managed through the pilot to ensure they will be driven to closure. These items are noted in the attached list of historical items.

Thank you for your time and commitment to ICANN over the years. We look forward to continued positive engagement between the ICANN Board and the ALAC.

If you have any questions about the information provided here, we encourage you to share them with Heidi Ullrich, Sr. Director At Large at ICANN, and to bring them for discussion during the ICANN57 public meeting in Hyderabad, India.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Stephen D. Crocker", written in a cursive style.

Dr. Stephen D. Crocker  
Chair, ICANN Board of Directors

**APPENDIX 1: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (Open Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Future of Multi-Stakeholder Models  26 Jun 2014	<b>7 of 43:</b> A periodic review of ICANN's MSM should be performed to ensure that the processes and the composition of ICANN's constituent parts adequately address the relevant decision-making requirements in the Corporation.	Open - Implementation	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> This specific advice item is on-hold pending the outcome of Work Stream 2. The issue has been raised to the Board Organizational Effectiveness Committee. See ALAC workspace for updates: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+7">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+7</a>
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>30 of 43:</b> For each Public Comment process, SOs and ACs should be adequately resourced to produce impact statements.	Open - Implementation	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> There is ongoing work on this topic. ICANN is rolling out a Document Development Drafting Pilot Program and additional staff is being allocated to work on this subject area.
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>32 of 43:</b> ICANN should ensure that all acronyms, terminology in its materials are clearly defined in simpler terms.	Open - Implementation	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> ICANN is in the final stages of completing an updated ICANN Writing Style Guide, which formalizes ICANN's commitment to creating content in plain English style. This is an ongoing effort to standardize, define and make ICANN content as user- friendly as possible. This will be a continuing effort of the Organization's. Also see ALAC workspace for updates: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+32">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+32</a>

**APPENDIX 1: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (Open Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>37 of 43:</b> Additional logistical support from ICANN is needed to improve the At-Large wiki.	Open - Implementation	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> ICANN Staff is currently working towards implementing this recommendation by adding staff resources. For more information, see the ALAC Workspace: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+37">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+37</a>

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0513-02-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement to the Board Regarding Security and Stability Implications of New gTLDs  31 May 2013	<p><b>1 of 2:</b> The ALAC urges the Board to closely monitor the work being done by the ICANN Security Team with the CAB (Certificate Authorities and Browsers) Forum and ensure the Board's decisions are informed by the progress of this work to reduce risk.</p>	Closed	The ICANN Board New gTLD Program Committee (NGPC) considered recommendations by the Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC), public comments, and additional community feedback in its actions regarding Name Collision and Dotless Domains. On 13 August 2013, the NGPC adopted a resolution affirming that "dotless domain names" are prohibited: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2013-08-13-en#1">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2013-08-13-en#1</a> . On 30 July 2014, NGPC adopted the Name Collision Management Framework: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-07-30-en">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-07-30-en</a> .
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0513-02-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement to the Board Regarding Security and Stability Implications of New gTLDs  31 May 2013	<p><b>2 of 2:</b> The ALAC urges the Board to take full consideration of relevant SSAC advice and recommendations to ensure that residual risk is minimized and specifically that residual risk is not transferred to third parties such as current registry operators, new gTLD applicants, registrants, consumers and individual end users.</p>	Closed	The ICANN Board New gTLD Program Committee (NGPC) considered recommendations by the Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC), public comments, and additional community feedback in its actions regarding Name Collision and Dotless Domains. On 13 August 2013, the NGPC adopted a resolution affirming that "dotless domain names" are prohibited: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2013-08-13-en#1">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2013-08-13-en#1</a> . On 30 July 2014, NGPC adopted the Name Collision Management Framework: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-07-30-en">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-07-30-en</a> .

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0813-02-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Preferential Treatment for Community Applications in String Contention  9 Aug 2013	The ALAC call on ICANN to review all 688 applications currently in contention and provide preferential treatment to applications that meet the characteristics of community applications.	Closed	On 9 September 2013, the Chair of the NGPC responded to the ALAC ( <a href="http://atlarge-lists.icann.org/pipermail/alach/2013/007330.html">http://atlarge-lists.icann.org/pipermail/alach/2013/007330.html</a> ). In the response, the NGPC Chair stated: "Implementing the ALAC's advice would represent a change to the policies and procedures established in the Applicant Guidebook. In the interest of fairness to all applicants, it would not be appropriate to re-evaluate applications that chose not to self-designate as community-based applications. As such, all applications will be considered based on their current designations."
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0813-03-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on Community Expertise in Community Priority Evaluation 9 Aug 2013	1. The ALAC has concerns about the sufficiency of community expertise in panels that evaluate new gTLD community applications. 2. The ALAC stands ready to offer appropriate ICANN community volunteers to serve as panel members or advisors.	Closed	On 28 September 2013, the Chair of the ICANN Board New gTLD Program Committee (NGPC) responded to some of the concerns raised by ALAC ( <a href="http://atlarge-lists.icann.org/pipermail/alach/attachments/20131002/cebed781/ResponseNGPCtoAL-ALAC-ST-0813-03-00-EN-0001.pdf">http://atlarge-lists.icann.org/pipermail/alach/attachments/20131002/cebed781/ResponseNGPCtoAL-ALAC-ST-0813-03-00-EN-0001.pdf</a> ).  In the response, the NGPC Chair stated: "The NGPC appreciates the offer made by the ALAC to provide community volunteers to serve as Panel members or advisors. However, the NGPC determined that it would not be appropriate to introduce external parties to the EIU's evaluation process."

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0813-04-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Proposal to Mitigate Name Collision Risks  27 Aug 2013	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The ALAC welcomes the completion and publication of the "Name Collisions in the DNS" study report by Interisle Consulting Group and the subsequent response by ICANN in "New gTLD Collision Risk Management Proposal."</li> <li>The ALAC wishes to reiterate its previous Advice to the Board that, in pursuing mitigation actions to minimize residual risk, especially for those strings in the "uncalculated risk" category, ICANN must assure that such residual risk is not transferred to third parties such as current registry operators, new gTLD applicants, registrants, consumers and individual end users. In particular, the direct and indirect costs associated with proposed mitigation actions should not have to be borne by registrants, consumers and individual end users.</li> <li>The ALAC remains concerned that this matter is being dealt with at such a late stage of the New gTLD Process. The ALAC urges the Board to investigate how and why this crucial issue could have been ignored for so long and how similar occurrences may be prevented in the future.</li> </ol>	Closed	On 30 July 2014, the NGPC adopted the Name Collision Management Framework: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-07-30-en">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-07-30-en</a> . Implementation and general information about the Name Collision efforts can be found at: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/name-collision-2013-12-06-en">https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/name-collision-2013-12-06-en</a> .
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0913-01-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Community Priority Evaluation (CPE) Guidelines Update from ICANN  9 Sep 2013	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The ALAC welcomes the proposal of "Community Priority Evaluation (CPE) Guidelines" prepared by The Economist Intelligence Unit (EIU).</li> <li>The ALAC notes with satisfaction that the EIU has transposed the Applicant Guidebook Criteria into Evaluation Guidelines for what is intended to be an evidence-based evaluation process.</li> <li>The ALAC supports the need for comprehensive community assessment to ensure the legitimacy of applicants and the long-term sustainability of their value proposals.</li> <li>Without re-opening the debate on the Applicant Guidebook Guidelines themselves, the ALAC has several recommendations and observations to make based on the document within this Statement.</li> </ol>	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a request for community review and input to the draft CPE Guidelines for the New gTLD Program: <a href="https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/announcements-and-media/announcement-4-16aug13-en">https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/announcements-and-media/announcement-4-16aug13-en</a> On 27 September 2013, ICANN published the CPE Guidelines produced by the Economist Intelligence Unit after considering ICANN community feedback on the first draft.

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0913-04-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on Confusingly Similar gTLDs  16 Sept 2013	<p><b>1 of 3:</b> The ALAC advises the Board to revisit the issue of new TLD strings, which are singular and plural versions of the same word, and ensure that ICANN does not delegate strings that are virtually certain to create confusion among Internet users and therefore result in loss of faith in the DNS.</p>	Closed	In February 2014, the NGPC directed ICANN to publish for public comment the proposed review mechanism for addressing perceived inconsistent Expert Determinations from the New gTLD Program String Confusion Objections process: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-02-05-en#1.b">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-02-05-en#1.b</a> The Board has also identified this topic as one that may be appropriate for the GNSO's discussion of evaluation in the 2012 application around and adjustments for future application rounds <a href="https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/resolutions-annex-a-17nov14-en.pdf">https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/resolutions-annex-a-17nov14-en.pdf</a> .
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0913-04-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on Confusingly Similar gTLDs  16 Sept 2013	<p><b>2 of 3:</b> The ALAC advises the Board to review the objection decision system with multiple panels that leads to inconsistency and not only review the obvious case of .cam/.com where conflicting objection decisions have forced such review;</p>	Closed	In February 2014, the NGPC directed ICANN to publish for public comment the proposed review mechanism for addressing perceived inconsistent Expert Determinations from the New gTLD Program String Confusion Objections process: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-02-05-en#1.b">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-02-05-en#1.b</a> The Board has also identified this topic as one that may be appropriate for the GNSO's discussion of evaluation in the 2012 application around and adjustments for future application rounds <a href="https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/resolutions-annex-a-17nov14-en.pdf">https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/resolutions-annex-a-17nov14-en.pdf</a> .



