ANNEX 1 to Resolution 2014.05.14.NG02

GAC Advice (Singapore, Buenos Aires, Durban, Beijing): Actions and Updates

(As of 14 May 2014)

	GAC Register #	GAC Advice		Action/Update
		New Items of GAC Advice (Singapo	re Co	mmuniqué)
1. AMAZON	<u>2014-03-27 -</u>	Singapore: The GAC expresses its		On 14 May 2014, the NGPC accepted the GAC advice
	<u>amazon</u>	concerns with the time the Board is		identified in the GAC Register of Advice as 2013-07-
		taking in evaluating the GAC Objection		18-Obj-Amazon, and directed the President and CEO,
		Advice on the application of the domain		or his designee, that the applications for .AMAZON
		name .amazon, as stated in the GAC		(application number 1-1315-58086) and related IDNs
		communiqué, approved in Durban, last		in Japanese (application number 1-1318-83995) and
		July. Therefore the GAC urges the		Chinese (application number 1-1318-5581) filed by
		ICANN Board to settle as a high priority		Amazon EU S.à r.l. should not proceed. By adopting the
		its decision according to Module 3.1		GAC advice, the NGPC noted that the decision was
		part I of the Applicant Guidebook.		without prejudice to the continuing efforts by Amazon
				EU S.à r.l. and members of the GAC to pursue dialogue
		<u>Durban</u> : The GAC advises the ICANN		on the relevant issues.
		Board that the GAC has reached		
		consensus on GAC Objection Advice		Refer to NGPC Resolution 2014.05.14.NG03 for
		according to Module 3.1 part I of the		additional details.
		Applicant Guidebook on the following		
		application: .amazon (application		
		number 1-1315-58086) and related		
		IDNs in Japanese (application number		
		1-1318-83995) and Chinese		
		(application number 1-1318-5591)		

	GAC Register #	GAC Advice	Action/Update
2. COMMUNITY	2014-03-27	The GAC advises ICANN to continue to	The NGPC accepts the reiteration of the GAC's earlier
APPS	Community	protect the public interest and improve	advice regarding community applications.
	<u>Applications</u>	outcomes for communities, and to work	
		with the applicants in an open and	The NGPC will continue to protect the public interest
		transparent manner in an effort to	and improve outcomes for communities, and to work
		assist those communities. The GAC	with the applicants in an open and transparent
		further notes that a range of issues	manner in an effort to assist those communities within
		relating to community applications will	the existing framework.
		need to be dealt with in future rounds.	
3. IGO	2014-03-27-IGO	The GAC recalls its previous public	On 7 February 2014, the Board directed the NGPC to:
PROTECTION		policy advice from the Toronto, Beijing,	(1) consider the policy recommendations from the
S		Durban and Buenos Aires	GNSO as the NGPC continues to actively develop an
		Communiqués regarding protection for	approach to respond to the GAC advice on protections
		IGO names and acronyms at the top and	for IGOs, and (2) develop a comprehensive proposal to
		second levels and awaits the Board's	address the GAC advice and the GNSO policy
		response regarding implementation of	recommendations for consideration by the Board at a
		the GAC advice.	subsequent meeting.
			On 13 March 2014, the NGPC forwarded to the GAC for
			information a <u>draft proposal</u> for implementing the
			GAC advice on IGO acronym protections at the second
			level.
			level.
			On 30 April 2014, the Board took action to adopt the
			GNSO policy recommendations that are not
			inconsistent with GAC Advice received by the Board on
			the topic of IGO protections. With respect to the GNSO
			policy recommendations that <u>differ from the GAC</u>
			Advice (including this item of GAC Advice) the Board
			requested additional time to consider them, and will
			facilitate discussions among the relevant parties to
			reconcile any remaining differences between the
			policy recommendations and the GAC advice on the
			topic.

	GAC Register #	GAC Advice	Action/Update
4. SINGULAR/	<u>2014-03-27-</u>	The GAC reiterates the Beijing advice	The NGPC acknowledges the GAC's reiteration of its
PLURAL	Plural-Strings	that allowing singular and plural	advice in the Beijing Communiqué, which advised the
STRINGS		versions of the same strings could lead	Board to reconsider its decision to allow singular and
		to consumer harm. Permitting this	plural versions of the same strings. The NGPC adopted
		practice risks confusing internet users	a <u>resolution</u> to accept this advice at its 4 June 2013
		and could making users more	meeting, and on 25 June 2013, the NGPC considered
		vulnerable to deceptive practices that	whether to allow singular and plural versions of the
		exploit this confusion.	same string. The NGPC adopted a resolution resolving
			that no changes were needed to the existing
			mechanisms in the Applicant Guidebook to address
			potential consumer confusion resulting from allowing
			singular and plural versions of the same string
			<http: board="" documents<="" en="" groups="" td="" www.icann.org=""></http:>
			<u>/resolutions-new-gtld-25jun13-en.htm#2.d</u> >. The
			NGPC notes that this topic may be of further
			discussion by the community is it considers future
			rounds of the New gTLD Program.

