Attachment A: GNSO Recommendations Not Inconsistent with GAC Advice

The following table lists those of the GNSO’s consensus recommendations from the Policy Development Process (PDP) concerning the Protection for International Governmental Organizations and International Non-Governmental Organizations Identifiers in All Generic Top-Level Domains that are not inconsistent with GAC advice received by the Board¹.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
<th>GNSO RECOMMENDATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red Cross</td>
<td>Reserve Full Names of Red Cross, Red Crescent, Red Crystal, Red Lion &amp; Sun at Top and Second Levels², in the 6 UN languages (UN6), with Exception Procedure³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOC⁴</td>
<td>Reserve Full Names of OLYMPIC and OLYMPIAD at Top and Second Levels, in UN6+German, Greek &amp; Korean, with Exception Procedure⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGOs</td>
<td>Reserve Full Names of IGOs on GAC List at Top and Second Levels, in 2 languages, with Exception Procedure⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INGOs’ (other than IOC and RC)</td>
<td>Top Level: Reserve Full Names of INGOs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ For accuracy and the comprehensive list of recommendations, please see the PDP WG’s Final Report (Section 3) published in November 2013, the GNSO Council’s January 2014 Recommendations Report to the ICANN Board and the GNSO Council’s November 2013 Resolution approving the PDP WG’s consensus recommendations.

² References in this document to reservation of Full Names at the top level for the RC, IOC and IGOs mean top level protection in the form of these Full Names being designated as “Strings Ineligible for Delegation” in accordance with the usage of the term in the Applicant Guidebook; reservation of Full Names at the second level would be through inclusion on a “reserved names list” as part of the Registry Agreement (e.g. in Specification 5 of the New gTLD Registry Agreement for purposes of the New gTLD Program).

³ Recommendations 3.1.1, 3.1.2, 3.1.3 and 3.1.4 in the PDP WG’s Final Report.

⁴ No acronym protections were sought by or granted to the IOC in the GNSO PDP WG.

⁵ Recommendations 3.2.1, 3.2.2, 3.2.3 and 3.2.4 in the PDP WG’s Final Report.

⁶ Recommendations 3.3.1, 3.3.2, 3.3.3 and 3.3.4 in the PDP WG’s Final Report.
on ECOSOC General List at Top Level, with Exception Procedure
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7 No acronym protections were sought by or granted to the INGOs in the GNSO PDP WG.
8 Recommendations 3.4.1, 3.4.2, 3.4.4 and 3.4.6 in the PDP WG’s Final Report.