**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0913-04-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on Confusingly Similar gTLDs  16 Sept 2013	<p><b>3 of 3:</b> The ALAC advises the Board to determine a viable way forward which will not create unwarranted contention sets nor delegate multiple TLDs destined to ensure user confusion and implicit loss of faith in the DNS.</p>	Closed	<p>In February 2014, the NGPC directed ICANN to publish for public comment the proposed review mechanism for addressing perceived inconsistent Expert Determinations from the New gTLD Program String Confusion Objections process:  <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-02-05-en#1.b">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-02-05-en#1.b</a>                      The Board has also identified this topic as one that may be appropriate for the GNSO's discussion of evaluation in the 2012 application round and adjustments for future application rounds  <a href="https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/resolutions-annex-a-17nov14-en.pdf">https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/resolutions-annex-a-17nov14-en.pdf</a>.</p>
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0913-05-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the DNS Risk Management Framework Report  27 Sep 2013	<p>1. The fact that a risk management framework exists and is utilized to force rigor into the consideration of risk would be an important outcome 2. However, the ALAC deplores that the framework that is proposed is the proprietary and business-oriented Risk Management methodology ISO31000 framework whilst the DNS Security and Stability Analysis (DSSA) Working Group had proposed the use of the Open Standard NIST 800-30 methodology. 3. The ALAC also questions the use of a business methodology applied to the DNS. 4. The ALAC deplores that at this point in time, the proposed Framework is far from being detailed at a more granular level 5. The ALAC is disappointed that the Framework as proposed in the Final Report has not built in any substantial way on the work undertaken by the DSSA Working Group apart from mentioning its work.</p>	Closed	<p>This statement was considered as part of a public comment period:  <a href="https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/report-comments-dns-rmf-final-18oct13-en.pdf">https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/report-comments-dns-rmf-final-18oct13-en.pdf</a>                      In November 2013, the Board has directed ICANN to implement the DNS Risk Management Framework and report back to the Board Risk Committee as needed on the risk assessment and proposed mitigation measures  <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2013-11-21-en#2.c">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2013-11-21-en#2.c</a>.</p>

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC’s Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-1113-01-02-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Draft Final Report on Protection of IGO and INGO Identifiers in All gTLDs  1 Nov 2013	1. The ALAC is particularly concerned that granting blocking-level protections may prohibit other reasonable uses of the same strings and the ALAC is not satisfied that the exception procedures outlined in the report would be effective. 2. This being the case, it may be important to consider the principles that guided the ALAC, in our participation in the activities that led to this report, and that the ALAC believes should guide ICANN in considering any special protections. - ICANN should grant special protection to organizations that further the public interest and in particular, those with a strong track record of humanitarian activities. However, such protections should only be granted where there is a history or reasonable expectation that the lack of protections would lead to the misrepresentation of the organizations, fraud, deliberate confusion, or other malfeasance. - Such protections, when granted, should not unreasonably impinge on the ability of others with a valid right to use the protected string, from registering such names for uses which do not negatively impact the protected organization nor use to the protected name with the intent to deceive users. Formal trademarks should not be necessary to demonstrate such a right. - The procedures used to grant the protection exceptions identified in number 2 must be both inexpensive and fast. - No top level protections are necessary. Existing or new objection processes are sufficient.	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment on the Draft Final Report on Protection of IGO and INGO Identifiers in All gTLDs: <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/igo-ingo-final-2013-09-20-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/igo-ingo-final-2013-09-20-en</a> . Final Report was published on 10 November 2013: <a href="http://gnso.icann.org/en/issues/igo-ingo-final-10nov13-en.pdf">http://gnso.icann.org/en/issues/igo-ingo-final-10nov13-en.pdf</a> . Following GNSO adoption of the Final Report, on 7 February 2014, the Board provided a resolution on the GNSO recommendations, directing the NGPC to consider the recommendations and develop a proposal for later consideration by the Board: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-02-07-en">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-02-07-en</a>

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC’s Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-1113-02-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Revised Public Interest Commitments Dispute Resolution Procedure (PICDRP)  13 Nov 2013	The ALAC also offers the following more specific comments on the terms within the PICDRP: 1. The use of the undefined term "good standing" is both vague and inappropriate. If there are criteria under which ICANN will decide to not follow up on a report, they must be clearly stated and subject to appeal. 2. There should be no requirement for interaction between a Reporter and Registry if the complaint issues identified in the report are factually identifiable; there is no need to negotiate evidence-based issues. 3. Although perhaps obvious to some, it should be explicit that the Standing Panel will include one or more members with clear understanding of Public Interest issues.	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: <a href="https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/report-comments-draft-picdrp-19dec13-en.pdf">https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/report-comments-draft-picdrp-19dec13-en.pdf</a> The Public Interest Commitments Dispute Resolution Procedure (PICDRP) was finalized in December 2013: <a href="https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/announcements-and-media/announcement-3-19dec13">https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/announcements-and-media/announcement-3-19dec13</a>
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-1113-03-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Policy & Implementation Working Group  21 Nov 2013	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There must be a methodology to recognize when a decision will impact the community, and such decisions must involve a bottom-up process in addressing those decisions.</li> <li>2. The processes must be designed to be time-sensitive - unending debate should not be an option.</li> <li>3. There must be a way to come to closure when the community is divided, and this should not simply give executive powers to ICANN Staff.</li> <li>4. One of the key question that must be resolved is what part should the Board play in taking action if the community is divided. This question is one of the reasons that the ALAC believes that this should have been a Board-led initiative, but the fact that it isn't does not remove the importance of the question.</li> </ol>	Closed	This statement was directed to the Policy & Implementation Working Group. All comments on the Policy & Implementation Working Group Initial Recommendations were considered in the public comment, for which the ALAC submitted a separate statement: <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/policy-implementation-2015-01-19-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/policy-implementation-2015-01-19-en</a> . The Final Recommendations Report was published 1 June 2015: <a href="http://gnso.icann.org/en/drafts/policy-implementation-recommendations-01jun15-en.pdf">http://gnso.icann.org/en/drafts/policy-implementation-recommendations-01jun15-en.pdf</a> The Final Recommendations were considered by the Board, which passed a resolution on 28 September 2015: <a href="https://features.icann.org/gnso-policy-implementation-recommendations">https://features.icann.org/gnso-policy-implementation-recommendations</a> .

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-1113-04-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Second Accountability and Transparency Review Team (ATRT 2) Draft Report & Recommendations  21 Nov 2013	1. The ALAC appreciates the publication of the ATRT2 Draft Recommendations for Public Comment. 2. The ALAC views the Affirmation of Commitments' mandate for periodic organizational review and the work of the ATRT2 are crucial for enhancing, on a continuous basis, the culture and practice of accountability and transparency throughout ICANN. We agree with the ATRT2's general Recommendations that, in moving forward, ICANN needs to: - Establish clear metrics and benchmarks against which improvements in accountability and transparency can be measured; - Communicate clearly and consistently about its accountability and transparency mechanisms and performance; and Improve and prioritize its AoC Review processes.	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/atrt2-recommendations-2014-01-09-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/atrt2-recommendations-2014-01-09-en</a> The Board has provided a resolution on the ATRT2 recommendations, directing the President and CEO to proceed with implementation: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-06-26-en#2.d">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-06-26-en#2.d</a> Implementation work on ATRT2 is underway and general information about the implementation efforts can be found here: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/atrt/ATRT2+Implementation+Program">https://community.icann.org/display/atrt/ATRT2+Implementation+Program</a>
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-1113-05-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Thick Whois Policy Development Process (PDP) Recommendations for Board Consideration2  1 Nov 2013	1. The ALAC strongly supports the recommendation of the Final Report on the Thick Whois Policy Development Process for all gTLD registries to use the 'Thick' Whois mode. 2. It is a position that the ALAC has supported, beginning with its response to the Preliminary Report and reflected in the ALAC Statement on the Preliminary Issue Report on 'Thick' Whois expressing 'extreme disappointment' that Verisign was not required to use a 'Thick' Whois model for .com when that ICANN-registry agreement was up for renewal. 3. The ALAC would note that similar privacy issues are addressed by most existing registries and all registrars including movement of data from one jurisdiction to another.	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment period: <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/thick-whois-recommendations-2013-11-06-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/thick-whois-recommendations-2013-11-06-en</a> The Board considered the recommendations provided in the Final Report and provided a resolution: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-02-07-en#2.c">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-02-07-en#2.c</a>