	GAC Register #	GAC Advice	Action/Update
5. RAM/	2014-03-27-	Further to its Durban Communiqué, the	In response to the GAC's advice in the Durban
INDIANS	<u>ram-indians</u>	GAC advises the ICANN Board that:	Communiqué concerning .RAM and .INDIANS, on 10
		a.) The GAC recognizes that religious	September 2013, the NGPC adopted an iteration of the
		terms are sensitive issues. The	Scorecard taking note of the concerns expressed in the
		application for .ram is a matter of	GAC's advice.
		extreme sensitivity for the Government	
		of India on political and religious	a) With respect to .RAM, the NGPC takes note of the
		considerations. The GAC notes that the	concerns expressed in the GAC's Singapore advice that
		Government of India has requested that	"the application for .ram is a matter of extreme
		the application not be proceeded with;	sensitivity for the Government of India on political and
		and	religious considerations." The NGPC also notes the
		b.) as noted in the Durban	applicant response to the Board from Chrysler Group
		Communiqué, the Government of India	LLC ("Chrysler") concerning this advice, in which
		has requested that the application for	Chrysler indicated that it "remains hopeful that an
		.indians not proceed.	accommodation can be reached that addresses the
			Government's concerns, yet allows Chrysler to register
			and operate .RAM as a restricted, exclusively-
			controlled gTLD. Chrysler representatives are willing
			to meet with the Government of India to discuss the
			resolution of this matter at any time that is convenient
			for the Government." The NGPC continues to
			deliberate on this item of GAC advice and encourages
			the impacted parties to continue the noted
			discussions.
			b) With respect to .INDIANS, the NGPC takes note of
			the GAC's Singapore advice and continues to
			deliberate on this item of GAC advice.

	GAC Register #	GAC Advice	Action/Update
6. RCRC NAMES	2014-03-27-	Referring to the previous advice that	The NGPC adopted a resolution at its 4 June 2013
	<u>RCRC</u>	the GAC gave to the board to	meeting to accept the previous advice issued in the
		permanently protect from	Beijing Communiqué to "amend the provisions in the
		unauthorised use the terms associated	new gTLD Registry Agreement pertaining to the
		with the International Red Cross and	IOC/RCRC names to confirm that the protections will
		Red Crescent Movement – terms that	be made permanent prior to the delegation of any new
		are protected in international legal	gTLDs" The New gTLD Registry Agreement adopted by
		instruments and, to a large extent, in	the NGPC on 2 July 2013 included protections for an
		legislation in countries throughout the	indefinite duration for IOC/RCRC names. Specification
		world.	5 of the approved Registry Agreement included a list
			of names (provided by the IOC and RCRC Movement)
		The GAC advises that, for clarity, this	that "shall be withheld from registration or allocated
		should also include: (a) the 189	to Registry Operator at the second level within the
		National Red Cross and Red Crescent	TLD."
		Societies, in English and the official	ml: Napa
		languages of their respective states of	This protection was added pursuant to a NGPC
		origin; and (b) the full names of the	resolution to maintain these protections "until such
		International Committee of the Red	time as a policy is adopted that may require further
		Cross and International Federation of	action" (2012.11.26.NG03). The resolution recognized
		the Red Cross and Red Crescent	the GNSO's initiation of an expedited PDP. The Final
		Societies in the six (6) United Nations	Report with consensus policy recommendations was submitted to the Board for consideration on <u>7</u>
		Languages.	February 2014. On 30 April 2014, the Board took
			action to adopt the GNSO policy recommendations that
			are not inconsistent with GAC Advice received by the
			Board on the topic of protections for certain identifiers
			of the Red Cross/Red Crescent. With respect to the
			GNSO policy recommendations that differ from the
			GAC Advice (including this item of GAC Advice) the
			Board requested additional time to consider them, and
			will facilitate discussions among the relevant parties
			to reconcile any remaining differences between the
			policy recommendations and the GAC advice on the
			topic. (To note, the GNSO policy recommends that
			instead of reserving the RCRC society names as
			advised by the GAC, the names should be bulk added ₅
			to the Trademark Clearinghouse.)

