

## Attachment B: GNSO Recommendations Differing from GAC Advice

The following table lists those of the GNSO’s consensus recommendations<sup>1</sup> from the Policy Development Process concerning the Protection for International Governmental Organizations and International Non-Governmental Organizations Identifiers in All Generic Top-Level Domains that differ from GAC advice received by the Board on the same topic.

GNSO Recommendation	GAC Advice
<p>FOR THE RED CROSS:</p> <p>1. Entry into TMCH for 90-days Claims Protection for full names and acronyms of the 189 national RC Societies (English plus national language), and full names and acronyms of ICRC, CICR, CICV, MKKK, IFRC and FICR (in UN6)<sup>2</sup></p> <p>2. No blocking or reservations for acronyms at top or second level<sup>3</sup></p>	<p>FOR THE RED CROSS:</p> <p>1. Permanent protection for the 189 national RC Societies (in English and the official languages of their respective states of origin) and for the full names of the ICRC and IFRC (in UN6)<sup>4</sup></p> <p>2. The same complementary cost neutral mechanism to be worked out for IGO acronyms to be extended also to the following RC acronyms: ICRC, CICR, IFRC, FICR<sup>5</sup></p>

<sup>1</sup> For accuracy and the comprehensive list of recommendations, please see the PDP WG’s Final Report (Section 3) published in November 2013, the GNSO Council’s January 2014 Recommendations Report to the ICANN Board and the GNSO Council’s November 2013 Resolution approving the PDP WG’s consensus recommendations.

<sup>2</sup> Recommendations 3.1.5, 3.1.6 and 3.1.8 of the PDP WG’s Final Report.

<sup>3</sup> Recommendation 3.5.1 and 3.5.2 of the PDP WG’s Final Report.

<sup>4</sup> Section IV, Paragraph 9 of the GAC’s Singapore Communique (March 2014). **NOTE: clarification as to whether this advice reflects in full the RC’s Minority Position(as published in the PDP WG’s Final Report is recommended.**

<sup>5</sup> Section IV, Paragraph 5(a) of the GAC’s Durban Communique (July 2013).

<p>FOR IGOs:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. No blocking or reservations for acronyms at top or second level<sup>6</sup></li> <li>2. IGO acronyms on GAC List entered into TMCH for 90-days Claims Protection (in 2 languages)<sup>7</sup></li> <li>3. Issue Report on possible PDP to determine IGO access to and use of curative rights mechanisms (UDRP &amp; URS)<sup>8</sup></li> </ol>	<p>FOR IGO Acronyms<sup>9</sup>:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Permanent claims notification</li> <li>2. Final &amp; binding third party review in the event of disputes with a potential second-level registrant</li> <li>3. Mechanism to be low (minimal) or no cost to IGOs</li> </ol>
--	---

---

<sup>6</sup> Recommendation 3.5.1 and 3.5.2 of the PDP WG's Final Report.

<sup>7</sup> Recommendation 3.3.7 of the PDP WG's Final Report.

<sup>8</sup> Recommendation 3.5.3 of the PDP WG's Final Report.

<sup>9</sup> Section II, Paragraph 4(a) of the GAC's Buenos Aires Communique (November 2013).