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-1213-01-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Proposed Bylaws Changes Regarding the Technical Liaison Group  16 Dec 2013	1. The ALAC supports the intent of the proposed bylaw changes to increase the availability of technical advice to the Board as well as the effectiveness of the Technical Liaison Group. It is clear that the current modus operandi is not working and that it has not brought any benefit to ICANN in terms of advice. However, the ALAC is concerned that the order in which the changes are presented is out of line with the original recommendations of the Board technical relations WG findings. 2. The ALAC understands that the proposal is not to disband the TLG altogether but to remove the TLG position from the ICANN Board. We call on the ICANN Board to make sure, in the substitution of the TLG position in the Board, that it be structurally replaced by constant access to the necessary technical competence, not only through a structured, distance consultation. 3. The ALAC considers the actual elimination of the position of a technical liaison to the ICANN Board should not occur until, at least, a mechanism to seek regular advice from the Technical Liaison Group (TLG) be founded. This capability should be a permanent one and, provide for the ability of the technical constituencies to provide advice to the Board on an ongoing basis and not merely when requests are made. 4. The ALAC is concerned that the proposed changes in the bylaws removes the TLG from appointing a delegate to the Nominating Committee. Given the concerns of having persons on the Board with sufficient technical expertise, this change should NOT be supported and the TLG should continue to be able to select a delegate to serve on the Nominating Committee.	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment period: <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/bylaws-amend-tlg-2013-10-30-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/bylaws-amend-tlg-2013-10-30-en</a> . On 7 February 2014, the Board considered the public comments on the proposed bylaws and provided a resolution adopting the Bylaws: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-02-07-en#1.c">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-02-07-en#1.c</a>

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0114-02-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the DNS Security & Stability Analysis  14 Jan 2014	1. The ALAC adopts the Report submitted by the co-chairs of the DSSA WG, as the Final Report of the DSSA WG in accordance with section 2.4 of its charter; 2. The Chair of the ALAC is requested to inform the ccNSO, GNSO, NRO and SSAC co-chairs of the DSSA WG of adoption of the Report by the ALAC; 3. The Chair of the ALAC is also requested to inform the chairs of the other participating SO's and AC's (GNSO, ccNSO, NRO and SSAC); The ALAC agrees with but notes with significant regret the recommendation to not proceed with phase 2 as noted in the co-chair's letter; and 4. The ALAC thanks and congratulates all, and in particular the co-chairs of the WG: Olivier Crépin-LeBlond (ALAC), Joerg Schweiger (.DE, ccNSO), Mikey O'Connor (GNSO), James Galvin (SSAC) and Mark Kusters (NRO) and all volunteers and staff who helped with this effort.	Closed	This statement is in relation to adoption of the Report submitted by the co-chairs of the DSSA WG and contains no actionable advice for ICANN.
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0114-03-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Request For Written Community Feedback - Geographic Regions Working Group Recommendations  15 Jan 2014	1. The ALAC supports the recommendation for ICANN to adopt a more rigorous approach by re-defining a clear and consistent classification framework that assigns countries and territories to regions. Nevertheless, it would be helpful if the way and the criteria for such re-definition were suggested. 2. The ALAC strongly supports that ICANN must acknowledge the Sovereignty and right of self-determination of States to let them choose their region of allocation and request, if they so desire, a move to another geographic region. 3. When we speak about geography, we are speaking about regions, and the ALAC doesn't believe that the geographic regions could be in any case built on other consideration than the regional one. The cultural and linguistic diversity are important but can't impact the geographic regions framework. If we want it to be regions plus culture plus language, we have to call it diversity, not geographic regions. 4. The ALAC supports the recommendation to amend the bylaws to modify the present requirement for review of the Geographic Regions from three years period to five.	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a request for community feedback on the Geographic Regions Working Group Recommendations. The WG provided a Final Report in October 2015: <a href="https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/geo-regions-wg-31oct15-en.pdf">https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/geo-regions-wg-31oct15-en.pdf</a> This report was placed for public comment: <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/geo-regions-2015-12-23-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/geo-regions-2015-12-23-en</a> . The ALAC also provided comments as part of this public comment period: <a href="https://forum.icann.org/lists/geo-regions-23dec15/msg00003.html">https://forum.icann.org/lists/geo-regions-23dec15/msg00003.html</a> ICANN staff produced a summary report of all the community comments submitted in the proceeding regarding the WG recommendations: <a href="https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/report-comments-geo-regions-13may16-en.pdf">https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/report-comments-geo-regions-13may16-en.pdf</a>

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC’s Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0114-04-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Proposal for a Specification 13 to the ICANN Registry Agreement to Contractually Reflect Certain Limited Aspects of ".Brand" New gTLDs  31 Jan 2014	The ALAC has no input on the details of Specification 13, but wishes to go on record as objecting to the creation of a new category of gTLD at this point, when earlier decisions were made to not have categories of TLDs supporting community, geographic and other similar classes of gTLD.	Closed	This statement contains no actionable advice for ICANN. On 26 March 2014, the ICANN Board New gTLD Program Committee took a resolution adopting Specification 13 to the Registry Agreement: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-03-26-en">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-03-26-en</a>

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0114-05-00-EN</a>	<p>ALAC Statement on ICANN's Draft Vision, Mission &amp; Focus Areas for a Five-Year Strategic Plan</p> <p>31 Jan 2014</p>	<p>1. The At-Large Advisory Committee considers the submitted "ICANN Draft Vision, Mission, and Focus Areas for a Five Years Strategic Plan" a comprehensive document addressing all the aspects of a future strategic plan. 2. The ALAC supports the ICANN vision as stipulated. Nevertheless, as the most important concern today is about the security of Internet and the trust in the Internet, the ALAC would prefer to include those aspects of trust and security in the paragraph describing the ICANN Vision in this way: "to support a single, open, and globally interoperable Internet with a secure and trusted DNS". The same should be done in all focus areas paragraphs each time the unique and open Internet is mentioned. 3. The ALAC recommends that it is necessary to add another bold point to the "Developing a world-class public responsibility framework" focus area section: "Engage and develop the End-Users community globally for full involvement in policy development and decision making processes." 4. The ALAC finds the other elements of the focus Areas well expressed and detailed. It appreciates this preliminary work to prepare for a future-oriented and concerted 5 years strategic plan and strongly supports that process.</p>	<p>Closed</p>	<p>This statement was submitted and considered as part of public comment: <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/strategic-2013-10-29-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/strategic-2013-10-29-en</a></p> <p>On 16 October 2014, the Board took a resolution adopting the Strategic Plan: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-10-16-en#2.c">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-10-16-en#2.c</a></p>



Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0214-03-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Related-Issue Compliance Submission Process  26 Feb 2014	1. ICANN Contractual Compliance (CC) accepts complaints either on a one-by-one basis using web-based submission tools, or for selected partners, using a bulk-submission process. The ALAC understanding is that regardless of the submission vehicle, each complaint is reviewed on its merits and processed individually. 2. However, this methodology is not suitable when the subject of a complaint is not an individual occurrence, but a more wide-spread problem that affects multiple gTLD registrations. 3. Just as the UDRP allows multiple related disputes to be filed in the same single complaints, CC should allow multiple, related issues to be raised in a single complaint. 4. If such a process were created, the workload of CC could be better controlled, and substantive issues could be resolved quicker and earlier than by using today's methodology alone. 5. It is reasonable that, at least at the start, the use of such a "related complaint" submission process be used only by those with whom ICANN can develop a good working relationship, and possibly accreditation for the existing bulk-submission tool could be used to determine who could use the new process. 6. This recommendation is being submitted to CC on behalf of the At-Large Advisory Committee, and the ALAC believes that it is to all parties' mutual advantage that we have the opportunity to further investigate such a process with Contractual Compliance.	Closed	This topic was addressed at ICANN 49 in Singapore during the ALAC session. The Contractual Compliance Complaint system does not allow for multiple filing in the same single complaints. However, these types of complaints or issues can be submitted to the Compliance@icann.org email address, which is available for general questions or issues that are not available options on the ICANN website ( <a href="http://www.icann.org/en/resources/compliance/complaints">http://www.icann.org/en/resources/compliance/complaints</a> ). ICANN Contractual Compliance staff pulls data across all areas while collaborating with the contracted parties to bring more efficiency to the process and effective resolution. ICANN engages in proactive monitoring of media and industry blogs to identify community concerns that may be ripe for compliance review or audit. For wide-spread problems that affect multiple gTLDs or multiple problems by a gTLD, ICANN Contractual Compliance team conducts focused reviews to address the issues that are presented to bring more efficiency to the process and effective resolution.

APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (All Items)

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0314-01-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Second Accountability and Transparency Review Team (ATRT 2) Final Report & Recommendations  7 Mar 2014	<b>1 of 4:</b> Place equal emphasis on recommendations and observations, and address key issues outlined in the observations indicated in Appendix B and C of the report in advance of the next WHOIS and Security, Stability and Resiliency (SSR) reviews	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/atrt2-recommendations-2014-01-09-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/atrt2-recommendations-2014-01-09-en</a> On 26 June 2014, the Board has taken a resolution on the ATRT2 recommendations, directing the President and CEO to proceed with implementation: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-06-26-en#2.d">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-06-26-en#2.d</a> Implementation work on ATRT2 is underway and general information about the implementation efforts can be found here: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/atrt/ATRT2+Implementation+Program">https://community.icann.org/display/atrt/ATRT2+Implementation+Program</a> .
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0314-01-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Second Accountability and Transparency Review Team (ATRT 2) Final Report & Recommendations  7 Mar 2014	<b>2 of 4:</b> Take measures to improve future reviews by ensuring that review processes are accorded sufficient time for a thorough and effective assessment and to ensure that ICANN is better prepared organizationally to support the review process	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/atrt2-recommendations-2014-01-09-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/atrt2-recommendations-2014-01-09-en</a> On 26 June 2014, the Board has taken a resolution on the ATRT2 recommendations, directing the President and CEO to proceed with implementation: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-06-26-en#2.d">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-06-26-en#2.d</a> Implementation work on ATRT2 is underway and general information about the implementation efforts can be found here: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/atrt/ATRT2+Implementation+Program">https://community.icann.org/display/atrt/ATRT2+Implementation+Program</a> .

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC’s Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0314-01-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Second Accountability and Transparency Review Team (ATRT 2) Final Report & Recommendations  7 Mar 2014	<p><b>3 of 4:</b> The Board should examine both Recommendations and Observations in the ATRT2 report with equal diligence. A careful examination of the Observations laid out in Appendix B and C on the reviews of the WHOIS Review Team and the Security, Stability and Resiliency Review Team implementation reveals serious issues requiring Board attention. We recommend that the issues be addressed now through appropriate mechanisms.</p>	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/atrt2-recommendations-2014-01-09-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/atrt2-recommendations-2014-01-09-en</a> On 26 June 2014, the Board has taken a resolution on the ATRT2 recommendations, directing the President and CEO to proceed with implementation: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-06-26-en#2.d">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-06-26-en#2.d</a> Implementation work on ATRT2 is underway and general information about the implementation efforts can be found here: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/atrt/ATRT2+Implementation+Program">https://community.icann.org/display/atrt/ATRT2+Implementation+Program</a> .
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0314-01-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Second Accountability and Transparency Review Team (ATRT 2) Final Report & Recommendations  7 Mar 2014	<p><b>4 of 4:</b> ALAC recommends that ICANN be better prepared organizationally to support future reviews and that the ATRT3 be provided with a full year (12 months) for its review work, even if review commencement is delayed.</p>	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/atrt2-recommendations-2014-01-09-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/atrt2-recommendations-2014-01-09-en</a> On 26 June 2014, the Board has taken a resolution on the ATRT2 recommendations, directing the President and CEO to proceed with implementation: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-06-26-en#2.d">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-06-26-en#2.d</a> Implementation work on ATRT2 is underway and general information about the implementation efforts can be found here: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/atrt/ATRT2+Implementation+Program">https://community.icann.org/display/atrt/ATRT2+Implementation+Program</a> .

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0314-02-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Proposed Review Mechanism to Address Perceived Inconsistent Expert Determinations on String Confusion Objections  7 Mar 2014	The ALAC supports the details of the process described, but recommends that it be widened to include cases such as the various .shop objections where the objected-to strings were not identical, but the results were just as inconsistent. Moreover, the ALAC notes that it has previously made statements to this effect ( <a href="https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/2261148/AL-ALAC-ST-0913-04-01-EN.pdf?api=v2">https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/2261148/AL-ALAC-ST-0913-04-01-EN.pdf?api=v2</a> ) and deeply regrets that it has taken ICANN so long to react to the overall situation that it must now choose to accept many of the other seemingly illogical results. One of the ALAC's prime responsibilities in ICANN is to protect the interests of individual Internet users, and the delegation of confusingly similar TLDs does not meet the needs of these users.	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment: <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/sco-framework-principles-2014-02-11-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/sco-framework-principles-2014-02-11-en</a> The NGPC provided a resolution on the expert determinations and proposed review mechanism in October 2014: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-10-12-en#2.b">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-10-12-en#2.b</a>

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0314-03-00-EN</a>	ALAC Follow-up Statement on the Technical Liaison Group Bylaws Revisions Topic: Bylaws  14 Mar 2014	1. The ALAC is responding to the ICANN Board resolution regarding "Technical Liaison Group Bylaws Revisions" and its accompanying rationale dated 7 February 2014. 2. The ALAC had submitted a Statement on the Proposed Bylaws Changes Regarding the Technical Liaison Group [PDF, 231 KB] on 16 December 2013. The ALAC has two concerns: 1) The removal of the Technical Liaison Group (TLG) delegate to the Nominating Committee (NomCom); and 2) the rationale of removing volunteer positions to save ICANN money. 3. Removing the TLG delegate from the Nominating Committee (NomCom) weakens the coverage and undermines the inclusion of the Internet community in ICANN's governance processes. Having a person of technical expertise (such as the TLG delegate) on the NomCom aids the NomCom to: 1) recruit persons with technical expertise for positions in ICANN's structures; 2) Evaluate candidates' technical expertise being considered by the NomCom for positions in ICANN's structures; and 3) select the best candidates for positions in ICANN's structures. 4. The ALAC is very disappointed with the ICANN Board's rationale that the removal of the TLG Liaison to the ICANN Board and the TLG delegate to the NomCom "is anticipated to have a positive fiscal impact on ICANN" and "will provide a financial savings to ICANN". It contradicts the rationale given by the ICANN Board in its September 28 2013 Board resolution which stated, "This action is not anticipated to have a fiscal impact on ICANN." It disparages the volunteers, not only those that have served on the TLG as liaisons to the Board or as delegates to the NomCom, but the multi-stakeholder volunteers (especially those not financed by industry players) in ICANN.	Closed	This is a response to a Board resolution in which the Bylaws were adopted and does not contain actionable advice:  <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-02-07-en#1.c">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-02-07-en#1.c</a>

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0314-05-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Mitigating the Risk of DNS Namespace Collisions  27 Mar 2014	1. The ALAC welcomes the publication of the "Mitigating the Risk of DNS Namespace Collisions" study report by JAS Global Advisors but notes that at this stage, this report is incomplete. 2. The ALAC notes the assumption on page 3 that "The modalities, risks, and etiologies of the inevitable DNS namespace collisions in the new TLD namespaces will resemble the collisions that already occur routinely in other parts of the DNS." 3. The ALAC supports Recommendation 1 which proposes that the TLDs .corp, .home and .mail be permanently reserved for internal use, but considers that there are other potential TLD strings in high use in internal networks that should also be considered for reservation. 4. The ALAC considers that Recommendation 3 sets too high a barrier for the application of emergency response options. In deeming that these responses be limited to situations which present a "clear and present danger to human life", this ignores a broad range of scenarios which may have huge detrimental impact. 5. The ALAC reaffirms its view that security and stability should be paramount in the ongoing introduction of new TLDs and that the interests of Internet users, whether they be registrants of domain names in the new TLDs or users who are impacted by disruption to the smooth operation of internal networks, should be safeguarded.	Closed	On 30 July 2014, the NGPC adopted the Name Collision Management Framework: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-07-30-en">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-new-gtld-2014-07-30-en</a> . Implementation and general information about the Name Collision efforts can be found at: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/name-collision-2013-12-06-en">https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/name-collision-2013-12-06-en</a> .

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC’s Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0314-06-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Announcement Regarding the Transition of the Stewardship of the IANA Functions  27 Mar 2014	1. The ALAC welcomes the announcement recently made by the National Telecommunications and Information Authority (NTIA) and celebrates the designation of ICANN as the organization in charge of convening the global stakeholders to develop a proposal to transition the stewardship over the IANA functions by designing a multistakeholder mechanism. 2. We expect that the design process will be open and inclusive allowing the various communities, within and outside of ICANN, to be properly considered and taken into account by adequately incorporating and addressing their concerns and thoughts in the final outcome of this collaborative effort. 3. The ALAC believes that the end user community has a vital role in the Internet governance ecosystem and must be a part of any process going forward. 4. We call on ICANN leadership to ensure that any mechanism that replaces the stewardship over the IANA functions is based on enhancing the multistakeholder model, maintaining the security, stability and resiliency of the Internet's DNS, and several other principles and requirements. 5. We commit to contributing to the process so that any outcome is a result of a bottom-up, consensus driven and multistakeholder effort in which the interests of the end users are properly taken into account.	Closed	This is a statement on the announcement by the NTIA of ending its contract with ICANN. Considerable work has been completed on the transition, which can be tracked here: <a href="https://www.icann.org/stewardship-accountability">https://www.icann.org/stewardship-accountability</a> .