	GAC Register #	GAC Advice	Action/Update
7. SPA	2014-03-27-spa	Regarding the applications for .spa, the	The NGPC accepts this advice and acknowledges that
		GAC understands that the relevant	the GAC has finalized its consideration of the .SPA
		parties in these discussions are the city	string and the report that an agreement has been
		of Spa and the applicants. The GAC has	reached between the City of Spa and one of the
		finalised its consideration of the .spa	applicants. The NGPC notes that there is no GAC advice
		string and welcomes the report that an	pursuant to Module 3.1 of the Applicant Guidebook. As
		agreement has been reached between	a result, the applications will proceed through the
		the city of Spa and one of the	normal process.
		applicants.	

	GAC Register #	GAC Advice	Action/Update
8. WINE/VIN	2014-03-27-	The GAC notes the NGPC Resolution	On 4 April 2014, the NGPC adopted resolutions in
	wine-vin	2014.03.22.NG01 concerning .wine and	response to the GAC's advice in the Singapore
		.vin as well as its rationale. In the final	Communiqué concerning the applications for .WINE
		deliberation of the Board there appears	and .VIN. In its action, the NGPC: (1) accepted the GAC
		to be at least one process violation and	advice identified in the Singapore Communiqué as it
		procedural error, including in relation	relates to the applications for .WINE and .VIN; (2)
		to ByLaws Article XI-A, Section 1	considered whether there may have been a process
		subsection 6 which states:	violation or procedural error, and concluded that
		"6. Opportunity to Comment. The	there has been no process violation or procedural
		Governmental Advisory Committee, in	error under the Bylaws; and (3) directed the President
		addition to the Supporting	and CEO to not commence the contracting process for
		Organizations and other Advisory	the applications for .WINE and .VIN for 60 days (from
		Committees, shall have an opportunity	the date the resolutions are posted) in order to
		to comment upon any external advice	provide additional time for the relevant impacted
		received prior to any decision by the	parties to negotiate, which they are encouraged to do.
		Board."	
			The NGPC also recommended that the full Board
		The GAC therefore advises: that the	consider the larger implications of legally complex and
		Board reconsider the matter before	politically sensitive issues such as those raised
		delegating these strings. The GAC needs	by GAC members, including whether ICANN is the
		to consider the above elements more	proper venue in which to resolve these issues, or
		fully. In the meantime concerned GAC	whether there are venues or forums better suited to
		members believe the applicants and	address concerns such as those raised
		interested parties should be	by GAC members in relation to the .WINE and .VIN
		encouraged to continue their	applications.
		negotiations with a view to reach an	
		agreement on the matter.	

	GAC Register #	GAC Advice	Action/Update
9. WRITTEN	https://gacweb.i	The GAC requests clarification from the	The NGPC will provide written clarification to the GAC
BRIEFING -	cann.org/pages/	New gTLD Program Committee (NGPC)	on the requested implementation issues.
SAFEGUARDS	viewpage.action?	on a number of implementation issues.	
	pageId=2827883	These relate to the implications of	
	<u>2</u>	changes in WHOIS verification and	
		checks for the accuracy of	
		WHOIS generally and for law	
		enforcement and end users; security	
		checks to detect risks of harm (eg	
		phishing, malware, botnets etc);	
		complaint mechanisms; verification	
		and validation of Category 1	
		registrants' credentials and the lack of	
		binding nature of the public interest	
		commitments; operation of the Public	
		Interest Commitment Dispute	
		Resolution Procedure; and restricted	
		registration policies (Category 2).	
		These queries are set out in more detail	
		in an Attachment to this communiqué.	
		maining Open Items of GAC Advice (Beijir	<u> </u>
10. IOC/RC	2013-07-18 -	The GAC advises the ICANN Board that	Refer to the update above regarding IGO Protections.
RC	IOCRC (Durban	the same complementary cost neutral	
PROTECTION	Communiqué	mechanisms to be worked out for the	
S	§5.a.i(sic))	protection of acronyms of IGOs be used	
		to also protect the acronyms of the	
		International Committee of the Red	
		Cross (ICRC/CICR) and the	
		International Federation of Red Cross	
		and Red Crescent Societies	
		(IFRC/FICR).	

