[ctag]

January 29, 2014

Ms. Christine Willett Vice President, gTLD Operations ICANN 12025 Waterfront Drive, Suite 300 Los Angeles, CA 90094

Dear Christine,

During ICANN's applicant webinar on January 22, 2014, a number of questions were raised regarding the status of the New gTLD Program Committee's (NGPC) consideration of the outstanding Governmental Advisory Committee (GAC) Advice on Category 1 and 2 and the impact to applicants subject to that Advice. There are hundreds of applications that cannot proceed through ICANN's consideration process until this Advice is resolved and we respectfully request the NGPC communicate a timeline for it to complete its work as expeditiously as possible.

Referencing the NGPC's implementation plan for GAC Category 1 Advice detailed in Dr. Stephen Crocker's letter to Ms. Heather Dryden on October 29, 2013, we seek your confirmation that:

- The requirement to implement the additional 1-8 safeguards, once approved by the NGPC, would be through mandatory Specification 11 Public Interest Commitments (PICs) consistent with the approach ICANN used when it resolved on June 25, 2013, to adopt the four safeguards that are applicable to all new gTLD registries; and
- Upon NGPC's acceptance and implementation of the additional 1-8 safeguards through mandatory PICs, GAC Advice will be considered resolved for those applicants, and they will be permitted to continue through ICANN's consideration phases (e.g., Community Priority Evaluation, contracting, auction, etc.) provided no other issue exists.

With regard to Category 2 Advice, the CTAG would like to understand what process is ICANN undertaking to verify that application submissions that clearly demonstrate exclusive access have been appropriately disclosed in those applicants' responses to ICANN's request for replies to this Advice? Further, in cases where there is a clear discrepancy between what the application states and what the applicant provided in their response to ICANN, what is ICANN doing to ensure that these applicants are required to submit a change request since "the Registry Agreement provides that registry operators of a "generic string" TLD may not impose eligibility criteria for registering names in the TLD that limit registrations exclusively to a single person or entity and/or that person's or entity's "Affiliates" (as defined in Section 2.9(c) of the Registry Agreement)?¹

¹ See this reference at http://newgtlds.icann.org/en/announcements-and-media/announcement-4-09oct13-en.

[ctag]

We look forward to your response and the clarity and predictability it will create for all new gTLD applicants.

Sincerely,

Craig S. Schwartz CTAG Coordinator

cc: Mr. Fadi Chehadé, President and CEO, ICANN

Mr. Akram Atallah, President, Generic Domains Division, ICANN Mr. Cherine Chalaby, Board Chair, New gTLD Program, ICANN

Ms. Heather Dryden, Chair, GAC, ICANN

[ctag]

CTAG Membership as of January 2014

- 1. ADAC Allgemeiner Deutscher Automobil-Club e.V. (ADAC)
- 2. ARCHI, IMMO, SKI STARTING DOT
- 3. BANK, INSURANCE fTLD Registry Services, LLC
- BARCELONA Municipi de Barcelona
- 5. BERLIN dotBERLIN GmbH & Co. KG
- 6. CORP, INC, LLC, LLP Dot Registry LLC
- 7. CPA American Institute of Certified Public Accountants
- 8. ECO Big Room Inc.
- 9. GAL Asociacion puntoGAL
- 10. GAY dotgay llc
- 11. GMBH TLDDOT GmbH
- 12. GREE GREE, Inc.
- 13. HAMBURG Hamburg Top-Level-Domain GmbH
- 14. HOTEL HOTEL Top-Level-Domain S.a.r.l
- 15. KIDS DotKids Foundation Limited
- 16. MED HEXAP SAS
- 17. MUSIC DotMusic / CGR E-Commerce Ltd
- 18. MUSIC .music LLC / Far Further
- 19. NGO, ONG Public Interest Registry
- 20. OSAKA Interlink Co., Ltd.
- 21. QUEBEC PointQuebec Inc
- 22. RADIO European Broadcasting Union (EBU)
- 23. SCOT Dot Scot Registry Limited
- 24. SHOP Commercial Connect LLC
- 25. SPORT SportAccord
- 26. TATAR Coordination Center of Regional Domain of Tatarstan Republic LLC
- 27. TENNIS TENNIS AUSTRALIA LTD
- 28. TIROL Punkt Tirol GmbH
- 29. VERSICHERUNG dotversicherung-registry GmbH