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC’s Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0414-01-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the ICANN Future Meetings Strategy  21 Apr 2014	1. The ALAC supports the recommendations of the Meeting Strategy Working Group report. 2. The differentiation of the 3 annual meetings would improve the geographic rotation, minimize the number of conflicting sessions, facilitate cross community interactions, increase concentrated policy work, engage with local Internet communities, and increase thematic, regional or language-based interactions. 3. The ALAC also appreciates very much that visa deliverance becomes one of the main criteria for the selection of the meetings venue. The ALAC suggests that 1) local availability of an open Internet be added to the selection criteria, 2) venues without facilities for the disabled communities shouldn't be considered, and 3) video coverage of meetings uses cameras and camera-work (pan and zoom) instead of a stationary Webcam. 4. The ALAC welcomes the recommendation not restricting rotation of any meeting to ICANN hub cities.	Closed	This statement was provided and considered as part of a public comment: <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/meetings-strategy-2014-02-25-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/meetings-strategy-2014-02-25-en</a> On 17 Nov 2014, the ICANN Board took a resolution approving the new meetings strategy: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-11-17-en#2.a">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-11-17-en#2.a</a> . The new meetings strategy was implemented in 2016.
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0514-02-01-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the ICANN Strategy Panels: ICANN's Role in the Internet Governance Ecosystem  16 May 2014	1. The ALAC strongly supports the report from the Panel on ICANN’s Role in the Internet Governance Ecosystem, particularly its conclusion that 'the multistakeholder model is by far preferable and should be elaborated and reinforced'. 2. The diagram on Governance, grouped into the Logical layer and Infrastructure Layer is a very helpful way to conceptualize Internet governance issues. 3. The Panel's discussions under the following headings also have some very useful pointers on directions for ICANN’s new role in: Globalize not internationalize, Consolidation and simplification of root-zone management, and a web of affirmation of commitments. 4. Globalizing the process of accountability through a web of relationships and suggesting accountability panels is indeed a potential way forward but only if a panel can provide recourse. The ALAC has concerns about the practical workability of this scenario but is ready to assist.	Closed	This is a statement on a final report, which can be found here: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/governance-ecosystem-2013-10-11-en">https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/governance-ecosystem-2013-10-11-en</a> . There is no actionable item for the ICANN Board.



**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC’s Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0514-03-01-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the ICANN Strategy Panels: Public Responsibility Framework  16 May 2014	1. The ALAC strongly supports the report from the Panel on Public Responsibility Framework. 2. This Panel is a useful reminder of the ways ICANN has started to globalize its activities, but real assistance and support for participation in ICANN is a critical element in the globalization of ICANN and Internet Governance. 3. The issue is additional funding for those unable to self fund real participation in ICANN. There may be other models for funding participation that do not rely on the 'contracted parties' model that can ensure all parties have equal seats at the table.	Closed	This is a statement on a final report, which can be found here: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/public-responsibility-2013-10-11-en">https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/public-responsibility-2013-10-11-en</a> . There are no actionable items for the ICANN Board.
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0514-04-01-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the ICANN Strategy Panels: Multistakeholder Innovation  16 May 2014	1. The ALAC supports the report from the Panel on Multistakeholder Innovation with some reservations. 2. This panel is a useful reminder of the need to reach beyond the ‘usual suspects’ with suggestions on how new techniques and technologies can be used to support global engagement. 3. However, we are concern that some of the suggestions, such as crowdsourcing, for obtaining broadbased input may be seen as alternatives to existing methods of reaching consensus on issues. New techniques should not be seen as replacing the valuable policy processes of collaboration and dialogue. Crowdsourcing for policy input risks breaking the truly bottom-up policy development. 4. We suggest the development and use of tools to assist participation for those whose voice should be heard but do not communicate, or not communicate easily in the English language. 5. Ultimately, multistakeholder innovation should be targeted at enabling widespread participation at grassroots level as opposed to encouraging counter-arguments at top level.	Closed	This is a statement on a final report, which can be found here: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/multistakeholder-innovation-2013-10-11-en">https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/multistakeholder-innovation-2013-10-11-en</a> . There are no actionable items for the ICANN Board.

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC’s Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0514-05-01-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the ICANN Strategy Panels: Identifier Technology Innovation  16 May 2014	1.The ALAC strongly supports the report from the Panel on Identifier Technology Innovation. Indeed, the report provides valuable insights and recommendations for future identifier technology developments. 2. ALAC is surprised that the recommendations of the Panel do not include any acknowledgement or recommendations about the threats to the DNS. 3. A key missing recommendation should have been made that there should be a coordinated risk management program concerning the DNS itself.	Closed	This is a statement on a final report, which can be found here: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/identifier-technology-2013-10-11-en">https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/identifier-technology-2013-10-11-en</a> . There are no actionable items for the ICANN Board.
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0614-01-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on Board Member Compensation  23 May 2014	The ALAC wishes to go on record as strongly supporting the comment submitted by Alan Greenberg - <a href="http://forum.icann.org/lists/comments-bylaws-amend-compensation-02may14/msg00003.html">http://forum.icann.org/lists/comments-bylaws-amend-compensation-02may14/msg00003.html</a> .	Closed	This statement was considered as part of a public comment period: <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/bylaws-amend-compensation-2014-05-02-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/bylaws-amend-compensation-2014-05-02-en</a> . On 30 July 2014, the Board approved the updated compensation recommendations: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-07-30-en#2.b">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-07-30-en#2.b</a> .
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0714-02-01-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Report: Supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions  31 Jul 2014	The ALAC strongly supports the concept of supporting the DNI in underserved regions but notes that simply increasing the DNI without corresponding increases in demand will not be helpful. The evolution of DNI programs should adhere to the following principles: 1) While increasing DNI penetration, the standards of suppliers should not be lowered	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment: <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/dns-underserved-2014-05-14-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/dns-underserved-2014-05-14-en</a> ICANN published a Project Roadmap for supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions in September 2014: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/prjctgdduro/Project+Roadmap%3A+Supporting+the+Domain+Name+Industry+in+Underserved+Regions">https://community.icann.org/display/prjctgdduro/Project+Roadmap%3A+Supporting+the+Domain+Name+Industry+in+Underserved+Regions</a>

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC’s Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0714-02-01-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Report: Supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions  31 Jul 2014	The ALAC strongly supports the concept of supporting the DNI in underserved regions but notes that simply increasing the DNI without corresponding increases in demand will not be helpful. The evolution of DNI programs should adhere to the following principles: 2) education at all levels is key	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment: <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/dns-underserved-2014-05-14-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/dns-underserved-2014-05-14-en</a> ICANN published a Project Roadmap for supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions in September 2014: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/prjctgdduro/Project+Roadmap%3A+Supporting+the+Domain+Name+Industry+in+Underserved+Regions">https://community.icann.org/display/prjctgdduro/Project+Roadmap%3A+Supporting+the+Domain+Name+Industry+in+Underserved+Regions</a>
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0714-02-01-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Report: Supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions  31 Jul 2014	The ALAC strongly supports the concept of supporting the DNI in underserved regions but notes that simply increasing the DNI without corresponding increases in demand will not be helpful. The evolution of DNI programs should adhere to the following principles: 3) the processes to become a registrar should be clarified and simplified with training and support	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment: <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/dns-underserved-2014-05-14-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/dns-underserved-2014-05-14-en</a> ICANN published a Project Roadmap for supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions in September 2014: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/prjctgdduro/Project+Roadmap%3A+Supporting+the+Domain+Name+Industry+in+Underserved+Regions">https://community.icann.org/display/prjctgdduro/Project+Roadmap%3A+Supporting+the+Domain+Name+Industry+in+Underserved+Regions</a>
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0714-02-01-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Report: Supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions  31 Jul 2014	The ALAC strongly supports the concept of supporting the DNI in underserved regions but notes that simply increasing the DNI without corresponding increases in demand will not be helpful. The evolution of DNI programs should adhere to the following principles: 4) the demands placed on registrars should be reasonable based on local cost-of-living and related financial constraints	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment: <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/dns-underserved-2014-05-14-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/dns-underserved-2014-05-14-en</a> ICANN published a Project Roadmap for supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions in September 2014: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/prjctgdduro/Project+Roadmap%3A+Supporting+the+Domain+Name+Industry+in+Underserved+Regions">https://community.icann.org/display/prjctgdduro/Project+Roadmap%3A+Supporting+the+Domain+Name+Industry+in+Underserved+Regions</a>