	GAC Register #	GAC Advice	Action/Update
CAT 2 SAFEGUARDS - EXCLUSIVE ACCESS	GAC Register # 2013-04-11- Safeguards – Categories -2; 2013-11-20-Cat1- Cat2 (Beijing Communiqué Annex I, Category 2, Item 2; Buenos Aires Communiqué §1.e)	Beijing: For strings representing generic terms, exclusive registry access should serve a public interest goal. In the current round, the GAC has identified the following non-exhaustive list of strings that it considers to be generic terms, where the applicant is currently proposing to provide exclusive registry access: .antivirus, .app, .autoinsurance, .baby, .beauty, .blog, .book, .broker, .carinsurance, .cars, .cloud, .courses, .cpa, .cruise, .data, .dvr, .financialaid, .flowers, .food, .game, .grocery, .hair, .hotel, .hotels .insurance,	ICANN contacted the 186 applicants for strings identified in the GAC's Category 2 safeguard advice. The applicants were asked to respond by a specified date indicating whether the applied-for TLD will be operated as an exclusive access registry. An overwhelming majority of the applicants (174) indicated that the TLD would not be operated as an exclusive access registry. The NGPC adopted a resolution directing staff to move forward with the contracting process for applicants for strings identified in the Category 2 Safeguards that were prepared to enter into the Registry Agreement as approved, since moving forward with these applicants was consistent with the GAC's advice.
		.grocery, .hair, .hotel, .hotels .insurance, .jewelry, .mail, .makeup, .map, .mobile, .motorcycles, .movie, .music, .news, .phone, .salon, .search, .shop, .show, .skin, .song, .store, .tennis, .theater, .theatre, .tires, .tunes, .video, .watches, .weather, .yachts, .クラウド [cloud], .ストア [store], .セール [sale], .ファッション [fashion], .家電 [consumer electronics], .手表 [watches], .書籍 [book], .珠宝 [jewelry], .通販 [online shopping], .食品 [food] Buenos Aires: The GAC welcomes the Board's communication with applicants with regard to open and closed gTLDs, but seeks written clarification of how	Twelve applicants responded that the TLD would be operated as an exclusive access registry. These 12 applicants have applied for the following strings: .BROKER, .CRUISE, .DATA, .DVR, .GROCERY, .MOBILE, .PHONE, .STORE, .THEATER, .THEATRE and .TIRES. The NGPC accepted the advice in the Buenos Aires Communiqué. As requested in in the Buenos Aires Communiqué, the NGPC has provided a written clarification to the GAC of how strings are identified as being generic. The NGPC is preparing an approach and timeline as a path forward to address the remaining 12 applications.

	GAC Register #	GAC Advice	Action/Update
12.	2103-04-11-	The GAC advises the Board that with	The NGPC adopted a resolution to accept this advice at
HALAL AND	Religious Terms;	regard to Module 3.1 part II of the	its 4 June 2013 meeting. Pursuant to Section 3.1.ii of
ISLAM	2013-11-20-	Applicant Guidebook, the GAC	the AGB, the NGPC and some members of the GAC met
	islam-halal	recognizes that religious terms are	during the ICANN 47 meeting in Durban to discuss the
	(Beijing	sensitive issues. Some GAC members	concerns about the applications.
	Communiqué	have raised sensitivities on the	
	§1.a.ii; Buenos	applications that relate to Islamic	On 24 October 2013 decisions were posted in favor of
	Aires	terms, specifically .islam and .halal. The	the applicant on the community objections filed by the
	Communiqué §7)	GAC members concerned have noted	Telecommunications Regulatory Authority of the UAE.
		that the applications for .islam and	
		.halal lack community involvement and	In a 4 November 2013 letter from the Organization of
		support. It is the view of these GAC	Islamic Cooperation (OIC) to the GAC Chair, the OIC
		members that these applications	requested that its letter be considered an "official
		should not proceed.	opposition of the Member States of the OIC towards
			probable authorization by the GAC allowing the use of
		GAC took note of letters sent by the OIC	[] .ISLAM and .HALAL by any entity not representing
		and the ICANN Chairman in relation to	the collective voice of the Muslim people."
		the strings .islam and .halal. The GAC	
		has previously provided advice in its	In a 11 November 2013 letter to the GAC Chair, the
		Beijing Communiqué, when it	NGPC indicated that before it takes action on the
		concluded its discussions on these	strings, it will wait for any additional GAC input during
		strings. The GAC Chair will respond to	the Buenos Aires meeting or resulting GAC
		the OIC correspondence accordingly,	Communiqué. The Buenos Aires Communiqué took
		noting the OIC's plans to hold a meeting	note of the letters sent by the OIC, but did not offer any
		in early December. The GAC chair will	additional advice to the Board. The OIC also adopted a
		also respond to the ICANN Chair's	resolution in December 2013 communicating its
		correspondence in similar terms.	official objection to the use of the applied-for .ISLAM
			and .HALAL TLDs.
			The NCDC (all and a Call and a Ca
			The NGPC takes note of the significant concerns
			expressed during the dialogue, and additional
			opposition raised, including by the OIC, which
			represents 1.6 billion members of the Muslim
			community. The NGPC has sent a letter to the applicant, which is available here
			http://www.icann.org/en/news/correspondence/fgr
			ocker-to-abbasnia-07feb14-en.pdf>.