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC’s Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0714-02-01-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Report: Supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions  31 Jul 2014	The ALAC strongly supports the concept of supporting the DNI in underserved regions but notes that simply increasing the DNI without corresponding increases in demand will not be helpful. The evolution of DNI programs should adhere to the following principles: 5) the second new gTLD round should give preference to applicants from developing economies and undertake an outreach program to ensure a better understanding	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment: <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/dns-underserved-2014-05-14-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/dns-underserved-2014-05-14-en</a> ICANN published a Project Roadmap for supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions in September 2014: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/prjctgdduro/Project+Roadmap%3A+Supporting+the+Domain+Name+Industry+in+Underserved+Regions">https://community.icann.org/display/prjctgdduro/Project+Roadmap%3A+Supporting+the+Domain+Name+Industry+in+Underserved+Regions</a>
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0714-02-01-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Report: Supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions  31 Jul 2014	The ALAC strongly supports the concept of supporting the DNI in underserved regions but notes that simply increasing the DNI without corresponding increases in demand will not be helpful. The evolution of DNI programs should adhere to the following principles: 6) technical and legal supports should be provided to new gTLD applicants in underserved regions.	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment: <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/dns-underserved-2014-05-14-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/dns-underserved-2014-05-14-en</a> ICANN published a Project Roadmap for supporting the Domain Name Industry in Underserved Regions in September 2014: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/prjctgdduro/Project+Roadmap%3A+Supporting+the+Domain+Name+Industry+in+Underserved+Regions">https://community.icann.org/display/prjctgdduro/Project+Roadmap%3A+Supporting+the+Domain+Name+Industry+in+Underserved+Regions</a>

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0914-01-00-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Proposed Bylaws Changes Regarding Consideration of GAC Advice  12 Sep 2014	1. The ALAC salutes the Board's continued effort on the implementation of the ATRT1 and ATRT2 recommendations, specifically recommendation 11 of the ATRT1 and 6.5 of the ATRT2. 2. Notwithstanding, the ALAC is concerned that the proposed Bylaws changes regarding consideration of GAC advice by the Board may derive in an unbalanced weight to the GAC's advice compared to that of the other ACs or the policies proposed by each of the SOs. 3. Moreover, the ALAC observes a trend in the Internet Governance ecosystem that tends to push towards giving increased power to governments. The proposed Bylaws changes regarding consideration of GAC advice would add to this trend that we consider undesirable. 4. Considering that the BGRI has already designed a "Process for consultations between the [Board] and the [GAC]," the ALAC calls the Board to reconsider the proposed bylaws changes and continue to foster equal footing among all participants of the ICANN community. 5. If the Board is to implement this Bylaw change, the ALAC advises the Board to fully implement recommendation 9.1 of ATRT2 in the same round of Bylaw changes. This would preserve the delicate balance of advice coming from the ALAC, SSAC and RSSAC alongside the GAC. 6. The ALAC is confident that the Board will continue to implement the recommendations of the ATRT1 and ATRT2 in a way that safeguards the principles of the multi-stakeholder model, more specifically those that help bring balance among participants.	Closed	Considerable work has been completed on the ICANN Bylaws related to the ICANN Stewardship Transition. This work and progress can be tracked here: <a href="https://www.icann.org/stewardship-accountability">https://www.icann.org/stewardship-accountability</a> . In addition, implementation work is underway on the ATRT2 recommendations. General information and information on progress of the implementation efforts can be found here: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/atrt/ATRT2+Implementation+Program">https://community.icann.org/display/atrt/ATRT2+Implementation+Program</a> .

APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (All Items)

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Future of Multi-Stakeholder Models  26 Jun 2014	<b>1 of 43:</b> ICANN should continue to support outreach programmes that engage a broader audience, in order to reinforce participation from all stakeholders.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> Work has been completed on this specific advice item, including: meeting staff offered ALAC a shuttle for future meetings, outreach has been conducted at universities, and some funding was provided for students to attend ICANN55. The Meetings team and Global Stakeholder Engagement have also contributed funding to broader groups. See below: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/atlarge/AFRALO+Outreach+Event+Workspace">https://community.icann.org/display/atlarge/AFRALO+Outreach+Event+Workspace</a> <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/atlarge/Marrakech+AFRALO+NGO+Program">https://community.icann.org/display/atlarge/Marrakech+AFRALO+NGO+Program</a>
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Future of Multi-Stakeholder Models  26 Jun 2014	<b>2 of 43:</b> ICANN should increase support (budget, staff) to programmes having brought valuable members to the community.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> The Proposal for Multi-Year Planning of At-Large RALO Face-to-Face Meetings has been submitted to the ICANN public comment on the Draft ICANN FY17 Operating Plan & Budget and Five-Year Operating Plan Update. The Fellowship Program will expand by another 10 slots in FY17, up to 60 total for Meeting A and C; 30 for Meeting B.
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Future of Multi-Stakeholder Models  26 Jun 2014	<b>3 of 43:</b> ICANN should continue to shape an accountability model reaching not only Board members but all parts of the ICANN community, in order to develop a more transparent and productive environment.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> Implementation is covered by work related to CCWG Work Streams 1 and 2; WS1 proposal has been provided to the NTIA. WS2 still in progress: <a href="https://features.icann.org/proposal-ccwg-enhancing-icann-accountability">https://features.icann.org/proposal-ccwg-enhancing-icann-accountability</a>

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Future of Multi-Stakeholder Models  26 Jun 2014	<b>4 of 43:</b> ICANN should study the possibility of enhancing and increasing the role of Liaisons between its different Advisory Committees and Supporting Organizations (AC/SOs) to do away with the "silo culture"	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> Work specific to this advice item is complete. There is ongoing work being conducted by task forces, and there are ongoing discussions about establishing a liaison to the GAC. For updates, see the ALAC workspace: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+4">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+4</a>
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Future of Multi-Stakeholder Models  26 Jun 2014	<b>5 of 43:</b> ICANN should examine how best to ensure that end-users remain at the heart of the accountability process in all aspects pertaining to the transition of stewardship of the IANA function.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> Implementation is covered by work related to CCWG Work Streams 1 and 2. The ICANN Board provided the Work Stream 1 proposal to the NTIA on 10 March 2016. Development of Work Stream 2 is still in progress. For updates regarding Work Stream 2, see the CCWG-Accountability wiki page: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/WEIA/WS2+-+Enhancing+ICANN+Accountability+Home">https://community.icann.org/display/WEIA/WS2+-+Enhancing+ICANN+Accountability+Home</a>
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Future of Multi-Stakeholder Models  26 Jun 2014	<b>6 of 43:</b> ICANN's MSM should serve as the reference in encouraging all participants (individuals or parties) to declare and update existing or potential conflicts-of-interest, each time a vote takes place or consensus is sought.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> The ALAC has taken steps to establish a practice for declaring conflicts of interest. See the ALAC workspace for updates: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+6">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+6</a>

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Future of Multi-Stakeholder Models  26 Jun 2014	<b>7 of 43:</b> A periodic review of ICANN's MSM should be performed to ensure that the processes and the composition of ICANN's constituent parts adequately address the relevant decision-making requirements in the Corporation.	Open - Implementation	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> This specific advice item is on-hold pending the outcome of Work Stream 2. The issue has been raised to the Board Organizational Effectiveness Committee. See ALAC workspace for updates: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+7">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+7</a>
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Future of Multi-Stakeholder Models  26 Jun 2014	<b>8 of 43:</b> The ALAC has the duty to keep track of action taken on all of the above recommendations.	Closed	There are no actionable items for ICANN.
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- 'The Globalization of ICANN'  26 Jun 2014	<b>9 of 43:</b> ICANN should open regional offices with a clear strategy, subject to a cost-benefit analysis, focusing on the areas where the access to the Internet is growing, and where such growth is more likely to occur.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> This is part of day-to-day work of ICANN's Global Stakeholder Engagement team. Several ICANN offices have been opened over the past years, most recently the Engagement office in Nairobi. See ALAC workspace for updates: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+9">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+9</a>



**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- 'The Globalization of ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>10 of 43:</b> The next evolution of language services must adopt further extension of live scribing for all meetings and generally extend the current interpretation and translation processes and make translation available in a timely manner.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> ICANN's Language Services team has worked to extend the interpretation and translation processes and services. See the ALAC workspace for updates: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+10">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+10</a>
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- 'The Globalization of ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>11 of 43:</b> ICANN must implement a range of services to facilitate access according to various criteria (gender; cultural diversity) and user needs (disabilities, etc).	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> The At-Large Accessibility Taskforce conducted a survey on accessibility to senior ICANN staff in 2015, the results of which were discussed at ICANN53 in Buenos Aires (June 2015). The implementation of a range of services is part of ICANN's strategic objectives: <a href="https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/strategic-plan-2016-2020-10oct14-en.pdf">https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/strategic-plan-2016-2020-10oct14-en.pdf</a> . This is part of the Global Stakeholder Engagement team's ongoing work.
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- 'The Globalization of ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>12 of 43:</b> In collaboration with At-Large Structures, ICANN should put in place campaigns to raise awareness and extend education programmes across underrepresented regions.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> This is part of Global Stakeholder Engagement ongoing work. See ALAC Workspace: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+12">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+12</a>

APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (All Items)

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- 'The Globalization of ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>13 of 43:</b> ICANN should review the overall balance of stakeholder representation to ensure that appropriate consideration is given to all views, proportionally to their scope and relevance.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> Implementation is covered by work related to CCWG Work Streams 1 and 2. The ICANN Board provided the Work Stream 1 proposal to the NTIA on 10 March 2016. Development of Work Stream 2 is still in progress. For updates regarding Work Stream 2, see the CCWG-Accountability wiki page: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/WEIA/WS2+-+Enhancing+ICANN+Accountability+Home">https://community.icann.org/display/WEIA/WS2+-+Enhancing+ICANN+Accountability+Home</a>
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- 'The Globalization of ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>14 of 43:</b> ICANN should adjust its contractual framework to minimize conflict between its requirements and relevant national laws.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> Implementation is covered by work related to CCWG Work Streams 1 and 2. The ICANN Board provided the Work Stream 1 proposal to the NTIA on 10 March 2016. Development of Work Stream 2 is still in progress. For updates regarding Work Stream 2, see the CCWG-Accountability wiki page: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/WEIA/WS2+-+Enhancing+ICANN+Accountability+Home">https://community.icann.org/display/WEIA/WS2+-+Enhancing+ICANN+Accountability+Home</a>

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC’s Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- 'The Globalization of ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>15 of 43:</b> ICANN should examine the possibility of modifying its legal structure befitting a truly global organization, and examine appropriate legal and organizational solutions.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> Implementation is covered by work related to CCWG Work Streams 1 and 2. The ICANN Board provided the Work Stream 1 proposal to the NTIA on 10 March 2016. Development of Work Stream 2 is still in progress. For updates regarding Work Stream 2, see the CCWG-Accountability wiki page: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/WEIA/WS2+-+Enhancing+ICANN+Accountability+Home">https://community.icann.org/display/WEIA/WS2+-+Enhancing+ICANN+Accountability+Home</a>
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- 'The Globalization of ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>16 of 43:</b> ICANN needs to improve their direct communications regardless of time zones.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> This specific advice item is being addressed through rotation of time zones in some working groups with rotation of call times. See ALAC Workspace: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+16">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+16</a>

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC’s Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Global Internet: The User Perspective  26 Jun 2014	<b>17 of 43:</b> ICANN needs to be sensitive to the fact that social media are blocked in certain countries and, in conjunction with technical bodies, promote credible alternatives.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> ICANN's social media universe has expanded to include accounts in multiple languages and region-specific social platforms. ICANN has also revamped monthly and regional newsletters to share content in Arabic, English, French, Portuguese, Russian, Spanish and Turkish. Primary platform for content sharing remains icann.org, with parts of the site available in Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Russian and Spanish. See ALAC Workspace: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+17">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+17</a>
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Global Internet: The User Perspective  26 Jun 2014	<b>18 of 43:</b> Support end-users to take part in policy development.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> This specific advice item is being addressed internally by the ALAC. No action for ICANN. See ALAC Workspace for updates: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+19">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+19</a>

APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (All Items)

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Global Internet: The User Perspective  26 Jun 2014	<b>19 of 43:</b> Eliminate barriers to participation and engagement with ICANN processes and practices.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> Much has been accomplished on this specific advice item and is part of day-to-day operations at ICANN. For example, there is a new ALAC Website, there have been public comment improvements, expanded working group onboarding program, capacity building webinars, as well as RALO webinars. See ALAC Workspace: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+19">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+19</a>
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Global Internet: The User Perspective 26 Jun 2014	<b>20 of 43:</b> Input the user perspective, wherever necessary, to advance accountability, transparency and policy development within ICANN.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> Implementation is covered by work related to CCWG Work Streams 1 and 2. The ICANN Board provided the Work Stream 1 proposal to the NTIA on 10 March 2016. Development of Work Stream 2 is still in progress. For updates regarding Work Stream 2, see the CCWG-Accountability wiki page: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/WEIA/WS2+-+Enhancing+ICANN+Accountability+Home">https://community.icann.org/display/WEIA/WS2+-+Enhancing+ICANN+Accountability+Home</a>
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- Global Internet: The User Perspective  26 Jun 2014	<b>21 of 43:</b> Encourage public campaigns on using the Internet for education, information, creativity and empowerment.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> This specific advice item was determined to be outside the remit of ICANN. It has been canceled by the ALAC. See the ALAC workspace: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+21">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+21</a>

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC’s Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- ICANN Transparency and Accountability  26 Jun 2014	<p><b>22 of 43:</b> Members of the general public should be able to participate in ICANN on an issue-by-issue basis. Information on the ICANN website should, where practical, be in clear and non-technical language.</p>	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> The ALAC website has been redesigned and put online, addressing this recommendation (atlarge.icann.org). In addition, ICANN is in the final stages of publishing an updated Style Guide, which formalizes ICANN's commitment to creating content in plain English style. See ALAC Workspace: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLASII+Recommendation+22">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLASII+Recommendation+22</a>
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- ICANN Transparency and Accountability  26 Jun 2014	<p><b>23 of 43:</b> The roles and jurisdiction of the Ombudsman should be expanded. The ICANN website should provide a clear and simple way for the public to make complaints.</p>	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> Implementation is covered by work related to CCWG Work Streams 1 and 2. The ICANN Board provided the Work Stream 1 proposal to the NTIA on 10 March 2016. Development of Work Stream 2 is still in progress. For updates regarding Work Stream 2, see the CCWG-Accountability wiki page: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/WEIA/WS2+-+Enhancing+ICANN+Accountability+Home">https://community.icann.org/display/WEIA/WS2+-+Enhancing+ICANN+Accountability+Home</a>

APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC’s Historical Advice Items (All Items)

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- ICANN Transparency and Accountability  26 Jun 2014	<p><b>24a of 43:</b> Both the areas of the (a) Ombudsman and (b) Contractual Compliance should report regularly on the complaints they received, resolved, pending resolution and actions taken to address issues raised by unresolved complaints.</p>	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> Information on the Ombudsman and the work of the Ombudsman can be found here: <a href="https://www.icann.org/ombudsman">https://www.icann.org/ombudsman</a> . This site also contains reports made by the Ombudsman. Reporting on compliance complaints can be found on the ICANN website: <a href="https://features.icann.org/compliance">https://features.icann.org/compliance</a> . Reporting is provided via the dashboard, the Quarterly Updates, the Annual Report and presentations made during the International ICANN Meetings. ICANN continues to improve the reporting data based on community feedback and mostly based on working group requests to support policy development or policy evaluations. See also the ALAC Workspace: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+24">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+24</a>

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC’s Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- ICANN Transparency and Accountability  26 Jun 2014	<p><b>24b of 43:</b> Both the areas of the (a) Ombudsman and (b) Contractual Compliance should report regularly on the complaints they received, resolved, pending resolution and actions taken to address issues raised by unresolved complaints.</p>	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> Information on the Ombudsman and the work of the Ombudsman can be found here: <a href="https://www.icann.org/ombudsman">https://www.icann.org/ombudsman</a> . This site also contains reports made by the Ombudsman. Reporting on compliance complaints can be found on the ICANN website: <a href="https://features.icann.org/compliance">https://features.icann.org/compliance</a> . Reporting is provided via the dashboard, the Quarterly Updates, the Annual Report and presentations made during the International ICANN Meetings. ICANN continues to improve the reporting data based on community feedback and mostly based on working group requests to support policy development or policy evaluations. See also the ALAC Workspace: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+24">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+24</a>
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- ICANN Transparency and Accountability  26 Jun 2014	<p><b>25 of 43:</b> To enhance ICANN's community effort on building a culture of Transparency and Accountability, as called for in the recommendations of ATRT2, oversight of the Board's decisions now requires an effective mechanism of checks and balances, capable of providing true multi-stakeholder oversight and effective remedies.</p>	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> Implementation is covered by work related to CCWG Work Streams 1 and 2. The ICANN Board provided the Work Stream 1 proposal to the NTIA on 10 March 2016. Development of Work Stream 2 is still in progress. For updates regarding Work Stream 2, see the CCWG-Accountability wiki page: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/WEIA/WS2+-+Enhancing+ICANN+Accountability+Home">https://community.icann.org/display/WEIA/WS2+-+Enhancing+ICANN+Accountability+Home</a>



**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC’s Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<p><b>26 of 43:</b> Current policy management processes within ICANN are insufficient. ICANN must implement a workable Policy Management Process System, available for use across the SO/ACs, in order to: enhance Knowledge Management, improve the effectiveness of all ICANN volunteer communities, improve cross-community policy-specific activity, enhance policy development metrics, facilitate multilingual engagement, create a taxonomy of policy categories, provide policy development history as an aid for newcomers.</p>	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> This is part of ICANN's ongoing work and commitment to continued improvement of policy management processes.
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<p><b>27 of 43:</b> The Board must implement ATRT2 Recommendation 9.1, regarding Formal Advice from Advisory Committees.</p>	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> Implementation work is underway on the ATRT2 recommendations and general information about the implementation efforts can be found and tracked here: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/atrt/ATRT2+Implementation+Program">https://community.icann.org/display/atrt/ATRT2+Implementation+Program</a> and here: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/atrt/Rec+%239">https://community.icann.org/display/atrt/Rec+%239</a> . In addition, this work is part of CCWG Work Streams 1 and 2. The ICANN Board provided the Work Stream 1 proposal to the NTIA on 10 March 2016. Development of Work Stream 2 is still in progress. For updates regarding Work Stream 2, see the CCWG-Accountability wiki page: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/WEIA/WS2+-+Enhancing+ICANN+Accountability+Home">https://community.icann.org/display/WEIA/WS2+-+Enhancing+ICANN+Accountability+Home</a>

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC’s Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>28 of 43:</b> The ALAC should work with all RALOs and ALSes to map the current expertise and interests in their membership, to identify Subject Matter Experts and facilitate policy communication.	Closed	There are no actionable items for ICANN.
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>29 of 43:</b> The ALAC should implement an automated system for tracking topics of interest currently being discussed among the various RALOs, and accessible by everyone.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> The ALAC website has been redesigned and was rolled out 24 February 2016, meeting this recommendation. This site is automatically fed with new public comment procedures, and provides a forum for ALAC members to collaborate and if desired draft statements in response to the public comment proceedings. See the new website here: <a href="http://atlarge.icann.org">atlarge.icann.org</a> . See also the ALAC Workspace: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+29">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+29</a> .
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>30 of 43:</b> For each Public Comment process, SOs and ACs should be adequately resourced to produce impact statements.	Open - Implementation	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> There is ongoing work on this topic. ICANN is rolling out a Document Development Drafting Pilot Program and additional staff is being allocated to work on this subject area.

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC’s Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>31 of 43:</b> ICANN and the ALAC should investigate the use of simple tools and methods to facilitate participation in public comments, and the use of crowdsourcing.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> This recommendation was partially met by the roll out of the new ALAC website on 24 February 2016. See the new website here: <a href="http://atlarge.icann.org">atlarge.icann.org</a> . This topic continues to be addressed by the Technology Task Force. See the ALAC Workspace for more information: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+31">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+31</a>
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>32 of 43:</b> ICANN should ensure that all acronyms, terminology in its materials are clearly defined in simpler terms.	Open - Implementation	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> ICANN is in the final stages of completing an updated ICANN Writing Style Guide, which formalizes ICANN's commitment to creating content in plain English style. This is an ongoing effort to standardize, define and make ICANN content as user- friendly as possible. This will be a continuing effort of the Organization's. Also see ALAC workspace for updates: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+32">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+32</a>
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>33 of 43:</b> The ALAC should arrange more At-Large Capacity Building Webinars.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> This specific advice item is within the remit of ALAC. For more information, see the ALAC Workspace: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+3">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+3</a>

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>34 of 43:</b> In collaboration with the global Internet user community, the ALAC shall reiterate the link between the fundamental rights of Internet users, and the Public Interest.	Closed	There are no actionable items for ICANN.
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>35 of 43</b> The ICANN Board should hold a minimum of one conference call with the At-Large Community in between ICANN Public Meetings.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.en">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.en</a> There has been significant increase of communications between the ALAC and the ICANN Board since the conclusion of the 2nd At-Large Summit. Board members attend meetings/teleconferences with the ALAC between meetings as requested/needed. See ALAC workspace for updates: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+35">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+35</a> .
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>36 of 43:</b> The At-Large Community should envisage conference calls with other ACs and SOs in between ICANN public meetings to improve collaboration and engagement.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.en">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.en</a> This specific advice item is in the remit of the ALAC. No action for the Board. However, there are monthly Leadership Connect calls, which began on 9 Jan 2014, which members of the ICANN Board have attended. See the meetings page here: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/soaceinputfeedback/Event+Calendar">https://community.icann.org/display/soaceinputfeedback/Event+Calendar</a> . See also the ALAC Workspace: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+36">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+36</a>

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>37 of 43:</b> Additional logistical support from ICANN is needed to improve the At-Large wiki.	Open - Implementation	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledges the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> ICANN Staff is currently working towards implementing this recommendation by adding staff resources. For more information, see the ALAC Workspace: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+37">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+37</a>
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>38 of 43:</b> ICANN should ensure that its Beginner Guides are easily accessible.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> . Beginner Guides are available for download on icann.org here: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/beginners-guides-2012-03-06-en">https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/beginners-guides-2012-03-06-en</a> . ICANN is continually working to update the guides. For more information, see the ALAC Workspace: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+38">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+38</a>
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>39 of 43:</b> ICANN should encourage " open data" best practices that foster re-use of the information by any third party.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> This item is within the remit of the ALAC and is being handled by the Technology Task Force. There are no actionable items for the ICANN Board. For more information, see the latest update from Technology Task Force: <a href="https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/52891539/Discussion%20with%20At%20Large%20TTF.pdf?api=v2">https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/52891539/Discussion%20with%20At%20Large%20TTF.pdf?api=v2</a>

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>40 of 43:</b> ICANN should offer a process similar to the Community Regional Outreach Pilot Program (CROPP), but applicable to short lead-time budget requests not related to travel.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> On an annual basis, the CROPP program is reviewed and adjustments are made based on community input. Annual community special budget request process is also used to address these types of requests. This recommendation has led to greater collaboration between ALAC leadership and ICANN staff regional engagement teams. See the CROPP Page here: <a href="https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=41900609">https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=41900609</a> . See ALAC workspace: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+40">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+40</a>
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>41 of 43:</b> The ALAC should work with the ICANN Board in seeking additional sources of funding for At-Large activities.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> The ALAC submitted a public comment on the FY17 budget ( <a href="https://forum.icann.org/lists/comments-op-budget-fy17-five-year-05mar16/msg00013.html">https://forum.icann.org/lists/comments-op-budget-fy17-five-year-05mar16/msg00013.html</a> ), which was considered in the finalization of the budget. ICANN staff and members of the ICANN Board Finance committee have met with ALAC leadership to discuss the subject of funding, and will continue to work with the ALAC on this topic.

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC's Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>42 of 43:</b> ICANN should enable annual face-to-face RALO assemblies, either at ICANN regional offices or in concert with regional events.	Closed	The Board in its 9 September 2014 resolution acknowledged the Final ATLAS II Declaration: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2014-09-09-en#3.e</a> The ALAC submitted a comment on the FY17 budget ( <a href="https://forum.icann.org/lists/comments-op-budget-fy17-five-year-05mar16/msg00013.html">https://forum.icann.org/lists/comments-op-budget-fy17-five-year-05mar16/msg00013.html</a> ), which was considered in the finalization of the budget. ICANN staff and members of the ICANN Board Finance committee have met with ALAC leadership to discuss the subject of funding, and will continue to work with the ALAC on funding for face-to-face RALO assemblies. See FY17 Update of the Operating Plan and Budget here: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/governance/current-en">https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/governance/current-en</a> ).
<a href="#">AL-ATLAS-02-DCL-01-01-EN</a>	The 2nd At-Large Summit (ATLAS II) Final Declaration -- At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN  26 Jun 2014	<b>43 of 43:</b> RALOs should encourage their inactive ALS representatives to comply with ALAC minimum participation requirements.	Closed	There are no actionable items for ICANN. This specific advice item is complete per ALAC workspace: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+43">https://community.icann.org/display/als2/ATLAS+II+Recommendation+43</a>

**APPENDIX 2: Update on ALAC’s Historical Advice Items (All Items)**

Updated on 07 October 2016

Advice Document Reference ID	Name of Advice Document	Recommendation	Status	Action(s) Taken
<a href="#">AL-ALAC-ST-0915-05-EN</a>	ALAC Statement on the Proposed ICANN Bylaws Amendments - GNSO Policy & Implementation Recommendations  19 Sep 2015	Advises the Board to carefully monitor both issues set forth in the statement to ensure that user and public interests are appropriately considered and that the implementation of complex policy can be accomplished in reasonable time-frames.	Closed	This statement was submitted and considered as part of a public comment period on the CCWG Accountability's Second Draft Report (Work Stream 1): <a href="https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/report-comments-ccwg-accountability-13oct15-en.pdf">https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/report-comments-ccwg-accountability-13oct15-en.pdf</a> . The public comments were considered in the finalization of the CCWG - Accountability's Proposal on Work Stream 1 Recommendations. On 10 March 2016, the ICANN Board accepted the CCWG-Accountability Work Stream 1 report: <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2016-03-10-en#2.c">https://www.icann.org/resources/board-material/resolutions-2016-03-10-en#2.c</a>